3.4 Mitigation Strategy

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan includes mitigation strategies intended to reduce or eliminate the impacts of natural hazard events identified through the risk assessment process. The mitigation strategies developed for this plan are the result of gathering pertinent data from every jurisdiction, extensive research and analysis of hazard data and profiles, and careful assessment of regional vulnerability.

Process Summary: Integration of Hazard Mitigation Plan with Other Planning Mechanisms. The process of gathering hazard data, evaluating local resources and mitigation tools and updating the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has given all local units of government insight into the importance of incorporation hazard mitigation into local community planning efforts, emergency operations plans, capital improvement plans, local law, and public education outreach efforts whenever practical and applicable. An exhaustive list of these capabilities and planning mechanisms is contained in tables 3.4.1.1.1, 3.4.1.1.2 and 3.4.1.1.3.

This effort is exemplified throughout the migration strategy section of this plan and reflected in the regional goals, jurisdictional legal authorities, mitigation strategies, completed and proposed projects, and public education outreach efforts cited.

3.4.1 - Capabilities Assessment

The success of any mitigation strategy is incumbent on the resources and capabilities of the regional jurisdictions to implement action plans that successfully achieve mitigation goals. Local communities submitted information used to identify regional capabilities. Assessment of these capabilities will enable the region to identify disparities and capitalize on local resources as a means of accomplishing mitigation goals.

Since approval of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan in 2005, the Plan received dual approval under the multi-hazard and flood mitigation categories. All jurisdictions are now eligible to apply for Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) funds in addition to Pre-Disaster and Hazard Mitigation grant assistance.

A capabilities assessment illustrates the resources available to achieve goals, and identifies gaps where improvements can be made to improve regional resiliency and preparedness.

Legal Authority of Local Jurisdictions

Local units of government in Kentucky are empowered to implement mitigation programs, policies and actions. Local governments can utilize their powers of regulation, acquisition, taxation and spending to implement a successful hazard mitigation program within their respective jurisdictions. Utilization of all four is the best approach to formulating a comprehensive program that diminishes the propensity for oversights.

Regulation

Police Power: The Kentucky Revised Statutes bestow general police power to local units of government. This enables them to enact and enforce ordinances that define, prohibit, regulate or abate actions, omissions, or conditions deemed detrimental to the welfare of the public, and to abate nuisances.

Police power is an effective tool that allows local units of government to implement hazard mitigation programs to protect public health, safety and welfare through the use of local ordinances. Local ordinances may also be used to mitigate any circumstance that threatens the general health and safety of the public.

Each jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail Region has the authority to enact and enforce regulatory ordinances designed to protect the health, safety and general welfare of its citizens.

Building Codes and Building Inspection: Mitigation measures may regulate the standards for the construction and retrofit of structures within a community, in order to make buildings more resilient to the effects of natural hazards. State and Federal building codes and regulations are designed to help meet these standards.

When appropriate, local jurisdictions are encouraged to develop and enforce building codes that exceed the standards provided in State and Federal regulations. The ability of local units of government to develop codes specific to their geography and vulnerabilities is an essential tool to ensure that mitigation strategies are appropriate and successful.

Land Use: KRS 100 enables local units of government to create a comprehensive plan for land use. In counties with populations of 300,000 or more, KRS 100 mandates countywide planning; otherwise, it is an enabling statute. The Lincoln Trail Region does not have any

counties with a population of 300,000. KRS 100 allows local governments to utilize a powerful tool for controlling land use within each jurisdiction. Land use controls can determine the amount, timing, density, quality and location of all new development. These land use controls can help to determine the level of vulnerability each jurisdiction will experience as a result of a natural disaster. Land Use Plans become a chapter in each participating jurisdiction's comprehensive plan and can be enforced through planning, zoning ordinances, floodplain ordinances and subdivision regulations.

Planning: KRS 100 establishes three types of planning units: independent, joint and regional. The type of planning unit determines the land area within its purview. The Lincoln Trail

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan -



Region has two joint planning units with the remainder being independent planning units. All planning units have the authority to engage in planning activities such as conducting studies, developing goals and the objectives for attaining those goals, creating policies and ordinances, and implementing administrative means to facilitate plans.

Zoning: When local planning units have adopted all of the required elements of a comprehensive plan, then the legislative bodies and fiscal courts within the planning unit may enact zoning and other growth management regulations to promote public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the planning unit, in accordance with KRS 100.201. Specifically, zoning can be used to protect natural resources, specific areas of the planning unit that need special protection, and to prevent the loss of life, health, or property from fire, flood and other dangers.

Within the Lincoln Trail Region, FEMA has designated Flood Hazard Areas (FHA). Zoning can be effectively used to preclude development within proximity to these areas. Local



FIRM Breckinridge County 21027C0250C, Source: FEMA.

Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRM) are used to determine where these areas are. Zoning ordinances should prohibit development within these areas and protect the functional integrity of the floodplains. *Subdivision Regulations*: KRS 100 defines subdivision as "the division of a parcel of land into three (3) or more lots or parcels except in a county containing a city of the first, second or third class or in an urban-county government or consolidated local government where a subdivision means the division of a parcel of land into two (2) or more lots or parcels; for the purpose, whether immediate or future, of sale, lease, or building development, or if a new street is involved, any division of a parcel of land; provided that a division of land for agricultural use and not involving a new street shall not be deemed a subdivision." A planning unit may develop subdivision regulations for a number of purposes, to include: the protection and preservation of the value of the land, buildings and improvements upon the land, the protection and provision of public health, safety and general welfare of the jurisdiction, to minimize the pollution of air, streams and ponds, to assure that drainage facilities are adequate, safeguard the water table, preserve the natural features of the area, and to encourage wise use and management of natural resources.

Subdivision regulations, pertaining to flooding, usually require developers to install adequate drainage systems and design water and sewer facilities that minimize flood damage and avoid contamination.

Floodplain Ordinance: State and federal governments can play a significant role in assisting communities to develop and implement floodplain management programs and encourage the effective use of mitigation strategies. However, the final responsibility rests with local units of government. Flood plains are important water resource areas when left in their natural, undisturbed state. They are often very fertile areas for farming as well, and several exist within the Lincoln Trail Region. Flood plains serve three major purposes: as natural water storage and conveyance; water quality maintenance; and as a ground water recharge area. Unsuitable development in, or manipulation of a flood plain can destroy its value and have an adverse environmental impact on the area. An example of adverse impact would be the flooding of previously dry land when fill is added to any area of a flood plain.

All eight counties and eighteen of the twenty-seven cities within the Lincoln Trail Region participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), thus making flood insurance available to their citizens. These communities became eligible for participation in NFIP by adopting floodplain management regulations intended to reduce or eliminate future losses due to flooding. Only those property owners who purchase flood insurance are covered for losses due to flooding, since homeowner's insurance does not cover flood damage or losses. Floodplain management regulations, the creation and enforcement of floodplain ordinances and the use of digital floodplain maps are all valuable tools in mitigating damage and losses due to flooding.

Acquisition

KRS 104.030 empowers cities to extend flood control measures outside corporate boundaries through the acquisition of property. For the purpose of protecting property within and beyond their corporate limits, cities of all classes are authorized to extend a municipal flood control system beyond city limits by constructing, enlarging, extending, equipping, maintaining and operating walls or other barriers with necessary appurtenances and equipment, beyond the municipal corporate boundaries.

In 1997, Meade County utilized a FEMA Hazard Mitigation Program Grant to exercise the power of acquisition to buy out a portion of the Concordia Community in Meade County. The land was an area of repetitive flood damage, so the County cleared the land and now keeps it in perpetuity as green space. This action removed twelve homes and several area residents, from an area that was a repetitive hazard and eliminated the potential for future losses there.

Taxation

The Commonwealth of Kentucky empowers local units of government with the ability to levy taxes and impose special assessments. The power of taxation can be used to impact the pattern of development within a community and help fund mitigation programs such as storm water management. As a result of expanding regulations from the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), several of our local units of government have initiated a Storm Water Utility Fee to help fund mitigation projects that reduce losses and infrastructure damage as a result of flooding and pollution caused by storm water.

Spending

The Kentucky General Assembly has empowered local units of government with the ability to make expenditures in the public interest. The economic impact of natural disasters to each jurisdiction plays a role in spending decisions and annual budgets. Money must the allocated to cover the cost of capital improvement projects that mitigate the effects of natural hazards as well as expenses incurred for snow removal, debris pickup and the manpower necessary to respond to any kind of natural disaster.

Political Arena

While most residents of an area are aware of what natural hazards are prevalent in their locale and the potential impact that such events can have, there is a gap in knowledge regarding mitigation measures that can be initiated to mitigate those harmful impacts. Educating the general populace about mitigation measures and strategies that could potentially decrease or eliminate the adverse effects of any disaster, will be a key factor in the planning efforts of our region.

The devastating effects of recent natural hazard events and the profound impact they have had on the region, will certainly affect the political climate and put an emphasis on hazard mitigation planning and strategies.

3.4.1.1 <u>Community Capability Assessment</u>

Each of the thirty-five jurisdictions within the Lincoln Trail Region participated in the process of planning and reviewing the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. To be as thorough and inclusive as possible, each community was asked to complete a "Capability Assessment Worksheet" that evaluated many aspects of community resiliency and preparedness. The areas covered were planning and regulatory, administrative and technical, financial, and education and outreach. It should be noted that not every category surveyed was applicable to every community. The twenty-seven cities within the region range in size from populations of 113 to 28,531, and have greatly disparate tax bases and amenities. The eight counties range in size from populations of 11,717 to 105,543 and cover areas ranging from 263 square miles to 628 square miles. The table below summarizes the feedback that these 35 communities contributed. Individual jurisdictional responses are on file at the LTADD office.

Table 3.4.1.1.1 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary				
Planning and Regulatory Assessments				
Plans	Number of Cities	Number of Counties		
Comprehensive/Master Plan	15	5		
Capital Improvements Plan	2	4		
Economic Development Plan	3	4		
Local Emergency Operations Plan	27	8		
Continuity of Operations Plan	11	7		
Transportation Plan	27	8		
Storm Water Management Plan	9	4		
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	1	2		
Other Plans (e.g., brownfields, redevelopment, disaster)	0	0		
Building Code, Permitting, and	Inspections			
Building Code	9	3		
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule Score	2	0		
Fire Department ISO Rating	17	4		
Site Plan Review Requirements	7	4		
Land Use Planning and Or	dinances			
Zoning Ordinance	14	4		
Subdivision Ordinance	13	4		
Floodplain Ordinance	12	4		
Natural Hazard (storm water, steep slope, wildfire)	11	2		
Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRM)	27	8		
Acquisition of Land for Open Space and Public Recreation Areas	7	0		
Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary				
Administrative and Technical				
Administration	Number of	Number of		

	Cities	Counties
Planning Commission	15	5
Mitigation Planning Commission	27	8
Maintenance Programs to Reduce Risk (e.g., tree	13	7
trimming, clearing drainage systems)		
Mutual Aid Agreements	27	8
Staff		
Chief Building Official	5	4
Floodplain Administrator	10	5
Emergency Manager	27	8
Community Planner	2	2
Civil Engineer	4	1
GIS Coordinator	3	2
Technical		
Warning Systems/Services (Reverse 911,	27	7
outdoor warning sirens)		
Hazard Data and Information	27	8
Grant Writing	27	8

All of the Lincoln Trail Region cities and counties have access to services provided by the LTADD. ADD staff write and administer grants for any jurisdiction in need of assistance. Every jurisdiction is included in the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, and the process and activities necessary to keep it relevant and informative. That includes access to hazard data, information, and risk assessments. There are regional mutual aid agreements in place that include first response personnel and resources from all jurisdictions, as part of NIMS compliance. All jurisdictions have access to DFIRM maps, GIS/GPS assistance, hazard data information, transportation planning, and community planning help, through the Lincoln Trail Area Develop District as well.

Almost the entire region is covered by an outdoor warning system and many have enhanced warning systems such as reverse 911 or Code Red.

The Lincoln Trail Region has two joint planning commissions in LaRue County and Nelson County that provide comprehensive land use plans and regulations for both counties, and the five cities within their respective jurisdictions. Hardin, Meade and Washington Counties have their own planning commissions, as do an additional thirteen cities. All twenty-seven cities are covered by the eight county emergency managers and are included in the EOP of their county. LTADD staff provides land use planning assistance and training on an individual and regional basis. City and County ISO ratings ranged from 4 to 9, with an average of 5.809. The City of West Point is located at the confluence of the Ohio and Salt Rivers and has taken steps to mitigate flood hazards with a *Flood Damage Prevention Plan Ordinance* that requires all new construction to be in compliance with base flood elevations.

Table 3.4.1.1.2 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary

Financial				
Funding Resource	Number of	Number of		
	Cities	Counties		
Capital Improvements Project Funding	9	1		
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	0	0		
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	19	1		
Impact Fees for New Development	1	1		
Storm Water Utility Fee	3	0		
Incur Debt Through Private Activities	4	0		
Incur Debt Through General Obligation Bonds	27	8		
Community Development Block Grant	27	8		
Other Federal Funding Programs	27	8		
State Funding Programs	27	8		

All of the jurisdictions in the Lincoln Trail Region, with the exception of Elizabethtown, which receives entitlement funding through HUD, are eligible for Community Development Block Grants as well as other State and Federally funded programs. Many communities would like to enhance their storm water management capabilities and are considering a storm water utility fee as a means for funding those activities and projects.

Table 3.4.1.1.3 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary						
Education and Outre	Education and Outreach					
Programs/Organization	Number of	Number of				
	Cities	Counties				
Local Citizen Groups or Non-profit Organizations						
Focused on Environmental Protection,	27	8				
Emergency Preparedness, Access and Functional						
Needs Populations, Etc.						
Ongoing Public Education or Information						
Program (e.g., Fire Safety, Household	27	8				
Preparedness, Environmental Education)						
Natural Disaster or Safety Related School	All Cities with	8				
Programs	Schools					
StormReady Certification		1				
Firewise Communities Certification	0	0				
Public-private Partnership Initiatives Addressing	2	2				
Disaster Related Issues						

All communities throughout the region have access to local citizens groups or non-profit organizations such as the American Red Cross, the Medical Reserve Corps, Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) groups, Amateur Ham Radio Operators, and the Kentuckiana Volunteer Aviators; these groups are available to assist in emergency situations and in response to a natural hazard event.

Every city and county with a school has a safety education program to inform families about safety and preparedness in the event of a natural disaster or fire. A component of these programs is the dissemination of information about natural disasters and individual and family preparedness. Each of these schools conducts fire and severe weather drills each year. In addition, all communities have access to information through LTADD and from websites such as FEMA's.

Planning to make this eight-county region more resilient and prepared, has been incorporated into many projects and regional trainings over the last five years, and during this update process. All communities have received training and information on the importance of proactive land use planning, and the need to avoid development in hazard prone areas. In addition, every city and county has received information on making their existing infrastructure and critical facilities more resilient to the effects of hazard events.

As part of the Lincoln Trail Region's planning process for updating the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, each of the eight counties was allocated some regional funding to work toward "StormReady" Certification. Those projects are currently under way and will be completed before the end of 2015. While Hardin County is currently the only "StormReady" certified county, Meade County will be eligible for that certification once their projects are completed. In addition, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration has recognized the Meade County Emergency Management Agency as a "Weather-Ready Nation Ambassador." Over the last 5 years, this region has made great strides in resiliency and preparedness due to the planning efforts of the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee, and the coordinated efforts of the twenty-seven cities and eight counties.

3.4.1.2 Existing Governmental Structures

The table below briefly outlines the jurisdictions that are included in the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan as well as, the characteristics of each governing body.

Table 3.4.1.2.1 - Lincoln Trail Regional Units of Government			
Jurisdiction	Population	Type of Government	
	2010		
	Census		
Breckinridge County enco	mpasses 567.1	7 square miles with a population density	
of approximately 35.4 peop	le per square n	nile. Elevations in the County range from	
383 to 920 feet above sea le	vel.		
Breckinridge County	20,059	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal	
	18,888	Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Cloverport	1,152	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Hardinsburg	2,343	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Irvington	1,181	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	

Grayson County encompasses 496.7 square miles with a population density of approximately 51.8 people per square mile. Elevations in the County range from 395 to 963 feet above sea level.

575 to 705 leet ubove seu le	VCI.	
Grayson County	25,746	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal
	26,194	Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Caneyville	608	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Clarkson	875	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Leitchfield	6,699	One Mayor & Six City Council Members

Hardin County encompasses 623.28 square miles with a population density of approximately 169.3 people per square mile. Elevations in the County range from 383 to 1,017 feet above sea level.

Sos to 1,017 leet above sea			
Hardin County	105,543	One County Judge/Executive & Eight	
	108,266	Fiscal Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Elizabethtown	28,531	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Radcliff	21,688	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Sonora	513	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of Upton	683	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of Vine Grove	4,520	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of West Point	797	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
LaRue County encompasse	s 261.52 squar	re miles of land with a population density	
of approximately 54.3 peop	le per square r	nile.	
LaRue County	14,193	One County Judge/Executive & Four	
	14,180	Fiscal Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Hodgenville	3,206	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
Marion County encompasses 343.01 square miles of land with a population density			
of approximately 57.8 peop	le per square r	nile.	
Marion County	19,820	One County Judge/Executive & Five	
	20,007	Fiscal Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Bradfordsville	294	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of Lebanon	5,539	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Loretto	713	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of Raywick	134	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
		re miles of land with a population density	
of approximately 93.6 peop	le per square n	nile.	
Meade County	28,602	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal	
	29,139	Court Magistrates	

	(2014 estimate)	
	estimatej	
City of Brandenburg	2,643	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Ekron	135	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Muldraugh	947	One Mayor & Six City Council Members

Nelson County encompasses 417.51 square miles of land with a population density of approximately 104.0 people per square mile.

of approximately 10110 people per square nine.			
Nelson County	43,437	One County Judge/Executive & Five	
	44,812	Fiscal Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Bardstown	11,700	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Bloomfield	838	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Fairfield	113	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of New Haven	855	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
Washington County encom	passes 297.27 s	square miles of land with a population	
density of approximately 39	9.4 people per s	square mile.	
Washington County	11,717	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal	
	11,959	Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Mackville	222	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners	
City of Springfield	2,519	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Willisburg	282	One Mayor & Four City commissioners	

3.4.1.3 Local Jurisdiction's "Professional Staff" Assessment

The following table lists the professional staff departments that serve the jurisdictions within the Lincoln Trail Region. It should be noted that police, sheriff and public safety offices are identified in a separate table. Social services in the region are provided by a regional community mental health system. Every county has a presiding county judge/executive and county clerk, and every city has a mayor and city clerk. Every county also has a PVA and a county board of education. Cities with independent boards of education include: Cloverport, Elizabethtown, and West Point.

Table 3.4.1.3.1	Table 3.4.1.3.1 - Local Jurisdiction's- Professional Staff					
Jurisdiction	PVA	Road	Health Dept.	Emergency	Building	
	(Tax Assessment)	Dept.		Management	Inspections	
Breckinridge	Y	Y	Independent	Y	Y	
County			Department			
Cloverport	*	PW	*	*	Y	
Hardinsburg	*	PW	*	*	Y	
Irvington	*	PW	*	*	Y	
Grayson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
County						
Caneyville	*	*	*	*	*	
Clarkson	*	*	*	*	*	
Leitchfield	*	PW	*	*	Y	

Hardin	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Elizabethtown	*	PW	*	Y	Y
Radcliff	*	PW	*	Y	*
Sonora	*	*	*	Y	*
Upton	*	*	*	Y	*
Vine Grove	*	PW	*	Y	Y
West Point	*	PW	*	Y	Y
LaRue	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Hodgenville	*	PW	*	*	*
Marion	Y	Y	Y	Y	State
County					Inspector
Bradfordsville	*	No	*	*	*
Lebanon	*	PW	*	Y	Y
Loretto	*	No	*	*	*
Raywick	*	No	*	*	*
Meade	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Brandenburg	*	PW	*	*	*
Ekron	*	*	*	*	*
Muldraugh	*	PW	*	*	*
Nelson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					2 inspectors
Bardstown	*	PW	*	*	Y
Bloomfield	*	PW	*	*	*
Fairfield	*	*	*	*	*
New Haven	*	PW	*	*	*
Washington	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Mackville	*	*	*	*	*
Springfield	*	PW	*	*	Y
Willisburg	*	*	*	*	*

(NA – Not Applicable), (* - Covered by County), (Y – Yes), (PW – Public Works)

The duties and responsibilities of the professional city and county offices are outlined below.

Boards of Education: The Lincoln Trail Region has eight county boards of education and four independent districts. County boards are responsible for evaluating educational goals; providing financial resources for budgeting purposes; involving the public in the planning process; developing and maintaining a statement of mission, vision and values; and evaluating the effectiveness of school policies and their implementation. All members of a school board are elected to serve by the people of each district.

Building Inspector: A city or county building inspector enforces the State and Local Building Codes, the National Flood Insurance Program, the Community Rating System, and other applicable codes through the process of inspections and permitting.

PVA, City/County Clerk, Sheriff: The Property Valuation Administrator (PVA) is responsible for the valuation of property for tax purposes. A city clerk is hired by the mayor and is responsible for the maintenance and safekeeping of the permanent records of the city, and is the official custodian of records under KRS 61.870 to 61.884. Per KRS 83A.085, the city clerk must submit a list of current city information to the Department for Local Government no later than January 31 of each year. The county clerk is elected by the citizens of the county every four years and performs the clerical duties of fiscal court such as issuing, registering, recording and keeping legal records; registering and purging voter rolls; conducting election duties; and conducting tax duties. A county clerk may serve as clerk of fiscal court. The county sheriff is elected every four years and operates on a budget annually approved by fiscal court. The sheriff is responsible for the collection of real property taxes and enforcement of state and local laws.

City Police: City Police Departments are responsible for the enforcement of local and state laws within their jurisdictions. KRS 95.440 requires cities of the second and third class as well as urban-county government to establish and maintain police and fire departments.

Road Departments: Road Departments are responsible for the repair and maintenance of all public roadways. This responsibility includes snow and debris removal.

Utility Departments: Local utility departments, in conjunction with utility commissions, local units of government and the Kentucky Utility Commission, work in concert to ensure that the entire region has access to safe and reliable water, gas, electric, communication, and sewer services. There could potentially be numerous service providers in any one county.

Emergency Management: Each county emergency management office is responsible for all operations that deal with both natural and man-made disasters. This responsibility extends to mitigation strategies, preparedness, response efforts and recovery operations. Kentucky Revised Statutes mandate that each county establish an emergency management office.

City and County Treasurer: City and County Treasurers are responsible for the oversight and management of either the city or county budget and fiscal programs.

Mayor and County Judge Executive: A mayor or a county judge/executive is elected every four years and is responsible for the oversight of the daily operations of either the city or county government, and the enforcement of city or county policies and regulations. According to the Kentucky Constitution, section 124, and KRS 67.040, a County Judge Executive serves as a member and presiding officer of the fiscal court and acts as the county's chief executive and administrative official.

Health Department/Social Services: In the Lincoln Trail Region, the Lincoln Trail District Health Department and Central Kentucky Community Action agencies provide individuals and communities with programs and services designed to protect public health, provide medical assistance and administer numerous social service programs.

3.4.1.4 First Responder Resources

First responder resources are critical components of the region's level of resiliency and preparedness. Homeland Security grants have enabled many of the Lincoln Trail Region's local jurisdictions to acquire communication and first responder equipment.

Table 3.4.1.4.1 - Lincoln Trail Region First Responder Resources			
Jurisdiction	Personnel Resources	Vehicle & Equipment Resources	
Breckinridge	Sheriff, 9 officers & 2 staff	36 vehicles and 13 portable	
County	Trained volunteer	generators	
	firefighters at		
	Stephensport, McQuady,		
	McDaniels, Custer, Webster,		
	Harned		
	County Emergency Mgr.		
City of	Police Chief	4 vehicles	
Cloverport	Trained volunteer		
	firefighters		
City of	Police Chief, 4 officers	36 vehicles and 40 pieces of	
Hardinsburg	7 trained volunteer	equipment	
	firefighters		
City of Irvington	Police Chief, 3 officers, Fire	10 vehicles and 4 pieces of equipment	
	Chief, 22 Trained volunteer		
	firefighters		
Grayson	Sheriff, 9 full-time & 3 part-	31 vehicles and 1 piece of equipment	
County	time officers & 6 staff		
	Trained volunteer		
	firefighters at Anneta Fire		
	District #792, Falls of the		
	Rough Fire & Rescue, Wax		
	Fire & Rescue, East Grayson		
	Fire & Rescue		
Citra of	County Emergency Mgr. Police Chief	14 mahialaa mtilita taailaa 2	
City of Caneyville	Fire Chief, 15 trained	14 vehicles, utility trailer, 2 generators, firefighter gear, extrication	
Calleyville	volunteers	hydraulic tools	
City of Clarkson	Police Chief	15 vehicles	
City of Clarkson	Fire Chief and trained		
	volunteer firefighters		

City of Leitchfield	Police Chief & 14 Offi Fire Chief & 2 trained firefighters, trained volunteer firefighters	l	20 police vehicles, trailer & generator 15 fire vehicles, boat w/ motor, trailer, 2 generators
Hardin County	Sheriff & 11 officers Trained volunteer firefighters at Stephensburg, White Mills, West 84, Central Hardin, & KY 86 County Emergency Mgr.	8 pumper/tanker vehicles, 3 rescue vehicles, 6 tankers, & 43 other vehicles	
City of Elizabethtown	Elizabethtown Police has 49 sworn officers and 18 staff people Paid Fire Dept. has 3 stations & 50 employees	 40 police patrol vehicles & SWAT team equipment for police dept. Fire dept. has 4 fire engines, 2 aerial ladder trucks, 3 brush trucks, hazardous material equipment, swift water rescue equipment, a trench equipment trailer 	
City of Radcliff	Radcliff Police Dept. has chief, 41 officers, 1 public relations officer & 11 staff personnel Fire Dept. has chief, 22 full-time pd. Firefighters & 7 volunteers	Radcliff law enforcement has a fleet of response vehicles. The fire dept. has 8 vehicles, 8 generators, a mobile incident command center, portable lighting and assorted equipment and gear.	
City of Sonora	Fire chief and trained volunteer firefighters	Fire de	ept. has several fire trucks.
City of Upton	Fire chief and volunteer firefighters	6 fire trucks and an emergency generator	
City of Vine Grove	Police chief & 7 officers Fire chief, deputy chief, assistant chief and volunteer firefighters	Police patrol vehicles and equipment 6 fire fighting vehicles and fire fighting gear and equipment.	

City of West Point	3 police officers Fire chief & 11	Police patrol vehicles and equipment
Point	trained volunteer	3 fire fighting vehicles and fire fighting gear and equipment
	firefighters	
LaRue County	Sheriff & 4 officers	Law enforcement patrol vehicles
	Trained volunteer	Firefighting vehicles and equipment
	firefighters at 4	
	county locations	
City of	City police dept. with 6 officers	6 law enforcement vehicles
Hodgenville	Fire chief & 11	4 firefighting vehicles, a boat and portable pump
	trained volunteer	pump
	firefighters	
Marion County	Sheriff & 7 officers	Law enforcement vehicles
	Marion Co. EMS	5 ambulances
	Marion Co. Rescue	EM vehicle
		EMS director vehicle
City of	Fire chief & 15	Firefighting vehicles and equipment Fire tanker and trucks
Bradfordsville	trained volunteer	Emergency generator at community center,
Dradiorusvine	firefighters	sewer plant and fire station
City of Lebanon	Police chief & 16	18 law enforcement vehicles
	officers	Fire fighting vehicles and equipment
	Fire chief & 20	
	trained volunteer	
City of Lowethe	fire fighters	
City of Loretto	Fire chief & trained volunteer	4 – class A pumpers 1 – class A pumper/tanker
	firefighters	2 – utility vehicles
City of Raywick	NA	NA
	1	
Meade County	Sheriff & 8 officers	Sheriff Dept. vehicles
	County-wide fire	Earth moving equipment
	protection with	Water Dist. Trucks
	trained volunteer	Fire District tankers, brush trucks and
	firefighters at 10	assorted firefighting equipment
	stations throughout the county	
	EMS, EM	
City of	Police chief & 4	6 police patrol vehicles
Brandenburg	officers	3 emergency generators
_		Maintenance and road dept. vehicles
City of Ekron	NA	NA

City of	Police chief & 3	Law enforcement patrol vehicles (4)
Muldraugh	officers	2 pumpers
	Fire chief & 19	1 brush truck
	trained volunteer	1 ladder truck
	firefighters	4 diesel generators
	0	1 decontamination vehicle
Nelson County	Sheriff, 5 command	Law enforcement vehicles
	officers, 7 patrol	Fire fighting vehicles and equipment
	officer, office staff	
	EM	
	EMS	
	Bardstown-Nelson	
	Co. Volunteer Fire	
Citra of	Dept.	25 law enforcement vehicles
City of	Police chief & 24	
Bardstown	officers	2 fire truck pumpers and 1 aerial pumper
	Fire chief & 9	Backup emergency generators at city hall,
	trained volunteer	water treatment plant and wastewater
	firefighters	treatment plant
City of	Police chief & 1	2 law enforcement patrol vehicles
Bloomfield	officer	2 fire engines, 1 aerial truck, 2 fire tankers, 2
	Fire chief & 5	brush units & 1 support vehicle
	trained volunteer	2 water trucks, 1 dump truck, 1 portable
	firefighters	emergency generator
City of Fairfield	NA	NA
City of New	Police chief	1 law enforcement patrol vehicle
Haven	Fire chief & 11	2 fire truck pumpers, 1 boat, 2 emergency
	trained firefighters	generators, mutual aid rescue equipment
	<u>_</u>	
Washington	Sheriff & 3 officers	5 Law enforcement vehicles
County	County Emergency	4 ambulances
	Mgmt., County EMS	5 rescue trucks
	& County Fire Dept.	5 fire tankers
		6 fire engines
		1 command post
City of Mackville	NA	NA
City of	Police chief & 7	Law enforcement vehicles
Springfield	officers	Fire Truck, pumper, & assorted fire fighting
	Fire chief, 9 officers	equipment and gear
	and 15 trained	Dump truck
	volunteer	Emergency generators
	firefighters	Bobcat w/ bucket
City of	Fire chief	NA
Willisburg		
		-

3.4.1.5 Utilities and Services

The following chart outline some of the critical utility and service providers in the eightcounty, Lincoln Trail Region.

Breckinridge County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	
	Kenergy Corporation	Breckinridge County
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East Kentucky Power	
	Cooperative	
	Nolin RECC	
Natural/Propane Gas	Atmos Energy Corp.	Breckinridge County
	Valley Gas, Inc.	
Potable Water	Cloverport Water & Sewer	City of Cloverport
	System (distribution only)	
	Hardinsburg Water Dept.	City of Hardinsburg and
		Rural Breckinridge Co.
	Irvington Water System	City of Irvington
	(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Cloverport Sewer System	City of Cloverport
	Hardinsburg Sewer	City of Hardinsburg
	System	
	Irvington Sewer System	City of Irvington
	USCOE Rough River Lake	Local Package Plant
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Irvington
Housing	Irvington	
	Weatherholt Hills	City of Cloverport
	Apartments	
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom,	
	LLC	Breckinridge County
	AT&T Kentucky	

Table 3.4.1.5.1 - Utility and Service Providers

Grayson County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East Kentucky Power	Grayson County
	Cooperative	
	Farmers RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Tennessee Valley	
	Authority	
	Warren RECC	
Natural/Propane Gas	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
Potable Water	Caneyville Water & Sewer	City of Caneyville
	System (distribution only)	
	Grayson Co. Water District	Grayson County
	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
Sewer Service	Caneyville Sewer System	City of Caneyville
	Clarkson Sewer System	City of Clarkson
	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
	Commission	
	Rough River Dam State	Falls of the Rough
	Resort Park (pkg. plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	NA	
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky	Grayson County
	East	
Hardin County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East Kentucky Power	Hardin County
	Cooperative	
	Nolin RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Louisville Gas & Electric	
Natural (Draw are Car	(a PPL company)	City of Elizabethteen
Natural/Propane Gas	Elizabethtown Water &	City of Elizabethtown
	Gas (distribution only)	Hardin County
	Louisville Gas & Electric	Hardin County
	(a PPL company)	

Potable Water	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	Fort Knox
	(Fort Knox)	
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	City of Radcliff, Northern
		Hardin County
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #2	City of Elizabethtown
		Southern Hardin County
	Vine Grove Water Dept.	City of Vine Grove
	(distribution only)	
	West Point Water Dept.	City of West Point
Sewer Service	Airview Estates	Airview Estates
	Subdivision (pkg. plant)	Subdivision
	East Hardin Middle School	School in Glendale
	(package plant)	
	Elizabethtown	City of Elizabethtown
	Wastewater System	
	Glendale Auto Truck Plaza	Truck Plaza in Glendale
	(package plant)	
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	City of Radcliff
	Radcliff Sewer System	
	Hardin Co. Water Dist., #2	Hardin County
	Heartland Mobile Home	Mobile Home Community
	Community (pkg. plant)	in Rineyville
	Lincoln Trail Elementary	Lincoln Trail Elementary
	School (pkg. plant)	School
	Sonora Auto Truck Plaza	Truck Plaza in Sonora
	(pkg. plant)	
	US Army Hardin Co. Water	City of Radcliff
	Dist. #1	
	Vine Grove Sewer System	City of Vine Grove
	West Point Sewer System	City of West Point
	Petro Stopping Centers	Petro in Glendale
	(pkg. plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Elizabethtown
Housing	Elizabethtown	-
5	Housing Authority of	City of Radcliff
	Radcliff	~
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom,	
5	LLC	Hardin County
	AT&T Kentucky	,

LaRue County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East Kentucky Power	
	Cooperative	
	Farmers RECC	
	Inter-County Energy	LaRue County
	Cooperative	, j
	Nolin RECC	
	Salt River Electric	
	Cooperative Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	LaRue County
	PPL company)	_
Potable Water	Hodgenville Water Works	City of Hodgenville
	LaRue Co. Water District	LaRue County
	#1(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Hodgenville Sewer System	City of Hodgenville
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Hodgenville
Housing	Hodgenville	
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky	
	East	LaRue County
	South Central Rural	
	Telephone	
Marion County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East Kentucky Power	
	Cooperative	
	Inter-County Energy	
	Cooperative	Marion County
	Salt River Electric	
	Cooperative, Corp.	
	Taylor County RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
Natural /Duarda a Car	company)	
Natural/Propane Gas	Ature of Freedom	
	Atmos Energy	Marian Country
	Corporation	Marion County
	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	
	PPL company)	

Potable Water	Lebanon Water Works Co.,	City of Lebanon
	Inc. Marion County Water Dist. (distribution only)	Rural Marion County
Sewer Service	Bradfordsville Sewer	City of Bradfordsville
	System Lebanon Sewer System Loretto Wastewater System (collection only)	City of Lebanon City of Loretto
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	Housing Authority of Lebanon	City of Lebanon
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky East	Marion County
Meade County		•
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Coop Meade Co. RECC East KY Power Coop Nolin RECC Louisville Gas & Electric (a PPL company)	Meade County
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a PPL company)	Meade County
Potable Water	Brandenburg Water Works Doe Valley Utilities, Inc. Meade Co. Water District Muldraugh Water Dept. (distribution only)	City of Brandenburg Doe Valley Development Rural Meade County City of Muldruagh
Sewer Service	Brandenburg Sewer System Doe Valley Assoc., Inc. (pkg. plant) Muldraugh Sewer System (collection only)	City of Brandenburg Doe Valley Association City of Muldraugh
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	NA	NA
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom, LLC AT&T Kentucky	Meade County

Nelson County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East KY Power Coop	
-	Inter-County Energy Coop	
	Salt River Electric Coop	Nelson County
	Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Bardstown Municipal	
	Electric & Gas	
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	Nelson County
	PPL company)	
Potable Water	Bardstown Municipal	Bardstown & adjacent
	Water Department	area
	Bloomfield Water & Sewer	Bloomfield &
	Department (distribution	Northeastern Nelson Co.
	only)	
	New Haven Municipal	City of New Haven &
	Water Works (distribution	adjacent areas
	only)	
	North Nelson Water Dist.	Northern Nelson County
	(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Abb an of Coth company	Abb and at Cath agences
Sewer Service	Abbey of Gethsemani	Abbey at Gethsemani
	(pkg. plant)	City of Dondstoyun
	Bardstown Sewer System Cox's Creek Elementary	City of Bardstown Cox's Creek
	School	COX S CIEEK
	New Haven Sewer System	City of New Haven
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Bardstown
Housing	Bardstown	City of Darustown
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom,	
Leta Inchange durriers	LLC.	Nelson County
	AT&T Kentucky	
Washington County		I
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East KY Power Coop	
	Blue Grass Energy Coop	
	Corp.	
	Inter-County Energy Coop	Washington County
	Salt River Electric Coop	
	Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	

		1
Natural/Propane Gas	Atmos Energy	
	Corporation	
	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	Washington County
	PPL company)	
Potable Water	Springfield Water & Sewer	Springfield and
	Commission	Washington County
Sewer Service	Springfield Water & Sewer	City of Springfield
	Commission	
	Washington County	School in Willisburg
	Elementary School (pkg.	
	plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Springfield
Housing	Springfield	
Local Exchange Carriers	AT&T Kentucky	Washington County

Findings Summary: The mission statement of the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee (LTHMC) is: *To Reduce or Eliminate the Negative Physical and Economic Impacts Natural Hazards have on our Communities*. All jurisdictions desire to accomplish this mission, but disparate resources hinder this effort. The capabilities assessment contained within this document would appear to indicate relative equality across all eight counties. However, each county is unique, with populations that range from more than 105,000 people to less than 12,000, and land mass areas ranging from 300 square miles to 600. Financial disparity is also prevalent due to each county's size and tax base. Many of our counties rely solely on volunteer first responders, while others can afford full-time, paid personnel, in addition to volunteer responders.

Kentucky Emergency Management's goal is to coordinate a system of mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery actions that protect the lives, environment and property of the people of Kentucky. Toward that end, Kentucky Emergency Management requires each county to prepare and update a countywide Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Each county EOP enumerates policies and provisions for a coordinated local, state and federal emergency response to any natural, technological or manmade emergency or disaster. Each county EOP details preparedness activities for each local government, prior to a disaster. These preparedness actions include, but are not limited to, the selection and training of staff and emergency response personnel, development of operation plans, equipping an emergency operations center (EOC), and the establishment of procedures that will effectively prepare the area to respond to emergencies and disasters. These county plans and the extensive coordination requirements they contain, ensure that the aftermath of any natural or manmade disaster will be minimized. These planning efforts will minimize the length of power outages, expedite efforts to keep roads open, and reduce the amount of time and number of residents who may be displaced from their homes.

In contrast to county emergency operations plans, the Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan is designed to define activities that local units of government can take to

decrease, eliminate or diffuse their vulnerability to disasters prior to an actual disaster event.

The LTHMC has worked with local units of government in the Lincoln Trail Region, during the planning phase of this plan update, to inventory completed mitigation projects, report on current actions and develop future activities that will minimize community vulnerability across the region and decrease adverse impacts that may occur as a result of any future disaster. The Lincoln Trail Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan Update will contain goals and objectives that pertain to the entire region, are county specific, and address single issues within individual cities. The plan exemplifies a planning effort that is pro-active in addressing actions that will effectively mitigate future natural hazards, as well as a documentation of mitigation initiatives that have solved some past vulnerability issues. To date, the region has accomplished several successful mitigation projects, some are still in progress and others are planned when sufficient funding can be secured.

3.4.1.6 Lincoln Trail Region Community Hazard Mitigation Projects

The hazard mitigation projects in the Lincoln Trail Region, fall into three categories:

- 1. Projects Completed
- 2. Projects Presently Underway
- 3. Future Projects

The Region has planned for projects that will mitigate loss of property and human life and eliminate repetitive losses when possible. The region has used local funds, State funding, FEMA funding, CDBG Funds, and Homeland Security funding to accomplish these projects.



3.4.1.6.1 Completed Projects (1997 through 2014)

Breckinridge County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Two bridges in the County located on Marks Ridge Road West (CR-1520) and Shot Pouch Creek Road (CR-1405) were replaced to mitigate flooding issues that previously closed the roads and stranded local residents in their homes, or prevented farmers from reaching their fields and livestock.

<u>Cloverport</u>

Flood Mitigation: Three Ohio Riverfront properties were acquired and relocated to different sites. Land was converted to recreational use in perpetuity.

Slope Stabilization: Bank stabilization measures were taken to prevent damage to a housing complex for the elderly.

Hardinsburg

Disaster Response: The City used grant funding and local funds to improve its



West Marks Ridge Rd Bridge, Breckinridge Co. Federal Disaster Declaration 1818 Source: LTADD Archive.

emergency response capability by purchasing a mobile command center for use during any kind of disaster response. In addition, a permanent generator was purchased for use in the City Hall and Fire Department so that critical services can be provided during a power outage. The City also purchased a swift water rescue motorized boat for use during flooding incidents.

<u>Irvington</u>

Early Warning System: The City purchased and installed two new early warning sirens to enhance its public warning system.

Grayson County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Grayson County built 3 new bridges to mitigate road flooding that stranded county citizens and prevented emergency response efforts from reaching them.



<u>Caneyville</u>

Early Warning System: The City joined the County's "One Call" service.

Public Safety: Caneyville subscribed to a service that would provide an emergency generator, laptop computer and communication system to the City, in the event of a power outage. This will enable continuity of operations.

Bloomington Rd Bridge, Grayson Co. Federal Disaster Declaration 1818. *Source: LTADD Archive.*

<u>Leitchfield</u>

Public Safety: The City purchased an emergency generator for the water treatment plant. Potable water can be supplied to residents even during a power outage.

Hardin County

<u>County</u>

Early Warning System: Hardin County enhanced its public early warning system by purchasing and installing an outdoor siren in the unincorporated community of Stephensburg. The County also implemented a reverse 911 early warning system, countywide.



E. Poplar St. Bridge, Elizabethtown. Source: City of Elizabethtown.

Elizabethtown and surrounding area

Early Warning System: Hardin County initiated a study to determine the placement of 16 audible warning sirens in Elizabethtown and the surrounding area. The project provided an early warning system where there had been none. The City also replaced 7 aging, outdoor sirens.

Flood Mitigation: The City purchased 3 repetitive loss properties to eliminate future flooding issues. Land was preserved as green space and a storm water retention basin. The City also mitigated flood issues by replacing a bridge on East Poplar St. to facilitate floodwater flow.



<u>Radcliff</u>

Public Safety: Radcliff purchased generators to facilitate power to the community center, which also acts as a shelter, and to power city hall so that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

Early Warning System: The City purchased and installed 3 new outdoor warning sirens and upgraded the current system.

Radcliff generator, 2010 Flooding Declaration, Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>Upton</u>

Early Warning System: Upton purchased and installed an outdoor siren.

Vine Grove

Flood Mitigation: The City repaired the banks of a creek to eliminate road flooding. Vine Grove also replaced sewer lines lying within a waterway to eliminate the potential for sewage to spill into storm water runoff.

<u>West Point</u>

Flood Mitigation: Repetitive loss properties were acquired and their respective structures demolished. Land was preserved as community green space. Four houses, two mobile home parks and three vacant lots were purchased. The City also relocated 5 lift station electrical panels from a floodway to higher points and initiated a local storm water fee to fund future flood mitigation projects.

Public Safety: The City purchased an emergency generator for the wastewater treatment plant so that service could continue in the event of a power outage.



LaRue County

West Point Lift Station. Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: The County purchased an emergency generator for both the County Courthouse and Hodgenville City Hall to ensure that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

<u>Hodgenville</u>

Public Safety: Emergency generators were purchased for the water plant and sewer plants.

Flood Mitigation: Storm water management improvements completed to address flooding issues on 3 city streets.

Marion County

<u>County</u>

The County built a replacement bridge over Scuffle Creek that frequently flooded and cut off access to 32 residences and farms.



Scuffle Creek Bridge, Marion Co., Federal Disaster Declaration 1818. Source: LTADD Archive.

Bradfordsville

Public Safety: The City completed a safe room to serve the entire community. Signage was also installed to identify and locate the facility.

Early Warning System: An outdoor siren was installed in the City and weather radios were distributed to the elderly, disabled and rural residents.

<u>Lebanon</u>

Flood Mitigation: A storm water management project was completed to mitigate flooding in the Derring Ct. neighborhood. Three hundred feet of an existing storm drainage channel was relocated, improved and straightened to mitigate repetitive flooding issues. Additional repairs were made to a storm water channel that was causing local flooding.

<u>Loretto</u>

Public Safety: The City purchased and installed an emergency generator for the City Hall/Community Center so that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

Meade County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Meade County purchased and equipped a mobile trailer to use wherever a long-term shelter needs to be established for displaced persons. The trailer carries supplies for a 100 person shelter.



Mobile Trailer, Meade Co., 2010 KOHS Grant. Source: Meade Co EM.

Concordia Community

Flood Mitigation: Meade County acquired land in the unincorporated community of Concordia to mitigate repetitive flood damage. Ten residential housing units and the land on which they were built was acquired. Structures were demolished and the land preserved as green space in perpetuity.

<u>Muldraugh</u>

Public Safety: Muldraugh built Kentucky's largest, above ground safe room to mitigate loss of life and injury to the community's entire population. The facility can also serve as a long-term shelter for displaced residents.

The City also purchased a used decontamination trailer for use in the event of a hazardous material incident.



Nelson County

Muldraugh Storm Shelter Dedication 24 Oct. 2013. Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: County purchased emergency backup generators for the County EOC, the 911 center and law enforcement facilities.

Flood Mitigation: Improvements made at the county landfill to address flooding issues.

<u>Bardstown</u>

Public Safety: Bardstown relocated and buried power lines in an area that served 120 residences and 10 commercial facilities to eliminate power outages due to severe weather events.

<u>Bloomfield</u>

Public Safety: Bloomfield wired a smaller lift station to accept an emergency portable generator.

Flood Mitigation: The City completed storm drain and sewer improvement projects to mitigate flooding issues.

Washington County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Washington County completed an emergency notification system for the County.

Flood Mitigation: The County completed the following bridge projects to mitigate flooding issues: Tick Creek, Walker Lane, Froman Lane, Grundy Home Road, Tatum Ridge Road, Roye Lane, and Willis Lane.

Bank Stabilizations: Washington County completed bank stabilization projects on Sulphur Lick Road, Glenns Creek Road, Coulter Lane, Hardesty Road, Trent Lane, Gregory Lane, and Hardesty Road.

Fredericktown Community

Flood Mitigation: Washington County acquired land in the unincorporated community of Fredericktown to mitigate repetitive flood losses. Twelve structures were either purchased and demolished, or elevated, depending on the preference of owners, to mitigate flood damage.

<u>Mackville</u>

Public Safety: The City has installed an emergency generator at the fire department and has set up a safe room at the local community center. Mackville also installed a siren to notify residents of potential bad weather.

3.4.1.6.2 Projects Presently Underway

Hardin County

Vine Grove

Public Safety: Vine Grove is in the process of constructing a community safe room.

Meade County

<u>Ekron</u>

Public Safety: Ekron is clearing land for the construction of a community safe room.

Regional

Public Safety: All eight, Lincoln Trail Counties, are in the process of enhancing their respective preparedness capabilities. The following list outlines what projects each is

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.4 Mitigation Strategy

undertaking to be better prepared according to the criteria established in the "StormReady" National Weather Service Program.

Breckinridge Co.: Purchasing equipment to establish a County Emergency Operations Center (EOC).

Grayson Co.: Purchasing communication equipment for use among first responders and the County Emergency Operations Center to be used in a disaster response situation. Also purchasing weather radios for distribution to all County critical facilities.

Hardin Co.: Purchasing computer equipment for use in the County's mobile Emergency Operations Center.

LaRue Co.: Purchasing a "Code Red" public warning system.

Marion Co.: Purchasing materials to construct a new mobile Emergency Operations Center.

Meade Co.: Purchasing an emergency generator to power County's Emergency Operations Center. Purchasing equipment to measure weather events.

Nelson Co.: Purchasing a "Code Red" public warning system.

Washington Co.: Purchasing emergency generators to power first responder communication equipment during a power outage.

Regional

All eight Lincoln Trail Counties are in the process of distributing 180 weather radios to critical facilities and to vulnerable citizens such as those who are elderly, disabled, or live in rural areas where outdoor warning systems don't reach.

3.4.1.6.3 Future Projects (Pending Funding)

Breckinridge County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Breckinridge County would like to raise a bridge on Tar Springs Rd. that regularly floods, making six family residences inaccessible during high water. Estimated cost = \$400,000

Flood Mitigation: The County would like to raise the roadbed of the Stonehill-Ford Rd. approximately 4 foot on both sides and install new drainage tiles to eliminate reoccurring flooding. This is a moderately traveled, connecting road; it is completely blocked during times of flooding. Estimated cost = \$150,000

Public Safety: The County has radio repeaters located on a water tower on Highway 259 in Harned. During a power outage, the repeaters are down and communication capability for EMS, EMA and fire departments is compromised. An on-site generator would resolve this issue. Estimated cost = \$8,400

Public Safety: The County needs to purchase a portable emergency generator capable of providing backup electricity to a public housing complex during a power outage. Estimated cost = \$30,000

Public Safety: The unincorporated community of Garfield needs an outdoor siren to alert citizens of impending severe weather. Estimated cost = \$30,000



HWY 259 Water Tower Repeater Application. *Source: LTADD.*

Landslide Mitigation: The County has a landslide problem on Tar Springs Rd. The road is sliding

downhill. The purchase of additional land would enable the County to move the road and eliminate the hazard. Estimated cost = \$175,000

<u>Hardinsburg</u>

Public Safety: Hardinsburg would like to construct a community safe room that could also serve as an alternate emergency operations center. Estimated cost = \$2 million

The City would like to purchase and install 3 new outdoor warning sirens. Estimated cost = \$90,000

Purchase and install permanent generators for the water and sewer plant. Estimated cost = \$100,000 each

Flood Mitigation: Hardinsburg wants in install culverts to mitigate flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$40,000 each

Grayson County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Grayson County would like to construct safe rooms throughout the County. They would also like to install an outdoor siren system and purchase emergency generators to maintain critical public services during a widespread power outage. Estimated cost = \$180,500 (generators), \$2 million (safe room)

<u>Caneyville</u>

Public Safety: Caneyville would like to construct a FEMA compliant safe room and purchase emergency generators for city facilities such as city hall, fire dept., sewer plant, lift stations and the community center. Estimated cost = \$166,500 (generators), \$1.2 million (safe room)

Flood Mitigation: Caneyville would like to dredge out the creek that flows through town and often floods the area. Estimated cost = \$200,000

<u>Leitchfield</u>

Public Safety: Leitchfield would like to purchase a generator for City Hall so that critical public services can be provided in the event of a power outage. The City would also like to construct a community safe room and install outdoor warning sirens on the south and west sides of town. Estimated cost = \$40,000 (generator), \$1.2 million (safe room), \$6,000 (2 outdoor sirens)

Hardin County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Hardin County would like to construct 2 new bridges and culverts to mitigate flooding issues in the County. Estimated cost = \$95,000

Public Safety: The County would like to construct 2 tornado safe rooms in the County and upgrade the current outdoor warning siren system with the addition of 4 new sirens. They would also like to purchase 10 emergency generators to power critical facilities in the event of a power outage. It would also like to upgrade its mobile command post with new communication equipment. Estimated cost = \$2 million (safe rooms), \$80,000 (outdoor warning sirens), \$65,000 (generators), \$15,000 (mobile command post communications upgrades)

<u>Elizabethtown</u>

Public Safety: Elizabethtown would like to make upgrades to the Pritchard Community Center that serves as the area's long-term shelter for people displaced by local disasters. It would also like to upgrade its current outdoor warning sirens and purchase 6 portable generators for use at critical facilities in the event of a power outage. Estimated cost = \$500,000 (Pritchard upgrades), \$360,00 (warning sirens), \$180,00 (generators)

<u>Radcliff</u>

Public Safety: Radcliff would like to upgrade its emergency outdoor warning sirens with the purchase of 4 new sirens. The City would also like to construct a community safe room and purchase an emergency backup generator for the police dispatch center. Estimated
cost = \$74,600 (outdoor warning sirens), \$1.5 million (safe room), \$70,00 (backup emergency generator)

Vine Grove

Public Safety: Vine Grove would like to purchase 4 emergency, backup generators for use at its police dept., sewer plant, public works dept., and fire dept. for provision of critical services during a power outage. The City would also like to install transfer switches for 8 lift stations throughout the City. Estimated cost = \$200,000 (generators), \$11,000 (switches for lift stations)

<u>West Point</u>

Flood Mitigation: West Point has two flood mitigation projects they wish to complete. The City would like to complete a storm water management project in the Riverview Dr. neighborhood to eliminate flooding issues there. The City would also like to address storm water infiltration issues with its sewer system that causes flooding. Estimated cost = \$100,00 (Riverview Dr. project), \$500,000 (sewer infiltration project)

LaRue County

<u>Hodgenville</u>

Flood Mitigation: Hodgenville would like to complete a storm water mitigation project on Main St., with the installation of a box culvert drainage system. Estimated cost = \$200,000

Marion County

<u>Bradfordsville</u>

Flood Mitigation: Bradfordsville needs to overhaul its storm water management system to eliminate flooding in homes, businesses and civic facilities and to eliminate the need to close roads due to standing water. Estimated cost = \$350,000

Meade County

Brandenburg

Flood Mitigation: Brandenburg would like to complete a project to stabilize the bank of the Ohio River to eliminate flooding issues that affects critical water wells and localized flooding. Estimated cost = \$1.2 million



Bradfordsville Street Flooding, April 2015. Source: Mayor David Edelen.

<u>Ekron</u>

Public Safety: Ekron would like to construct a centrally located safe room that would provide all area residents with a safe shelter from any kind of severe weather event. The facility would be within a 5-minute walk for the entire community. Estimated cost = \$1 million

<u>Muldraugh</u>

Public Safety: Muldraugh would like to purchase a 200kw natural gas emergency generator to power city hall and the police dept., in the event of a power outage. The City would also like to upgrade its outdoor weather siren system. Estimated cost = \$150,000 (generator), \$6,800 (sirens)

Flood Mitigation: The City would like to construct 5,200 feet of a storm water management culvert. Estimated cost = \$500,000

Nelson County

<u>Bardstown</u>

Public Safety: Bardstown would like to purchase and install an emergency backup generator for the City's sewer pump station. They would also like to construct an emergency road that would allow access to the Town Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant in the event of flooding. Estimated cost = \$94,000 (generator), \$20,000 (road)

Flood Mitigation: The City wants to construct a watershed detention pond to prevent downstream flooding. Estimated cost = \$200,000

<u>Bloomfield</u>

Public Safety: Bloomfield would like to purchase 2 emergency generators to provide backup power to the water dept. and city hall in the event of a power outage. Estimated cost = \$60,000

Flood Mitigation: The City would like to raise the Perry Street Bridge and install larger culverts to mitigate flooding that closes the road. Estimated cost = \$250,000

<u>Fairfield</u>

Public Safety: Fairfield would like to purchase two emergency backup generators for use at the sites designated by the city to serve as emergency shelters. Estimated cost = \$3,500

Washington County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Washington County would like to install box culverts along Grundy Home Road to mitigate flooding. In addition, the County needs to replace two bridges; the Ann Osborne Bride and the Mayfield Lane Bridge. Estimated cost = \$48,000 (culvert boxes), \$140,000 (Mayfield Lane Bridge), \$200,000 (Ann Osborne Bridge)

Bank Stabilization: The County needs to stabilize the banks along Cardwell-Tablow Road and on Hardesty Road. Estimated cost = \$200,000 each

<u>Springfield</u>

Flood Mitigation: Springfield would like to install approximately 1,800 linear feet of a storm water management system to eliminate flooding issues in the Rizer Ave. neighborhood. Estimated cost = \$118,000

The City would also like to complete a 400-foot storm water management project in the Maplewood Ave. neighborhood to address flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$8,000

The Lebanon Hill neighborhood needs a storm water management system to address flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$90,000

Springfield would also like to completely replace the downtown Main St. storm water management system to improve capacity and eliminate flooding. Estimated cost = \$120,000

The Grundy Ave. neighborhood experiences flooding that could be eliminated by a proper storm water management system. Estimated cost = \$175,000

Springfield plans to complete a large flood mitigation project within a Zone A flood area in the City. Part of the project would be the construction of a large storm water management system and part of the plan would be the acquisition and demolition of residences that have suffered repetitive flood losses. Estimated cost = \$250,000 (Storm water system), \$315,000 (acquisition activities)

The City needs to reconstruct a low water bridge that isolates the public works garage due to flooding. Estimated cost = \$190,000

Public Safety: Springfield has a plan to purchase a backup generator system that would provide emergency power to its storm shelter, city hall and public works garage. Estimated cost = \$75,000

The City would install a "One Call System" as an enhancement of its current 911 program. Estimated cost = \$20,000

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.4 Mitigation Strategy

A plan to bury utility lines in the downtown business district would diminish the propensity for power outages and enable local businesses to continue to serve the community during severe weather events. Estimated cost = \$750,000

3.4.2 - Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Goals

The goals and objectives of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan evolved as a result of serious discussion, planning and careful thought among the eight counties and twenty-seven cities in the region. Local units of government, planning organizations, emergency management teams, concerned residents and first response agencies collected and analyzed hazard data relevant to the region and closely examined local levels of resiliency and preparedness. The planning process included training, review of past efforts, data analysis, and careful consideration of the region's vulnerability. Local funding was utilized to enable each county to improve its level of resiliency and preparedness through criteria established in the National Weather Service "StormReady" program. Projects completed included establishment of county emergency operations centers, "Code Red" early warning systems and the purchase of emergency power generators for emergency management. Funding was also used to improve citizen preparedness; weather radios, designed for use by people with hearing or sight impairments, were distributed to vulnerable populations throughout the eight county region. The following goals and objectives have been part of the region's plan since the plan was first written, but have been edited and expanded to reflect increased awareness of potential hazards.

Representatives from the region developed the mitigation goals and objectives based on the review and evaluation of research data and local vulnerability. While mitigation goals throughout the state and nation closely parallel those of this region, the goals, objectives and action plans in this regional plan are specific to the Lincoln Trail ADD geographic location and population demographics.

Six mitigation strategies have been addressed previously, however, evaluation of those strategies led to consolidation of strategies into four, with emergency services and structural projects incorporated into four main strategies, which were used to develop goals and objectives. The four basic components of the mitigation plan goals are:

1. Prevention: Local governmental, regulatory or planning actions and/or processes that regulate land use, development standards, the integrity of built structures, and allocation of local funds. This strategy controls public and private activity that can reduce or eliminate losses due to regional hazards. Examples include, but are not limited to:

- Planning and Zoning
- Building Codes
- Land Use Controls
- Medium and Long Range Planning
- Capital Improvement Programs

- Preservation/Protection of Open Spaces, Fragile Ecosystems and Local Geographic Features
- Storm Water Management
- Routine Inspection, Maintenance, and Repair of Infrastructure

2. Protection of Life and Property: Local governmental and agency actions that plan for, fund and execute activities that protect human life and prevent the loss of property. Examples include:

- Acquisition
- Elevation
- Relocation
- Structural Retrofits
- Construction of Mitigation Projects
- Safe Rooms
- Inspection, Repair and Maintenance of All Infrastructure
- Flood Control Projects
- Emergency Power
- Continuity of Operations
- Public Warning Systems
- Emergency Response Services and Facilities
- Evacuation Plans

3. Public Education and Awareness: Local units of government can prepare and plan for hazard mitigation, but, ultimately, personal responsibility plays a key role in hazard mitigation and community resiliency. Educating the public, from a very early age, about disaster preparedness is a key component of this regional plan and an integral part of community resiliency. Information must be disseminated to all citizens on hazard mitigation and include:

- Real Estate Disclosure Statements
- Land Use Information
- Personal Preparedness and Responsibility
- Education on Hazards
- Child and Adult Education Programs and Classes
- Community Outreach Efforts
- National Flood Insurance Program

4. Protection of Natural Resources and Geographic Features: Actions taken to preserve natural resources, systems and geographic features ultimately serve to also mitigate hazards. These actions include:

- Sediment and Erosion control
- Stream and Corridor Restoration
- Protection of Sink Holes and Karst Topography
- Watershed Management
- Forest and Vegetation Management

- Conservation Efforts
- Wetlands Preservation
- Conservation of Riparian Forest Buffers

Regional Problem Statements, Goals and Objectives

In order to identify regional goals and effective action plans in the form of objectives, regional representatives identified problem statements. The problem statements illustrate regional challenges that face all of the local jurisdictions. Without exception, the problems, goals and objectives are generally applicable to the entire Lincoln Trail Region, and could easily address some aspect of all hazards experienced in the area. Potential funding for each action is fluid and includes HMPG, CDBG, Homeland Security, ADF, AFG, and local funds and fees. The people responsible for implementing each action come from each unit of government and its first response agencies, as well as, the Lincoln Trail Area Development District. The Lincoln Trail ADD Board of Directors and Hazard Mitigation Committee is made up of the eight County Judge Executives and Mayors from the counties' respective cities.

Problem Statements and corresponding goals and objectives were identified during the planning, review and research stages of the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. The goals are basically unchanged, but rapidly improving technology has made data research and gathering more comprehensive.

Problem Statement 1: During the research and review process of the plan update, comprehensive and exact data for the specific Lincoln Trail region was difficult to find. Regional representatives did not believe that the level of hazard data available and the corresponding estimates of damage and losses were accurate.

Goal 1: The Region's first goal and corresponding objectives and action items remain in the plan with some minor modifications. The goal and objectives are generally applicable across the entire region. County specific projects follow the original plan.

Review of Original Plan

Since approval of the original Regional Plan in 2005, advancing technology and local awareness has resulted in additional and more accurate data collection. Mesonet stations have been completed throughout the region and this update includes that information. PDM (Pre-disaster Mitigation) funding allowed LTADD staff to map all structures in floodplains and all critical facilities throughout the region. Losses in floodplains can now be more accurately forecast. All eight counties have now completed the map modernization program and each has had all critical facilities mapped. Additional sources of data have been accessed and Emergency Management Agencies throughout the eight counties have tracked local data and mitigation projects and achievements. All eight counties have received information and funding to become "StormReady", a program

sponsored by the National Weather Service. Each county is aware of weather gauge technology that would enable each county to track localized weather information.

Table 3.4.2.1 - Regional Goal #1							
Goal 1: Gather and Maintain a	Category of	Estimated	Potential				
Comprehensive and Accurate	Action	Cost to	Responsible				
database of jurisdictional specific		Implement	Entity				
natural hazard and parcel data.		Action					
Objective 1.1: Establish methodology							
for gathering natural hazard data							
Action 1.1a: Align criteria and							
procedures for gathering and reporting							
natural hazard event data with the	Prevention	NA	LTADD				
National Environmental Information							
Center.							
Action 1.1b: Identify county contact for	Prevention	NA	LTHMC,				
collecting natural hazard data.			Emergency				
			Manager				
Objective 1.2: Collect, store and analyze							
natural hazard event data		Γ					
Action 1.2a: Incorporate GIS into							
mapping and tracking natural hazard	Prevention	\$10,000	LTADD,				
event data			Emergency				
			Manager				
Action 1.2b: Encourage updating flood	Prevention,	NA	LTHMC, Local				
plain and area maps.	Awareness		Government,				
			Emergency				
			Manager				
Action 1.2c: Maintain and update maps	Prevention,	\$3-5,000	LTADD,				
of critical facilities	Awareness	per county	Emergency				
			Manager,				
			Planning/Zoning				

Problem Statement 2: Since the last Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan update in 2010, all professionals across the 8-county area have come to better understand the capabilities and resources each brings to the region in response potential. Still, more work is needed to inform each jurisdiction of the power and potential for an effective first response, when mutual aid agreements are in place, professional knowledge is shared, and resources are pooled. A heightened sense of unity and strength will greatly reduce response time, better assist local residents, and decrease any kind of social or economic impact on local communities in the event of natural disaster.

Goal 2: Goal 2 and the corresponding objectives and action steps remain in the plan with some minor modifications. The regional council agrees that the goal and objectives are

generally applicable across all jurisdictions. County specific projects follow the original plan.

Review of Original Plan

The Lincoln Trail Region has worked to ensure that all first responders and emergency service agencies have improved their coordinated capabilities. The Region has been participating in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) since its inception in 2005, and supports the NIMS goal for "all response and support agencies to work seamlessly to prevent, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate the effects of incidents, regardless of cause, size, location, or complexity, in order to reduce the loss of life and property and harm to the environment."

Although there is little regional support for Citizen Emergency Response Teams (CERT), the region has a Medical Reserve Corps (MRC) through the local district health department. Volunteers trained in medical and non-medical areas make up the MRC and can be called up in the event of a disaster. The region also has an organization made up of ham radio operators that assists in disaster response, damage assessment and recovery.

Regional emergency response equipment and infrastructure has been acquired and installed throughout the area with funding through Homeland Security, ADF, local funds and other grants. Updated communication equipment, generators, community warning systems and weather tracking technology have been purchased and installed in all 8 counties.

Table 3.4.2.2 - Regional Goal 2			
Goal 2: Improve emergency response time, constituent service	Category of Action	Cost to	Potential Responsible
and education, and regional organizational efficiencies.		Implement Action	Entity
Objective 2.1: Organize regional first responders. Educate the public about personal responsibility, services and available resources, in the event of a natural disaster.			
Action 2.1a: Create a regional inventory of services available to respond to a natural hazard.	Prevention Awareness	NA	Emergency Management LTADD
Action 2.1b: Disseminate information on family preparedness to the public	Prevention Awareness	\$200-\$500 per county	Local Governments LTADD
Objective 2.2: Organize volunteers to enhance emergency response capabilities.			

Action 2.2a: Organize and train volunteers from agencies across the region	Prevention	NA	Emergency Managers Local Agencies
Objective 2.3: Maintain an inventory of local first response resources and facilities.			
Action 2.3a: Encourage the use of mutual aid agreements that allow the use of first response equipment regionally, when & where needed.	Prevention	NA	Emergency Managers Local units of government
Action 2.3b: Purchase emergency response equipment needed	Prevention	Project Specific	Local Government

Problem Statements 3 & 4: Several natural hazards are prevalent in the nation, but do not affect the Lincoln Trail Region. Analysis of hazard data, identifies the following hazards as most prevalent:

- Floods
- Tornados
- Winter Storms
- Severe Thunderstorms (some with imbedded hail)

Thunderstorms are most frequent, followed by floods and flash flooding. Tornados do not occur as frequently, but have caused more loss of life over the past 50 years, than the other hazards combined. Hailstorms have resulted in the greatest property damage regionally. As of 7-31-2015, the region has received over \$8,316,959 in FEMA assistance for flood losses since 01-01-1978.

The following goals and objectives were developed in response to the following criteria:

- 1. Several jurisdictions have facilities that accommodate vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and disabled persons.
- 2. Regionally, there are an estimated 20 critical facilities and numerous residential structures located in floodplains.
- 3. Funding opportunities to address natural hazard issues are underutilized.

Eliminating and/or diminishing the physical and economic impact of natural disasters are goals of this plan. The LTHMC recognizes that this must be a regional endeavor that involves all stakeholders from individual citizens to local units of government. Responsible action requires this plan to provide an in-depth knowledge of the scope of natural disasters and the appropriate mitigation actions to protect citizens and property from hazards.

Goals 3 & 4: The following goals and modified objectives and action steps are generally applicable to jurisdictions throughout the region.

Review of Original Plan:

The Lincoln Trail Region has sponsored numerous efforts to promote individual and community preparedness. Efforts, to disseminate educational material to citizens on the importance of individual preparedness to mitigate the effects of hazards on personal safety and property, have increased.

LTADD advises all jurisdictions on the availability of mitigation funding and local applications for assistance have greatly increased over the last five years. Several projects have been completed including flood mitigation projects to address low water fords and bridges. Three applications for safe rooms were approved, and safe rooms have been completed in the Cities of Bradfordsville and Muldraugh. A safe room is currently under construction in Vine Grove. The City of Bardstown completed a project to bury power lines to prevent power outages in areas of residential and commercial development. Regionally, ADF, Homeland Security, Local, and Hazard Mitigation funds have been utilized for the purchase of communication equipment, generators, weather radios, weather gauges, and public alert/warning systems.

Table 3.4.2.3 - Regional Goal 3	Table 3.4.2.3 - Regional Goal 3							
Goal 3: Educate all regional stakeholders about natural hazards and the shared responsibility to mitigate impacts on public health, welfare and safety.	Category of Action	Estimated Cost to Implement Action	Potential Responsible Entity					
Objective 3.1: Educate entire region on prevalence of natural hazards in this area.								
Action 3.1a: Disseminate information regionally, concerning emergency response and mitigation measures	Prevention Awareness	\$500-\$1000 per county annually	LTADD Emergency Management					
Action 3.1b: Advertise and promote the availability and benefits of the National Flood Insurance Program.	Prevention Awareness	\$500-\$1000 per county annually	Flood Plain Managers Insurance Agencies					
Action 3.1c: Distribute information on personal preparedness and safety	Prevention Awareness	\$200-\$400 per county annually	LTADD Local Government					
Action 3.1d: Provide potential property buyers with hazard data and maps	Prevention Awareness	\$1000- \$2000 per county	P&Z Insurance Agencies					

r	1	1	
Action 3.1e: Develop, maintain and	Prevention	\$3000-	Emergency
publicize evacuation routes	Awareness	\$5000 per	Management
		county	Local
			Government
Action 3.1f: Support the "FAST"	Prevention		LTADD Aging
program of the Area Agency on Aging	Protection		Dept.
to facilitate response to the elderly in		NA	Regional
coordination with the regional MRC,			Medical
in the event of a disaster.			Reserve Corps
Action 3.1g: Provide local units of	Prevention	NA	LTADD
government with Continuity of	Protection		Regional
Operations Planning (COOP).			Health Dept.
			State
Objective 3.2: Inform the Public			
about the damage that results from			
wind borne debris as a result of a			
tornado or severe storm.			
Action 3.2a: Educate the public	Prevention	\$2000-	Local
about the advantages of proper tree	Protection	\$3000 per	Government
maintenance.		county	Utility
		-	Companies
Objective 3.3: Maximize citizen		•	
preparedness for sever weather			
Action 3.3a: Educate the public	Prevention	\$500-\$2000	Media
about public warning systems,	Protection	per county	Local
shelter locations, and individual	Awareness		Government
preparedness measures.			Emergency
			Management
Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard		•	
Mitigation Program Grants and their			
potential benefits			
Action 3.4a: Post notice of available	Public		LTADD
funding opportunities on the LTADD	Education &	NA	
	1	1	
website	Awareness		

Table 3.4.2.4 - Regional Goal 4	
Goal 4: Develop and implement cost effective policies and measures that aid in mitigating the effects of natural hazards to human safety, infrastructure and natural resources throughout the region.	
Objective 4.1: Decrease losses associated with flooding, landslides, and karst topography/sinkholes.	

Action 4.1a: Train local officials and	Prevention	NA	LTADD
planning & zoning commissions on the	Education		FEMA
importance of incorporating hazard	Protection		
mitigation into land use planning.			
Action 4.1b: Use acquisition programs	Prevention	Specific to	Local
to increase green space and recreational		Project	Government
opportunities in flood prone areas.		,	
Action 4.1c: Protect natural resources	Prevention	NA	Local
and environmentally sensitive areas			Government
with regulations and zoning that			Planning &
precludes development in areas such as			Zoning
floodplains, wetlands, steep slopes, and			Commissions
			Commissions
karst topography/sinkholes. Objective 4.2: Reduce the vulnerability			
of critical facilities from effects of all			
natural hazards			
Action 4.2a: Prioritize mitigation	Prevention	Project	Local
projects that reduce the vulnerability of	Flevention	Specific	Government
critical facilities from natural hazards.		specific	Government
	Dramation	Draiaat	Local
Action 4.2b: Partner with all	Prevention	Project	Local
stakeholders to accomplish proper		Specific	Government
maintenance on utility easements.			Utilities
Objective 4.3: Develop and implement			
regulations, ordinances, building codes,			
or zoning that improve community			
resiliency.			
Action 4.3a: Require safe rooms in	Prevention	NA	Local
residential developments of			Government
manufactured housing or mobile			Planning &
homes.			Zoning
Action 4.3b: Reduce storm water	Prevention	Project	Local
flooding with systems funded with		Specific	Government
storm water utility fees. Require such			Planning &
systems in new developments with			Zoning.
subdivision regulations.			_
Objective 4.4: Create jurisdiction		-	
specific hazard mitigation policies that:			
1. Increase CRS rating in NFIP			
communities			
2. Decrease disruptions to services			
and transportation			
3. Minimize damage to public			
infrastructure.			

		1	
Action 4.4a: Develop a flood plain	Prevention	NA	Local
management ordinance that does not			Government
permit the base flood elevation (BFE) to			Planning &
be raised.			Zoning
Action 4.4b: Incorporate cumulative	Prevention	NA	Local
substantial damage data or			Government
improvement requirements into flood			Planning &
prevention ordinance.			Zoning
Action 4.4c: Develop maintenance	Prevention	Jurisdiction	Local
policy to routinely clean debris from		Specific	Government
waterways.			
Action 4.4d: Prioritize cleanup and	Prevention	Jurisdiction	Local
repair of roads after a natural hazard		Specific	Government
event.		• -	
Action 4.4e: Bury utility lines in areas	Prevention	Jurisdiction	Local
with repetitive power outages due to		Specific	Government
natural hazards.		1 -	Utility
			Companies
Objective 4.5: Improve severe weather		1	
warning systems to serve the			
population of the region.			
Action 4.5a: Encourage the purchase of	Prevention	\$50 per	Individuals
weather radios for homes, businesses,		unit	Emergency
and all care giving and educational			Management
facilities.			Č
Action 4.5b: Track population growth	Prevention	Jurisdiction	LTADD
to determine the need for additional		Specific	Local
outdoor warning systems.			Government
			Emergency
			Management

3.4.3 Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Approximately 60 elected officials and representatives from throughout the 8-county region, along with LTADD staff, prioritized the actions developed from the Regional Plan's goals and objectives. The table below shows the scoring criteria used in the prioritization process and reflects circumstances within each county. It should be noted that any kind of severe weather event could impact the scoring criteria for each jurisdiction. However, the goals and objectives contained in this plan are generally applicable throughout the region.

Implementation of these actions is dependent upon local resources, imminent need, and the availability of grant funds. However, a timetable has been assigned to each of the three ratings. The table lists actions and each county's corresponding score. Review of the scoring criteria helps to illustrate the similarities among the eight counties and underscores the potential for multi-jurisdictional projects and grant applications. As funding opportunities arise, jurisdictions can reference these tables to choose projects.

Timetable and corresponding score.

- High = 1 3 years to implement
- Medium = 4 6 years to implement
- Low = 7 10 years to implement

Table 3.4.3.1 - Prioritization Table	
Parameter	Scoring Criteria
Appropriateness of Action	 High: Reduces vulnerability –Medium: Needed, but not linked to vulnerabilities Low: Inconsistent with identified needs
Consistent with existing plan and capabilities assessment	 1 - High: Consistent with plan and priorities 2 - Medium: Somewhat consistent 3 - Low: Conflicts with plans and priorities
Potential to save lives, reduce property damage and loss of services	1 – High: Major impact 2 – Medium: Some impact 3 – Low: Minimal impact
Cost benefit analysis (refer to estimated cost column with actions)	 1 - High: Extremely beneficial 2 - Medium: Beneficial 3 - Low: Minimum benefit

Table 3.4.3.2 - 2015 Ratings of Action	ns for	Linco	ln Tra	il Reg	ion by	' Coun	ty	
Goal 1: Gather and Maintain a Comprehensive and Accurate Database of jurisdiction specific natural hazard and parcel data	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 1.1a: Align criteria and procedures for gathering and reporting natural hazard event data with the National Environmental Information Center.	Н	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.1b: Identify county contact for collecting natural hazard event data	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.2a: Incorporate GIS into mapping and tracking natural hazard event data.	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.2b: Encourage updating flood plain and area maps.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н

Goal 2: Improve emergency response time, constituent service and education, and regional organizational efficiencies.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 2.1a: Organize regional first responders. Educate the public about personal responsibility, services and available resources, in the event of a natural disaster.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action2.1b:Disseminateinformation on family preparednessto the public	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.2a: Organize and train volunteers from agencies across the region	М	М	М	Н	М	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.3a: Encourage the use of mutual aid agreements that allow the use of first response equipment when & where needed.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.3b: Purchase emergency response equipment needed.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Goal 3: Educate all regional stakeholders about natural hazards and the shared responsibility to mitigate impacts on public health, welfare and safety.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 3.1a: Disseminate information regionally, concerning emergency response and mitigation measures.	М	Н	М	М	М	Н	М	Н
Action 3.1b: Advertise and promote the availability and benefits of the National Flood Insurance Program.	М	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	М
Action 3.1c: Distribute information on personal preparedness and safety.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.1d: Provide potential property buyers with hazard data and maps.	М	М	L	L	Н	Н	М	М
Action 3.1e: Develop, maintain and publicize evacuation routes.	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	М	Н

		1	1		r			
Action 3.1f: Support the "FAST" program of the Area Agency on Aging to facilitate response to the elderly in coordination with the regional MRC, in the event of a disaster.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.1g: Provide local government with Coop training.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.2a: Educate the public about the advantages of proper tree maintenance.	М	М	L	М	М	Н	Н	М
Action 3.3a: Educate the public about public warning systems and shelter locations.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.4a: Post notice of available funding opportunities on the LTADD website.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Goal 4: Develop and implement cost effective policies and measures that aid in mitigating the effects of natural hazards to human safety, infrastructure, and natural resources throughout the region.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 4.1a: train local officials and planning & zoning people on the importance of incorporating hazard mitigation into land use planning.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.1b: Use acquisition programs to increase green space and recreational opportunities in flood prone areas.	М	М	L	М	М	М	Н	М
Action 4.1c: Protect natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas with regulations and zoning that precludes development in areas such as floodplains, wetlands, steep slopes, and karst topography/sinkholes.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.2a: Prioritize mitigation projects that reduce the vulnerability of critical facilities from natural hazards.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.2b: Partner with all stakeholders to accomplish proper maintenance on utility easements.	М	Н	L	М	Н	Н	Н	М

				1		1	1	
Action 4.3a: Require safe rooms in residential development of manufactured housing & mobile homes.	М	Н	М	Н	Н	М	М	М
Action 4.3b: Reduce storm water flooding with systems funded with storm water utility fees. Require such systems in new developments with subdivision regulations.	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4a: Develop a flood plain ordinance that does not permit the BFE to be raised.	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4b: Incorporate cumulative substantial damage data or improvement requirements into flood prevention ordinances.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	М
Action 4.4c: Develop maintenance policy to routinely clean debris from waterways	М	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4d: Prioritize cleanup and repair of roads after a natural hazard event.	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4e: Bury utility lines in areas with repetitive power outages due to natural hazards	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.5a: Encourage the purchase of weather radios for homes, businesses, and all care giving and educational facilities.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.5b: Track population growth to determine the need for additional outdoor warning systems.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н

3.4.4 - Multi-Jurisdictional Strategy

The preceding actions are applicable to all jurisdictions throughout the region and are based on risk assessment (section 3.3). The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has been adopted by each jurisdiction by resolution. Each local government understands that the implementation of these actions is dependent on several factors, such as available funding and cooperative agreements.

The information contained within the Regional Plan has assisted the eight Lincoln Trail Counties to increase mitigation efforts and complete projects, since the last update in 2010. The vulnerabilities in the plan have guided these efforts and been helpful in completing grant applications. An inventory of projects completed and underway was outlined in this section.

Through review of past successful projects and existing vulnerabilities, each county and city developed a list of future projects that pertain to the specific populations and conditions in each jurisdiction. During October and November of 2015, the elected officials of the Region, had the opportunity to review and discuss this list and add and/or change projects and prioritizations. The prioritization process comes from the original plan and is based on project cost, number of constituents served, and the potential impact on the region if no action is taken. When funds become available, this project list will be referenced to determine what project(s) should be undertaken.

Local partnerships among adjoining cities and counties will remain a priority when projects are chosen. This cooperative effort will be a vital component in the success of this regional plan.

Priority Ranking Reference

1 = High – 1-3 years to implement

- 2 = Medium 4-6 years to implement
- 3 = Low 7 10 years to implement

Table 3.4.4.1 - Futur	e Mitigation P	rojects: Bre	ckinridge County	7	
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
B1: Raise Bridge on	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$400,000	1
Tar Springs Road	6	Protection			
	Households				
B2: Raise Roadbed	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$150,000	1
of Stonehill-Ford Rd.	20,059*	Protection			
	population				
B3: Purchase One	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$8,400	1
Generator for	20,059*	Protection	Weather		
Repeater in Harned	population		Hazards		
B4: Purchase One	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$30,000	2
Generator for Public	25	Protection	Weather		
Housing Site	Households		Hazards		
B5: Install Outdoor	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$30,000	2
Siren System in	45	Protection	& Tornados		
Garfield	Households				
B6: Bank	County	Prevention	Landslides	\$175,000	2
Stabilization on Tar	20,059*				
Springs Road	population				

B7: Construct Safe	Hardinsburg	Protection	Thunderstorms	\$2 Million	1
Room in	2,343*		& Tornados		
Hardinsburg	population				
B8: Install three	Hardinsburg	Protection	Thunderstorms	\$90,000	1
new outdoor sirens	2,343*		& Tornados		
	population				
B9: Install New	Hardinsburg	Prevention	All Identified	\$200,000	2
Generator at	Serves Co. of	Protection	Weather		
Water/Sewer Plant	20,059*		Hazards		
B10: Install Storm	Hardinsburg	Prevention	Flooding	\$40,000	2
Water Culverts	2,343*	Protection		Each	
	population				
*All population data is	from the 2010	Census			

These Breckinridge County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.2 - Futu	ire Mitigation	n Projects: G	rayson County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
G1: Construct Two	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$2,000,000	1
Safe Rooms in	25,746*	Protection	& Tornados		
County	population				
G2: Install	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$90,000	1
Outdoor	25,746*	Protection	& Tornados		
Siren System	population				
G3: Purchase Five	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$180,500	2
Generators to	25,746*	Protection	Weather		
Power Critical	population		Hazards		
Facilities					
G4: Construct New	Caneyville	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,200,000	1
Community Safe	608*	Protection	& Tornados		
Room	population				
G5: Purchase Four	Caneyville	Prevention	All Identified	\$166,500	1
Generators	608*	Protection	Weather		
	population		Hazards		
G6: Dredge Creek	Caneyville	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1
_	608*	Protection			
	population				
G7: Generator for	Leitchfield	Prevention	All Identified	\$40,000	1
City Hall	6,699*	Protection	Weather		
	population		Hazards		

G8: Construct New	Leitchfield	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,200,000	2		
Community Safe	6,699*	Protection	& Tornados				
Room	population						
G9: Purchase and	Leitchfield	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$60,000	1		
Install Two	6,699*	Protection	& Tornados				
Outdoor Sirens	population						
*All population data is from the 2010 Census							

These Grayson County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.3 - Fu	uture Mitigation	Projects: Ha	ardin County		
Project	Location/ Population Benefit	Category of Action	Hazard(s) Addressed	Estimated Cost	Priority Ranking
H1: Construct Two New Bridges and Culverts	County 105,543* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$95,000	1
H2: Construct Two New Safe Rooms	County 105,543* population	Prevention Protection	Thunderstorms & Tornados	\$2,000,000	1
H3: Install Four New Outdoor Sirens	County 105,543* population	Prevention Protection	Thunderstorms & Tornados	\$80,000	1
H4: Purchase Ten Generators	County 105,543* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$65,000	1
H5: Mobile EOC Communication Upgrades	County 105,543* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$15,000	1
H6: Make Upgrades to Community Shelter	Elizabethtown 28,531* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$500,000	2
H7: Upgrade City's Outdoor Warning System	Elizabethtown 28,531* population	Prevention Protection	Thunderstorms & Tornados	\$360,000	1
H8: Purchase 6 Emergency Generators	Elizabethtown 28,531* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$180,000	1

	D 11:00	D	m1 1 .	AT 4 (0 0	4
H9: Upgrade	Radcliff	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$74,600	1
City's Outdoor	21,688*	Protection	& Tornados		
Warning System	population				
H10: Construct	Radcliff	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,500,000	2
New Community	21,688*	Protection	& Tornados		
Safe Room	population				
H11: Purchase	Radcliff	Prevention	All Identified	\$70,000	2
An Emergency	21,688*	Protection	Weather		
Generator for	population		Hazards		
Police					
H12:Purchase	Vine Grove	Prevention	All Identified	\$200,000	1
Four Emergency	4,520*	Protection	Weather		
Generators	population		Hazards		
H13: Install	Vine Grove	Prevention	All Identified	\$11,000	2
Transfer	4,520*	Protection	Weather		
Switches for 8	population		Hazards		
Lift Stations					
H14: Install	West Point	Prevention	Flooding	\$100,000	1
Storm Water	797*	Protection			
System in	population				
Riverview Area					
H15: Address I	West Point	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1
& I Issues with	797*	Protection			
Sewer Plant	population				
*All population da	ta is from the 20	10 Census			

These Hardin, LaRue and Marion County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.4 - Future Mitigation Projects: LaRue County								
Project	Location/ Category Hazard(s) Estimated Priorit							
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking			
	Benefit	Action						
L1: Complete Storm	Hodgenville	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1			
Water Mitigation	3,206*	Protection	_					
Project Along Main	population							
Street								
*All population data is from the 2010 Census								

Table 3.4.4.5 - Future Mitigation Projects: Marion County								
ProjectLocation/CategoryHazard(s)EstimatedPriorityPopulationofAddresseCostRankingBenefitActiondImage: CostImage: CostImage: Cost								
M1: Storm Water	Bradfordsville	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1			
System Overhaul for	294*	Protection						
Entire Community population								
*All population data is from the 2010 Census								

Table 3.4.4.6 - Fut	ture Mitigation	Projects: M	eade County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
Md1: Bank	Brandenburg	Prevention	Flooding	\$1,200,000	1
Stabilization on	2,643*	Protection			
Ohio River	population				
Downtown					
Brandenburg					
Md2: Construct	Ekron	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,000,000	1
New Community	135*	Protection	& Tornados		
Safe Room	population				
Md3: Purchase	Muldraugh	Prevention	All Identified	\$150,000	1
One Emergency	947*	Protection	Weather		
Generator to	population		Hazards		
Power City Hall &					
Police					
Department					
Md4: Upgrade	Muldraugh	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$6,800	2
City's Outdoor	947*	Protection	& Tornados		
Warning System	population				
Md5: Construct	Muldraugh	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1
5,200' of a Storm	947*	Protection			
Water System	population				
*All population data	a is from the 20	10 Census			

The Meade and Nelson County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA, and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.7 - Future	Mitigation Pr	ojects: Nelso	on County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
N1: Purchase Backup	Bardstown	Prevention	All	\$94,000	1
Emergency Generator	11,700*	Protection	Identified		
for City Sewer Pump	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
N2: Construct	Bardstown	Prevention	Flooding	\$20,000	2
Emergency Road to	11,700*	Protection			
Waste Water	population				
Treatment Plant					
N3: Construct	Bardstown	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1
Watershed Detention	11,700*	Protection			
Pond	population				
N4: Purchase Two	Bloomfield	Prevention	All		2
Emergency Generators	838*	Protection	Identified		
	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
N5: Raise Perry St.	Bloomfield	Prevention	Flooding		1
Bridge & Install Larger	838*	Protection			
Culverts	population				
N6: Purchase Two	Fairfield	Prevention	All	\$3,500	1
Emergency Generators	113*	Protection	Identified		
	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
*All population data is fr	om the 2010 (Census			

Table 3.4.4.8 - Future Mitigation Projects: Washington County								
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority			
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking			
	Benefit	Action						
W1: Install box	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$48,000	2			
Culverts on Grundy	11,717*	Protection						
Home Road	population							
W2: Replace the Ann	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	2			
Osborne Bridge	11,717*	Protection						
	population							
W3: Replace the	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$140,000	2			
Mayfield Lane Bridge	11,717*	Protection						
	population							
W4: Stabilize Banks of	County	Prevention	Landslides	\$200,000	1			
Cardwell-Tablow Rd.	11,717*	Protection		Each				
& Hardesty Road	population							

WE. Install 1 900' of	Corringfield	Prevention	Flooding	¢110.000	1	
W5: Install 1,800' of Storm Water Mgmt.	Springfield 2,519*	Protection	Flooding	\$118,000	1	
Ŭ		Protection				
System	population	Dec. and an		¢0.000	1	
W6: Install 400'	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$8,000	1	
Storm Water Mgmt.	2,519*	Protection				
System on Maplewood	population					
Ave.						
W7: Install Storm	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$90,000	1	
Water Mgmt. System	2,519*	Protection				
in Lebanon Hill	population					
Neighborhood						
W8: Replace Main St.	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$120,000	1	
Storm Water Mgmt.	2,519*	Protection	C			
System	population					
W9: Install Storm	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$175,000	1	
Water Mgmt. System	2,519*	Protection	8	<i>+</i>	_	
on Grundy Ave.	population	1100000000				
W10: Install Storm	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$250,000	1	
Water Mgmt. System	2,519*	Protection	libbuing	\$250,000	T	
in Zone A Flood Area	population	Trotection				
W11: Relocate 7	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$315,000**	1	
Homes on Ballard St.	7	Protection	rioounig	\$313,000	1	
& Eddleman Ct. from	/ Households	FIOLECTION				
	nousenoius					
Zone A Flood Area	Coursing official d	Decompositions	The editors	¢100.000	2	
W12: Reconstruct	Springfield	Prevention	Flooding	\$190,000	3	
Low Water Bridge	2,519*	Protection				
	population				-	
W13: Purchase	Springfield	Prevention	All	\$75,000	2	
Emergency Backup	2,519*	Protection	Identified			
Generator	population		Weather			
			Hazards			
W14: Install "One Call	Springfield	Prevention	All	\$20,000	2	
System" to Enhance	2,519*	Protection	Identified			
911 Program	population		Weather			
			Hazards			
W15: Bury Utility	Springfield	Prevention	All	\$750,000	3	
Lines in Business	2,519*	Protection	Identified			
District	population		Weather			
	r - r		Hazards			
*All population data is f	rom the 2010	Census **Bas		tive Claims on	Pronerties	
*All population data is from the 2010 Census **Based on Repetitive Claims on Properties						

These Washington County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA, and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Appendix A: Forms

Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Evaluation Worksheet Public Opinion Survey

LINCOLN TRAIL REGION PLAN UPDATE EVALUATION WORKSHEET

HAZARD MITIGATION

Jurisdiction: _____ Date of Evaluation: ____/ /20____

PLAN SECTION	CONSIDERATIONS	Y/N/NA	COMMENT
	Should new jurisdictions and/or districts be invited to participate in future plan updates?		
	Have any internal or external agencies been invaluable to the mitigation strategy?		
Planning Process	Can any procedures (e.g., meeting announcements, plan updates) be done differently or more ef!ciently?		
Planni	Has the Planning Team undertaken any public outreach activities?		
	How can public participation be improved?		
	Have there been any changes in public support and/or decision- maker priorities related to hazard mitigation?		
nt	Have jurisdictions adopted new policies, plans, regulations, or reports that could be incorporated into this plan?		
lity Assessment	Are there different or additional administrative, human, technical, and !nancial resources available for mitigation planning?		
Capability .	Are there different or new education and outreach programs and resources available for mitigation activities?		
	Has NFIP participation changed in the participating jurisdictions?		

Image: Second			
Should the list of hazards addressed in the plan be modiled? Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Are there repetitive losses and/or additional risks? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: Comparison of the plan state additional risks? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Is should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new funding sources to considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Have elements of and evaluated		Has a natural and/or technical or	
In the plan be modiled? Image: consider the plan be modiled? Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Image: consider the plan updates? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: consider the could create additional risk? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation atrategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the haction Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?		human-caused disaster occurred?	
In the plan be modiled? Image: consider the plan be modiled? Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Image: consider the plan updates? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: consider the could create additional risk? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation atrategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the haction Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Topogoty Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Image: Comparison of the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Image: Comparison of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Image: Comparison of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?		Should the list of hazards addressed	
Topogoty Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Image: Comparison of the plan updates Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Image: Comparison of the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Image: Comparison of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Image: Comparison of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Note: Section 2014 additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanism? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanism?			
Note: Section 2014 additional maps and studies available? If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? incorporated into future plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanism? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanism?			
If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates? Image: constraint of the plan updates? Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists? Image: constraint of the plan update? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Image: constraint of the plan update? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Image: mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated Image: mechanisms?			
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	'n		
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	ne		
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	SSL		
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	96	incorporated into future plan updates?	
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	Asc		
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	X	Do any new critical facilities or	
Asset lists? Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks? Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	Ris	-	
Image: Second	L.		
Image: severe repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Image: severe repetitive losses to considered in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
Image: Second		Have any changes in development	
Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
Severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan ponjored and evaluated			
Severe repetitive losses to document? Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan pontored and evaluated		Are there repetitive losses and/or	
Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan point or dand evaluated			
Implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated		•	
Cost and timeline estimates accurate? Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?		cost and timeline estimates accurate?	
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Besisting mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan? Image: construction of the plan in the plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Image: consider in the plan incorporated in the plan incorporate i		-	
Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?			
Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	ſĠé		
Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	ate	or eliminated from the plan?	
Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update? Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	Str		
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated	-	Are there new obstacles that were not	
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated	ntio	anticipated in the plan that will need to	
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated	iga	be considered in the next plan update?	
Are there new funding sources to consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated	Mit		
consider? Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated	<	Are there new funding sources to	
incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
incorporated into other planning mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated		Have elements of the plan been	
mechanisms? Was the plan monitored and evaluated		-	
Was the plan monitored and evaluated			
As anticipated? What are needed improvements to the			
What are needed improvements to the	ce ss		
What are needed improvements to the	n anu		
What are needed improvements to the	lar sne sdt		
	D DC6	What are needed improvements to the	
procedures?	/ai Prc	procedures?	
	< `		

Mitigation Public Opinion Survey

For 2015 Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update



Your household has been randomly selected to participate in this survey about public perceptions and opinions regarding natural hazards in your county. In addition, we would like information regarding the methods and techniques you prefer for reducing the risks and losses associated with these hazards. The questionnaire should be completed by an adult, preferably the head of household. The information you provide will be used to help improve public/private coordination, mitigation, and risk reduction efforts in your county. The survey should take less than 30 minutes to complete.

This is a public opinion survey, the results of which will inform local natural hazard mitigation planning in Kentucky. Your returned, completed survey indicates your willingness to take part in the study. Participation in this study is voluntary. The survey is not intended to contribute to "generalizable knowledge" and <u>none of the</u> <u>information you provide will be attributed to you directly</u>. If you have questions regarding your rights as a research participant, please contact the Lincoln Trail Area Development District, PO Box 604, Elizabethtown KY, 42702, Phone 270-769-2393, website www.ltadd.org

NATURAL HAZARD INFORMATION

First we would like to know about your experiences involving natural hazards and your exposure to preparedness information.

- During the past five years in the county you currently reside in, have you or someone in your household directly experienced a natural disaster such as an earthquake, severe windstorm, flood, wildfire, or other type of natural disaster?
 - 🗆 Yes
 - □ No (IF NO Skip to Question 2)

If "YES", which of these natural disasters have you or someone in your household experienced in the past five years?

(Please check all that apply)

- Drought
- Dust Storm
- Earthquake
- □ Flood
- □ Landslide / Debris Flow
- □ Wildfire

- \Box Windstorm
- Volcanic Eruption
- Severe Winter Storm
- \Box Other (specify):
- 2. How concerned are you about the following natural disasters affecting your county? (Check the corresponding box for each hazard)

Natural Disaster	Very Concerned	Somewhat Concerned	Neutral	Not Very Concerned	Not Concerned
Drought					
Dust Storm					
Earthquake					
Flood					
Landslide / Debris Flow					
Wildfire					
Volcanic Eruption					
Wind Storm					
Severe Winter Storm					
Other:					

1

- 3. Have you ever received information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?
 - □ Yes
 - □ No (*IF NO Skip to Question 5*)
 - If "YES", how recently?
 - □ Within the last 6 months
 - □ Between 6 and 12 months
 - □ Between 1 and 2 years
 - □ Between 2 and 5 years
 - □ 5 years or more
- 4. From whom did you last receive information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?
 - (Please check only one)
 - News media
 - □ Government agency
 - □ Insurance agent or company
 - □ Utility company
 - □ University or research institution
 - □ Neighbor / friend / family member
- Elected official
- American Red Cross
- □ Other non-profit organization
- Social media (e.g. Facebook)
- □ Not sure
- 5. Whom would you most trust to provide you with information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

(Please check up to three)

- □ News media
- □ Government agency
- □ Insurance agent or company
- □ Utility company
- □ University or research institution
- □ Neighbor / friend / family member
- Elected official
- □ American Red Cross
- □ Other non-profit organization
- □ Social media (e.g. Facebook)
- □ Not sure
- □ Other:
- 6. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

(Please check up to three)

Newspapers:

- □ Newspaper stories
- □ Newspaper ads

Television:

- □ Television news
- □ Television ads

Radio:

- □ Radio news
- □ Radio ads

Internet:

- □ Email newsletters
- □ Online news outlets
- □ Social media (e.g. Facebook)

Other methods:

- □ Schools
- Outdoor advertisements (billboards, etc.)
- Books
- Mail
- Fire Department/Rescue
- Fact sheet/brochure
- Chamber of Commerce
- Public workshops/meetings
- □ Magazine

Other:

- University or research institution
- 7. Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware of your county's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan (NHMP)? □ Yes
 - □ No
- 8. Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware that the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) requires your county to update the NHMP every five years in order for your county to be eligible for federal pre- and post-disaster hazard mitigation funds?
 - □ Yes
 - □ No

□ Other:_

COMMUNITY VULNERABILITIES AND HAZARD MITIGATION STRATEGIES

In order to assess community risk, we need to understand which community assets may be vulnerable to natural hazards in the region. Vulnerable assets are those community features, characteristics, or resources that may be impacted by natural hazards (e.g. populations with functional needs, economic components, environmental resources, etc.). The next set of questions will focus on vulnerable assets in your community and your preferred strategies to mitigate risk to those assets.

9. Community assets are features, characteristics, or resources that either make a community unique or allow the community to function. In your opinion, which of the following *categories* are most susceptible to the impacts caused by natural hazards in your county?

-	(Please rank the commu	inity assets in c	order of vulnerabil	ity, 1 being most [•]	vulnerable and 6
	being least vulnerable)				

Community Assets	Potential Natural Hazard Impact	Order of Vulnerability
Human	Loss of life and/or injuries	
Economic	Business closures and/or job losses	
Infrastructure	Damage or loss of bridges, utilities, schools, etc.	
Cultural/Historic	Damage or loss of libraries, museums, fairgrounds, etc.	
Environmental	Damage or loss of forests, rangeland, waterways, etc.	
Governance	Ability to maintain order and/or provide public amenities and services	

10. Next we would like to know what specific types of community assets are most important to you. (Check the corresponding box for each asset)

Community Assets	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Neutral	Not Very Important	Not Important
Elder-care facilities					
Schools (K-12)					
Hospitals					
Major bridges					
Fire/Police Stations					
Museums/Historic buildings					
Major employers					
Small businesses					
College / University					
City Hall / Courthouse					
Parks					
Other:					

11. A number of activities can reduce your community's risk from natural hazards. These activities can be both regulatory and non-regulatory. Please check the box that best represents your opinion of the following strategies to reduce the risk and loss associated with natural disasters.

Community-wide Strategies	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Sure
I support a regulatory approach to reducing risk						
I support a non-regulatory approach to reducing risk						
I support a mix of both regulatory and non- regulatory approaches to reducing risk						
I support policies to prohibit development in areas subject to natural hazards						
I support the use of tax dollars (federal and/or local) to compensate land owners for not developing in areas subject to natural hazards						
I support the use of local tax dollars to reduce risks and losses from natural disasters						
I support protecting historical and cultural structures						
I would be willing to make my home more disaster-resistant						
I support steps to safeguard the local economy following a disaster event						
I support improving the disaster preparedness of local schools						
I support a local inventory of at-risk buildings and infrastructure						
I support the disclosure of natural hazard risks during real estate transactions						

12. Natural hazards can have a significant impact on a community, but planning for these events can help lessen the impacts. The following statements will help determine citizen priorities regarding planning for natural hazards in your county. **Please tell us how important each one is to you.**

Statements	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Neutral	Not Very Important	Not Important
Protecting private property					
Protecting critical facilities (e.g. transportation networks, hospitals, fire stations)					
Preventing development in hazard areas					
Enhancing the function of natural features (e.g. streams, wetlands)					
Protecting historical and cultural landmarks					
Protecting and reducing damage to utilities					
Strengthening emergency services (e.g police, fire, ambulance)					
Disclosing natural hazard risks during real estate transactions					
Promoting cooperation among public agencies, citizens, non-profit organizations, and businesses					

4

MITIGATION AND PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD

Households can mitigate and prepare for natural hazards in order to prevent damage to property, injuries, and loss of life. The precautions you take and training you receive can make a big difference in your ability to recover from a natural disaster or emergency. Access to basic services, such as electricity, gas, water, telephones and emergency care may be cut off temporarily, or you may have to evacuate at a moment's notice. The following questions focus on your household's preparedness for disaster events.

(Please check one answer for each preparedness activity)

In your household, have you or someone in your household:	Have Done	Plan To Do	Not Done	Unable To Do
Attended meetings or received written information on natural disasters or emergency preparedness?				
Talked with members in your household about what to do in case of a natural disaster or emergency?				
Developed a "Household/Family Emergency Plan" in order to decide what everyone would do in the event of a disaster?				
Prepared a "Disaster Supply Kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or other emergency supplies)?				
In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)?				
Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house				
Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural disaster?				

GENERAL HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION

Finally, we would appreciate any information you are willing to share with us about you and your household. This information will remain confidential and is for survey comparison purposes only.

- 14. Please indicate your age:
- 15. Gender:

 Male

 Female
- 16. Please indicate your level of education:
 - High school graduate/GED
 - □ Some college/trade school
 - □ College degree

17. What is your total household income?

- □ Less than \$10,000
- □ \$10,000 \$19,999
- □ \$20,000 \$29,999
- □ \$30,000 \$39,999
- □ \$40,000 \$49,999
- □ \$50,000 \$59,999

18. Zip code (optional):

- □ Postgraduate degree
- □ Other (please specify):
- □ \$60.000 \$69.999
- □ \$70,000 \$79,999
- □ \$80,000 \$89,999
- □ \$90,000 \$99,999
- □ \$100,000 \$149,999
- □ More than \$150,000

^{13.} In the following list, please check those activities that you <u>have done</u> in your household, <u>plan to do</u> in the near future, <u>have not done</u>, or are <u>unable to do</u>.

19. (County:			
	🗆 Br	reckinridge County		Marion County
	🗆 Gr	rayson County		Meade County
	🗌 Ha	ardin County		Nelson County
	🗌 La	aRue County		Washington County
20.	Please specify			
		merican Indian or Alaska Native		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
		sian		White
	🗆 BI	lack or African American		
21.	Please specify		_	
	L Hi	ispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino
00				
22.	-	you lived in Kentucky?	_	40.40
		ess than one year		10-19 years
		-5 years		20 years or more
	□ 5-	-9 years		
00			_	
23.	Do you own or	rent your home? 🛛 Own		Rent
24	Do you own/rei	nt o:		
24.	-			Condominium / townhouse
		ingle-family home		Manufactured home
		uplex	_	
		partment (3-4 units in structure)		Other:
		partment (5 or more units in		
	st	tructure)		

Please feel free to provide any additional comments in the space provided:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR PROVIDING THIS INFORMATION

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District prepared this survey. Implementation of this survey has been made possible by funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency and Lincoln Trail ADD. For more information, please contact the Lincoln Trail ADD at: PO Box 604, Elizabethtown, KY 42702 or phone at 270-769-2393 or visit www.ltadd.org/hazardmitigation

Appendix B: Bibliography

Cutter, Susan L.: "*Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards*" from *Progress in Human Geography*,20, 4 pp. 529-539, Dept. of Geography, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, Arnold, 1996.

Cutter, Susan L., Mitchell, Jerry T., and Scott, Michael S.: *Handbook for Conduction a GIS-Based Hazards Assessment at the County Level*, Hazards Research Lab, Dept. of Geography, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 1997.

Odeh, David J.: "*Natural Hazards Vulnerability Assessment for Statewide Mitigation Planning in Rhode Island*" from *Natural Hazards Review*, Vol. 3, Num. 4, pp177-187, American Society of Civil Engineers, 2002.

FEMA: *Local Mitigation Planning Handbook*, Federal Emergency Management Agency, March 2013.

Access to information from the internet was critical to the completion of this plan. The following sites were primary sources of data used in this effort.

Government

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) www.fema.gov

Focus: hazard types, mitigation strategies and hazard planning.

United States Census Bureau www.census.gov

Focus: demographic data on populations, TIGER GIS data.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA) www.noaa.gov

Focus: historic climatic data, events, costs.

National Center for Environmental Information(NCEI), NOAA & National Weather Service http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html

Focus: Weather Event data, 1950-Sept. 2015.

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – Appendix B

United States Geologic Survey (USGS) http://usgs.gov/

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/activity/past.html

Focus: National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) Databases, "USGS/NEIC 1973-2015" & "Eastern, Central and Mountain States of U.S., 1534 - 1986".

Disaster Help www.disasterhelp.gov

Focus: Public information about disasters and response issues.

USDA Risk Management Agency http://www.rma.usda.gov/other/

Focus: Agricultural loss statistics.

Educational

University of South Carolina, Hazard Research Lab http://www.cas.sc.edu/geog/hrl/index.htm

Focus: SHELDUS Data Base, July 2003.

University of Nebraska – Lincoln, National Drought Mitigation Center http://www.drought.unl.edu/index.htm

Focus: Drought issues and statistics.

University of Colorado, Natural Hazards Center http://www.colorado.edu/hazards/

Focus: Numerous links and data about natural hazards.

Stanford University, National Performance of Dams Program

http://npdp-devserv.stanford.edu/front.html

Focus: Information about dams and failure issues.

Cornell University, USDA Economics, Statistics and Market Information System http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – Appendix B

Focus: Agricultural Census data and miscellaneous economic factors.

Private

Natural Hazards.org http://www.naturalhazards.org/

Focus: Numerous links and data about natural hazards.

National Crop Insurance Services http://www.ag-risk.org/

Focus: Crop disaster issues and data.

Appendix C: Notices, Resolutions

County Participation Resolutions Sample shown - Breckinridge County

Public Hearing Notice for comment Jan 7th, 2016

County Adoption Resolution Sample shown – Hardin County

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0309 Resolution to Participate in the Planning Process for The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation 2015 Plan Update

Breckinridge County, Kentucky

WHEREAS, the County recognized the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within Breckinridge County; and

WHEREAS, the County has prepared a multi-hazard and flood mitigation plan, hereby known as the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS, the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in Breckinridge County from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS, participation in the planning process by the County demonstrates their commitment to the hazard and flood mitigation plan and updating data, goals and actions in the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan Update.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY, KENTUCKY, THAT, the local governing body will participate in planning for the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan Update.

Done this 9th Day of March 2015.

Maurice Lucas

County Judge-Executive

9 2015 Date

ATTEST

Kathina Bell Breckinridge County Fiscal Court Clerk

Date

Public Hearing Notice

To all interested citizens of the Kentucky Counties of Breckinridge, Grayson, Hardin, LaRue, Marion, Meade, Nelson and Washington:

The *Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan* has been rewritten and updated and is available for review and comments. A regional public hearing will be held Thursday, January 7, 2016 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. EST, with an alternate date of January 12, 2016 in the event of inclement weather. The purpose of this hearing is to obtain views on the plan, review proposed activities, goals and proposed mitigation projects, and solicit public comment. The meeting will be held at the office of Lincoln Trail Area Development District, 613 College St. Rd., Elizabethtown, KY. 42701.

Participation in the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Plan, by the 35 jurisdictions it represents, is a federal requirement by the Federal Emergency Management Agency:

- A. Per Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201, for FEMA assistance in the event of a disaster.
- B. To participate in the Emergency Management Activities of mitigation, prevention, protection, preparedness, response and recovery.
- C. To increase regional resiliency and preparedness.

Comments on The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

A draft copy of the Plan is available for citizens' review and comment at the Lincoln Trail ADD website (Itadd.org). Comments on the proposed application may be submitted to the attention of Janice Rawson at the above address, until the close of business on Tuesday, January 12, 2016.

Discrimination Clause

Lincoln Trail ADD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion or disability, and provides, upon request, reasonable accommodation, including auxiliary aids and services, to afford an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to participate in all services, programs and activities. Any persons requiring special needs assistance should contact Janice Rawson at 877.255.8233 at least five days prior to the meeting. The TDD number for the hearing impaired is 800.648.6057.

NOTE: ADA Contacts

Department for the Blind American Printing House	800.877.KYBLIND 800.223.1839	www.apps.blind.ky.gov www.aph.org
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing	800.372.2907	www.kcdhh.org
For Interpreter Director		www.hcdhh.org/access/interpdir.html
State Relay TTY Number	800.648.6057	

Resolution To Adopt The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation 2015 Plan Update Resolution Number _____

Hardin County, Kentucky

WHEREAS the County recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within Hardin County; and

WHEREAS the County has prepared a multi-hazard and flood mitigation plan, hereby known as the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in Hardin County from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS adoption by the County demonstrates their commitment to hazard and flood mitigation and achieving goals outlined in the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY HARDIN COUNTY, KENTUCKY, THAT:

- 1. Hardin County adopts the Lincoln Trail Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan; and
- 2. Vests the Lincoln Trail Area Development District with the responsibility, authority and means to inform all concerned parties of this action; and
- 3. Agrees to review the document on a regular basis according to the Plan Maintenance Procedures; and
- 4. Agrees to carry out plan actions to achieve objectives of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan.

Adopted this ______ day of ______, 2015.

County Judge/Executive

Date

Attest:

Date