

Hazard Mitigation Plan 2015 Update





Table of Contents

Introduction Table I Lincoln Trail Regional Units of Government	vii
 3.1 Prerequisites 3.1.1 Adoption by the Local Governing Body 3.1.2 Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption 3.1.3 Multi-Jurisdictional Participation Table 3.1.3.1 Regional Council Table 3.1.3.2 Lincoln Trail Pagion Penrosontatives 	1
 3.2 The Planning Process 3.2.1 Open Public Involvement 3.2.2 Opportunity for Public Comment Table 3.2.2.1 City & County Meetings (To Gather and Analyze Data) 3.2.3 Opportunity for Public/Private Participation 3.2.4 Review and Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Techn Information 3.2.5 Documentation of the Planning/Update Process 3.2.6 Plan Maintenance Procedures 	7 ical
 3.3 Risk Assessment Table 3.3.1 Hazard Maps by Jurisdiction 3.3.1 Identifying Hazards Table 3.3.1.1 Lincoln Trail Region Significant Hazard Events Table 3.3.1.2 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Events With Negligible Risk 3.3.2 Profiling Hazard Events Table 3.3.2.1 Summary of Hazard Events and Cost by County Table 3.3.2.2 Risk Level of Event by County/Region Table 3.3.2.3 Economic Risk by County/Region 3.3.2.1 Flooding Table 3.3.2.1.2 Flood or Flash Flood Related Disaster Declaratio Lincoln Trail Region Table 3.3.2.1.3 NFIP and Mapping Summary Table 3.3.2.1.4 Claims Summary 	13 ons In
 Table 3.3.2.1.4 Channes Stunnary Table 3.3.2.1.5 County Specific Data – Flooding Table 3.3.2.1.6 Summary of Flooding Data, Costs Table 3.3.2.1.7 Summary of Flooding Data, Events Table 3.3.2.1.7 - Flood Stages of the Rolling Fork River 3.3.2.2 Tornados Table 3.3.2.2.1 Fujita/Enhanced Fujita Scale Chart 3.3.2.2.1 Tornados in Kentucky By Year Table 3.3.2.2.2 Tornado Activity 	

Table 3.3.2.2.3 County Specific Data – Tornados

Table 3.3.2.2.4 Summary of Tornado Data, Costs

Table 3.3.2.2.5 Summary of Tornado Data, Events

3.3.2.3 Severe Thunderstorms

Table 3.3.2.3.1 International Tornado Intensity Scale (TORRO)

 Table 3.3.2.3.2
 County Specific Data – Severe Thunderstorms

 Table 3.3.2.3.3
 Summary of Thunderstorm/Winds Data, Costs

 Table 3.3.2.3.4
 Summary of Thunderstorm/Winds Data, Events

3.3.2.4 Severe Winter Storms

Table 3.3.2.4.1 Minimum Temperature Table for Lincoln Trail Region from 2010 to 2015

Table 3.3.2.4.2 2009 Winter Storm Impact

Table 3.3.2.4.32015 Winter Storm Snow Records

Table 3.3.2.4.4 County Specific Data – Winter Storms

 Table 3.3.2.4.5
 Summary of Winter Storm Data, Costs

 Table 3.3.2.4.6
 Summary of Winter Storm Data, Events

3.3.2.5 Lightning

Table 3.3.2.5.1U.S. Lightning Fatalities from 2006 to May of 2015

Table 3.3.2.5.2 Number of Deaths and Injuries Due to Lightning in the U.S. from 1995 to 2013

Table 3.3.2.5.3 Homeowners Insurance Claims and Payouts for Lightning Losses from 2009 to 2013

Table 3.3.2.5.4 County Specific Data – Lightning

 Table 3.3.2.5.5
 Summary of Lightning Data, Costs

Table 3.3.2.5.6Summary of Lightning Data, Events

3.3.2.6 Hail

Table 3.3.2.6.1 Hail Conversion Chart

Table 3.3.2.6.2County Specific Data – Hail

 Table 3.3.2.6.3
 Summary of Hail Data, Costs

Table 3.3.2.6.4 Summary of Hail Data, Events

3.3.2.7 Landslides

Table 3.3.2.7.1 - AHP Score of Ranking of Susceptibility of Landslides

Chart 3.3.2.7.1 - KGS Landslide Inventory, Distribution of Landslides

3.3.2.8 Karst/Sinkhole

Table 3.3.2.8.1 - Quiggins Sinkhole Impact Costs

3.3.2.9 Drought

 Table 3.3.2.9.1
 Palmer Classifications

Table 3.3.2.9.2 US Drought Index

 Table 3.3.2.9.3
 Significant Kentucky Drought Events

Table 3.3.2.9.4 Maximum Temperature Table for Lincoln Trail Region from 2010 to 2015

Table 3.3.2.9.5 Precipitation Data for Lincoln Trail Region from 2010 to 2015

Table 3.3.2.9,6 Summary of Drought Index Data

3.3.2.10 Earthquakes

Table 3.3.2.10.1 Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale

- Table 3.3.2.10.2 Earthquake Magnitude Classes
- Table 3.3.2.10.3 Earthquake Magnitude Scale
- Table 3.3.2.10.4 Earthquake Chance for the Lincoln Trail Region Counties
- 3.3.2.11 Hurricane
 - Table 3.3.2.11.1 Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale
- 3.3.2.12 Tsunami

3.3.3 Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets

- Table 3.3.3.1 Population Characteristics
 - Table 3.3.3.2 Housing Characteristics
 - Table 3.3.3.3 Lincoln Trail Region Industries
 - Table 3.3.3.4 Agricultural Assets
 - Table 3.3.3.5Transportation Assets
 - Table 3.3.3.6 Wastewater Utility Assets
 - Table 3.3.3.7 Water Utility Assets
 - Table 3.3.3.8 Critical Facilities
 - Table 3.3.3.9 Lincoln Trail Region Dams

3.3.4 Assessing Vulnerability: Potential Losses

- I. Estimating Potential Losses
 - Table 3.3.4.1 Social Vulnerability Source Units
 - Table 3.3.4.2
 Social Vulnerability Scores
 - Chart 3.3.4.1 Social Vulnerability
 - Table 3.3.4.3 Geographic Vulnerability Source Units
 - Table 3.3.4.4 Geographic Specific Event Factors
 - Table 3.3.4.5 Geographic Vulnerability Scores
 - Chart 3.3.4.2 Geographic Vulnerability
 - Table 3.3.4.6 Total vulnerability Scores
 - Chart 3.3.4.3 Total Vulnerability
 - Table 3.3.4.7 Critical Facilities located in combined Socially and Geographically Vulnerable Area by County
- II. Loss Estimation: Potential and Actual Losses
 - Table 3.3.4.8 Current inventory of existing structures and their potential loss estimate by County/City
 - Table 3.3.4.9 Actual Losses Based on Past Events
 - Chart 3.3.4.4 Lincoln Trail Region Average Cost Per Year by Event
 - Chart 3.3.4.5 Lincoln Trail Region Average Cost Per Event
 - Chart 3.3.4.6 Lincoln Trail Region Average Loss of Life Per Year
 - Chart 3.3.4.7 Lincoln Trail Region Average Injuries Per Year
 - Chart 3.3.4.8 Lincoln Trail Region Total Events of Documented Period

Chart 3.3.4.9 Lincoln Trail Region – Average Events Per Year

3.3.5 Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Develop Trends

Table 3.3.5.1 Population Growth Projections for the Lincoln Trail RegionTable 3.3.5.2 Households and Housing Units in Lincoln Trail Region – 2000

- Table 3.3.5.3 Households and Households in the Lincoln Trail Region 2010
- Table 3.3.5.4 Residential Building Permits

Table 3.3.5.5 Lincoln Trail Region Agricultural Statistics: 1997 to 2012

Table 3.3.5.6 Lincoln Trail Region Industrial Sites by County

Table 3.3.5.7 Employment by Type and County (U.S. Census Bureau)

3.3.6 Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment Table 3.3.6.1 Risk Level based on Historical Frequency Chance Per Year Table 3.3.6.2 Risk Level based on Annual Historical Economic Loss

3.4 Mitigation Strategy

3.4.1 Capabilities Assessment

- 3.4.1.1 Community Capability Assessment
 - Table 3.4.1.1.1 Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary, Planning and Regulatory Assessments
 - Table 3.4.1.1.2 Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary, Financial
 - Table 3.4.1.1.3 Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary, Education and Outreach
- 3.4.1.2 Existing Governmental Structures
 - Table 3.4.1.2.1 Lincoln Trail Regional Units of Government
- 3.4.1.3 Local Jurisdiction's "Professional Staff" Assessment
 - Table 3.4.1.3.1 Local Jurisdiction's- Professional Staff
- 3.4.1.4 First Responder Resources
 - Table 3.4.1.4.1 Lincoln Trail Region First Responder Resources
- 3.4.1.5 Utilities and Services
 - Table 3.4.1.5.1
 Utility and Service Providers
- 3.4.1.6 Lincoln Trail Region Community Hazard Mitigation Projects
 - 3.4.1.6.1 Completed Projects (1997 through 2014)
 - 3.4.1.6.2 Projects Presently Underway
 - 3.4.1.6.3 Future Projects (Pending Funding)

3.4.2 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Goals

- Table 3.4.2.1 Regional Goal #1
- Table 3.4.2.2 Regional Goal 2
- Table 3.4.2.3 Regional Goal 3
- Table 3.4.2.4 Regional Goal 4
- 3.4.3 Implementation of Mitigation Measures
 - Table 3.4.3.1 Prioritization Table

 Table 3.4.3.2 2015
 Ratings of Actions for Lincoln Trail Region by County

3.4.4 Multi-Jurisdictional Strategy

Table 3.4.4.1 Future Mitigation Projects: Breckinridge County Table 3.4.4.2 Future Mitigation Projects: Grayson County Table 3.4.4.3 Future Mitigation Projects: Hardin County 199

Table 3.4.4.4 Future Mitigation Projects: LaRue County	
Table 3.4.4.5 Future Mitigation Projects: Marion County	
Table 3.4.4.6 Future Mitigation Projects: Meade County	
Table 3.4.4.7 Future Mitigation Projects: Nelson County	
Table 3.4.4.8 Future Mitigation Projects: Washington County	
Appendix A – Forms Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Evaluation Worksheet Public Opinion Survey	259
Appendix B – Bibliography	260
Appendix C – Notices, Resolutions	263
Appendix D – Meeting Notification and Attendance	264

Introduction

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD) was officially designated as one of Kentucky's fifteen Area Development Districts on March 23, 1968. Composed of eight counties and twenty-seven cities, Lincoln Trail Area Development District is located immediately south of and contiguous to, the Louisville Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). LTADD is bordered by the Western Coal Field on its western border and is part of the Bluegrass Physiographic Region to its east. The District transects the Knobs Region, but the majority of the land is flat to gently rolling. The area is endowed with numerous physical attributes, including a temperate climate, generally uniform terrain, and great scenic beauty. The people of this rural region are self reliant, and strongly rooted in traditional values.



Prior to the Civil War, the LTADD region exerted a strong influence on the development of Kentucky and the United States west of the Appalachian Mountains. However, for approximately seventy-five years following 1860, the area remained agrarian and did not benefit from the rapid commercial and industrial development of the time. The creation of Fort Knox in 1933 and completion of the Kentucky Turnpike between Louisville and Elizabethtown in 1956, thrust the region into rapid commercial and industrial development. Since the 1950's, significant industrial growth has impacted the area and provided diverse employment opportunities and new payrolls. Tourism also developed based on the historic significance of the region, as well as its scenic beauty, and numerous man-made attractions. The Lincoln Trail Area Development District is still predominantly rural, but has experienced significant growth in population. The Hardin County area that includes Fort Knox, remains the region's "growth center" with a population equal to about 39% of the entire eight-county area. It is considered a major growth area in Kentucky, aided in part by the transportation corridors of I65, the Bluegrass Parkway, the Western Kentucky Parkway, and KY Highway 313 that run through it.

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan is the result of the region's desire to preserve all local natural resources and protect the lives and property of the people who live here. The Hazard Mitigation Plan is a guide for determining the best methods for reducing or eliminating the loss of life, property or damage as the result of both natural and man-made disasters. The following table gives a brief summary of each governmental organization



The Lincoln Trail Region

within the LTADD region and its respective size and population. The map shows the region with the locations of each county and city. All eight counties and twenty-seven cities within the region have participated in the plan update.

Existing Governmental Structures

Table 3.1.1 briefly outlines the jurisdictions that are included in the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan as well as, the characteristics of each governing body.

Table I - Lincoln Trail Regional Units of Government				
Jurisdiction	Population Type of Government			
	2010			
	Census			
Breckinridge County enc	ompasses 567.	17 square miles with a population density of		
approximately 35.4 people	e per square mi	ile. Elevations in the County range from 383 to		
920 feet above sea level.				
Breckinridge County	20,059	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal Court		
	18,888	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Cloverport	1,152	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Hardinsburg	2,343	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Irvington	1,181	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
Grayson County encompa	sses 496.7 squ	are miles with a population density of		
approximately 51.8 people	e per square mi	ile. Elevations in the County range from 395 to		
963 feet above sea level.				
Grayson County	25,746	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal Court		
	26,194	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Caneyville	608	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Clarkson	875	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Leitchfield	6,699	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
Hardin County encompas	ses 623.28 squ	are miles with a population density of		
approximately 169.3 peop	le per square n	nile. Elevations in the County range from 383 to		
1,017 feet above sea level.				
Hardin County	105,543	One County Judge/Executive & Eight Fiscal		
	108,266	Court Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Elizabethtown	28,531	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Radcliff	21,688	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Sonora	513	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Upton	683	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Vine Grove	4,520	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of West Point	797	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
LaRue County encompass	es 261.52 squa	are miles of land with a population density of		
approximately 54.3 people	e per square mi	ile.		
LaRue County	14,193	One County Judge/Executive & Four Fiscal Court		
	14,180	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Hodgenville	3,206	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		

Marion County encompas	ses 343.01 squ	are miles of land with a population density of		
approximately 57.8 people per square mile.				
Marion County	19,820	One County Judge/Executive & Five Fiscal Court		
	20,007	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Bradfordsville	294	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Lebanon	5,539	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Loretto	713	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Raywick	134	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
Meade County encompass	ses 305.42 squa	are miles of land with a population density of		
approximately 93.6 people	per square mi	le.		
Meade County	28,602	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal Court		
	29,139	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Brandenburg	2,643	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Ekron	135	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Muldraugh	947	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
Nelson County encompass	ses 417.51 squ	are miles of land with a population density of		
approximately 104.0 peop	<u>le per square n</u>	nile.		
Nelson County	43,437	One County Judge/Executive & Five Fiscal Court		
	44,812	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Bardstown	11,700	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Bloomfield	838	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
City of Fairfield	113	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of New Haven	855	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
Washington County encom	passes 297.27	square miles of land with a population density of		
approximately 39.4 people per square mile.				
Washington County	11,717	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal Court		
	11,959	Magistrates		
	(2014			
	estimate)			
City of Mackville	222	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
City of Springfield	2,519	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
	202	One Mayor & Four City commissioners		

Area Development Staff

The professional staff of the Lincoln Trail Area Development District has experience and knowledge in the areas of economic and community development, human services, transportation, land use planning and mapping. LTADD provides services and assistance to

the local units of government within its region that they might not otherwise have access to due to size and/or fiscal constraints.

LTADD staff assisted in the research and development of the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update.

Kentucky Area Development Districts receive Federal funding through the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965. The intention behind creation of the ADDs was to assist locally elected officials, and business and civic leaders in expanding economic development opportunities.

Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Planning Effort

Officially approved by FEMA in September of 2005, the Lincoln Trail Regional Mitigation Plan is the result of a partnership created by the Kentucky Emergency Management Agency and LTADD through a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Mitigation Planning Grant. In 2008, LTADD applied for funding through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program to do a comprehensive review and update of the regional plan. In 2013, LTADD applied for funding through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Planning Grant and through the FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Program to complete a comprehensive review and update of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan.

Lincoln Trail ADD assisted the Regional Mitigation Council (LTHMC) with the review and update process by pursuing broad based and diverse community participation. To insure that the plan is relevant and complies with the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act as amended by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 200 (Public Law 106-390, October 30, 2000), the plan as been reviewed regularly as each FEMA grant is announced. The regional mitigation plan is comprised of the following sections:

- 3.1: Prerequisites Adoption by Local Units of Government
- 3.2: A description of the Planning Process/ Plan Maintenance Procedures (added to planning process)
- 3.3: Risk Assessment
- 3.4: Mitigation Strategies

3.1 <u>Prerequisites</u>

3.1.1 Adoption by Local Governing Body

Once each local unit of government has reviewed the Lincoln Trail multi-jurisdictional plan update, it will be brought before the Lincoln Trail Area Development District Board of Directors for adoption.

3.1.2 Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

Upon acceptance and approval by FEMA, the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will be submitted to each participating jurisdiction for adoption. Each local unit of government will be given an adoption resolution to be passed and signed and attached to the final plan. (attachment #2 – draft resolution)

3.1.3 Multi-Jurisdictional Participation

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update planning process was designed to incorporate data and input from each of the eight counties and twenty-seven cities within the region, on an active and equitable basis. Participation in this plan is defined as an active and extensive involvement in the planning and data gathering process that is extended to every citizen within each jurisdiction. The head of each jurisdiction and/or his/her designee became part of the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee (LTHMC). The entire region was advised of the Plan Update at the December, 2014 LTADD Board of Directors Meeting. A regional meeting was convened to provide insight, guidance and training on the review and update process on January 29, 2015. All components of the plan update were discussed in accordance with 44CFR 201.3, and each jurisdiction received information packets designed to steer them through the review and update process. Packets included an extensive questionnaire designed to help each jurisdiction review its past data and provide input on new data critical to ascertaining each community's risks, critical facilities, resiliency, preparedness, capabilities, and mitigation projects. Each jurisdiction was also provided with citizen surveys to be circulated within their respective jurisdictions for the purpose of soliciting participation from every citizen. This survey was also posted on the LTADD website for easy accessibility by the entire region. Jurisdictions were instructed to include local business and industry, as well as all agencies providing services or care within their community, in their planning effort to ensure that all aspects of preparedness and recovery were addressed. Also included were resolutions stating each jurisdiction's intention of participating in the planning process for passage by each community's governing body. Training was provided concerning community preparedness and resiliency, individual and family preparedness, continuity of operations, and land use planning as it pertains to hazard mitigation. All thirty-five jurisdictions were instructed on the importance of planning, resiliency, and the inclusion of a broad range of community partners and citizens in their mitigation planning process.

LTADD staff then worked with County Emergency Managers and all participating jurisdictions to evaluate risks, strategies and plans for inclusion in the Regional Plan. An

emphasis was placed on planning, preparedness and resiliency. In addition, staff worked diligently to review extensive sources of information about weather events and regional data for the last five years. Incorporated into the regional plan, this information formed the basis for planning and review at each jurisdictional meeting.

LTADD staff guided the entire planning process to ensure that it was complete and in compliance with federal guidelines. Public meetings were held in each county with public notices published in local newspapers. Meetings were conducted in all eight counties during the autumn months of 2015. This reinforced the planning commitment to be active and equitable across the entire region. LTADD staff also researched data pertaining to weather events, demographic information, and regional progress in mitigation efforts. ADD staff organized all meetings, trainings, and planning efforts. ADD staff worked with each county emergency manager to plan for and reach a countywide level of preparedness and resiliency known as "StormReady."

Throughout the planning and update process for the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, the impetus has been on inclusive participation, extensive research, and increased regional preparedness and resiliency. LTADD staff has been instrumental in this process to plan for all aspects of hazard mitigation from safeguarding local water systems to planning for emergency evacuations. Research sources include, but are not limited to local emergency managers, community leaders and citizens; the National Climate Data Center; Kentucky MESONET; and FEMA data. The Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee (LTHMC) was not only a source of information on the update, but also was the group that reviewed each element of the plan update. LTHMC has:

- Encouraged public participation
- Reviewed public comments
- Reviewed and approved incorporation of existing documentation and information
- Reviewed and approved each component of risk assessment, mitigation strategy, and plan maintenance procedures
- Reviewed the contents of the draft plan update prior to submission to the State

Since the LTHMC was completely composed of people from all participating jurisdictions, it was an inclusive group able to access information from all people within their respective jurisdictions to include first responders, business and insurance people, city and county workers, social service agencies, the media, and the general public. This inclusive approach was instrumental in gathering information and developing strategies in keeping with each jurisdiction's culture, values and local situations. Also considered, were the discrepancies in resources available to each of the thirty-five unique communities within the region.

In addition to a regional meeting and one public meeting within each county, other meetings were convened as necessary, to gather and review all of the information contained in the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update.

Section Update Summary: The "Prerequisites" section of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update required some changes to the content during the update process.

Updates to tables 3.1.3.1 and 3.1.3.1 reflect changes in people participating in regional positions.

The following table outlines the Lincoln Trail Area Development District's Board of Directors and their respective County affiliations.

Table 3.1.3.1 - Regional Council			
Breckinridge County	Grayson County		
Maurice Lucas, County Judge/Executive	Gary Logsdon, County Judge/Executive		
Rick Corley, Mayor of Cloverport	William Thomason, Mayor of Leitchfield		
Wayne Macy, Mayor of Hardinsburg	Paul Steenbergen, Citizen Member		
Yvonne Kennedy, Mayor of Irvington	Linda Clements, Citizen Member		
Ted Brown, Citizen Member			
Gwan Bickett, Citizen Member			
Hardin County	LaRue County		
Harry Berry, County Judge/Executive	Tommy Turner, County Judge/Executive		
Edna Berger, Mayor of Elizabethtown	Kenny DeVore, Mayor of Hodgenville		
Mike Weaver, Mayor of Radcliff	Bobby Claycomb, Citizen Member		
Blake Profitt, Mayor of Vine Grove	Vacant Position, Citizen Member		
William Ash, Mayor of West Point			
Mo Miller, WIB Chair			
Brad Richardson, Citizen Member			
Sheila Enyart, Citizen Member			
Donna Broadway, Citizen Member			
Marion County	Meade County		
David Daugherty, County Judge/Executive	Gerry Lynn, County Judge/Executive		
Gary Crenshaw, Mayor of Lebanon	Ronnie Joyner, Mayor of Brandenburg		
John Thomas, City of Lebanon Designated	Joseph Noon, Mayor of Muldraugh		
Representative	Greg Beavin, Citizen Member		
Ernest Taylor, Aging Advisory Council			
Chair/Citizen Member			
George Spragens, Citizen Member			
Jerry Evans, Citizen Member			
<u>Nelson County</u>	Washington County		
Dean Watts, County Judge/Executive	John Settles, County Judge/Executive		
John Royalty, Mayor of Bardstown	Debbie Wakefield, Mayor of Springfield		
Rhonda Hagan, Mayor of Bloomfield	Kathy Elliott, City of Springfield Designated		
	Representative		
	Dorothy Logsdon, Citizen Member		
	Vacant Position, Citizen Member		
Legislative Representatives	Fort Knox		
Jim DuPlessis, Representative	Patrick Walsh, Director of Public Works		
Dennis Parrett, Senator			

The following table outlines the representatives from each of the eight counties and twentyseven cities in the Lincoln Trail Region who have provided input in the planning, review and update of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update.

Jurisdiction	Name	Title/Affiliation
Breckinridge County	Maurice Lucas	Breckinridge County
		Judge/Executive
	Eric Ventress	County Emergency Manager
	Steve Crichelow	County Road Supervisor
City of Cloverport	Rick Corley	Mayor
	Kathy McCoy Moore	City Clerk/Treasurer
City of Hardinsburg	Wayne Macy	Mayor
	Holly Fowler	City Clerk
City of Irvington	Yvonne Kennedy	Mayor
	Lisa Ballman	City Clerk/Treasurer
Grayson County	Gary Logsdon	Grayson County
		Judge/Executive
	Ernie Perkins	County Emergency Manager
	Deedee Whitely	Emergency Management
	Chandra Glenn	Administrative Assistant
City of Caneyville	James Embry	Mayor
	Connie Gootee	City Clerk
	Anthony Clark	Police & Fire Chief
City of Clarkson	Bonnie Henderson	Mayor
	Alicia Hayes	City Clerk/Treasurer
City of Leitchfield	William Thomason	Mayor
	Sheila Puckett	Public Works Director
Hardin County	Harry Berry	Hardin County Judge/Executive
	Olivia Berry	EM Coordinator
	Doug Finlay	County Emergency Manager
City of Elizabethtown	Edna Burger	Mayor
	Mark Malone	Elizabethtown Fire
City of Radcliff	Mike Weaver	Mayor
	Murray Wanner	Radcliff Planning
	Jamie Henderson	Fire Chief
	Ashley Russo	Radcliff
City of Sonora	Larry Copeland	Mayor
City of Upton	Melissa Smith	Mayor
City of Vine Grove	Blake Proffitt	Mayor
	Jackie Johnson	City Clerk/Treasurer
	Steven New	Fire Chief/EM

Table 3.1.3.2 - Lincoln Trail Region Representation	tives
-------------------------------------------------------------	-------

City of West Point	William Ash	Mayor	
	Missy Goodwin	City Clerk/Treasurer	
Fort Knox	Joel Tiotiuco	Emergency Planning	
LaRue County	Tommy Turner	LaRue County Judge/Executive	
	Dennis Wells	LaRue County EM	
	Nathaniel Hall	LaRue County EM	
City of Hodgenville	Kenny DeVore	Mayor	
	Toni Burton	City Clerk/Treasurer	
	Steven Johnson	Hodgenville Police	
Marion County	David Daugherty	Marion County Judge/Executive	
City of Bradfordsville	David Edelen	Mayor	
	George Edelen	Weather Collector	
	Wanda Marlow	City Clerk	
City of Lebanon	Gary Crenshaw	Mayor	
	Nikki Wheatley	City Clerk	
	John L. Thomas	City Administrator	
City of Loretto	Tom Brahm	Mayor	
	Pat Edelen	City Clerk/Treasurer	
City of Raywick	Kelly Lucas	Mayor	
Meade County	Jerry Lynn	Meade County Judge/Executive	
	Ron Dodson	Meade County EMA	
	Angel Gates	Meade County EMA	
City of Brandenburg	Ronnie Joyner	Mayor	
	Molly Janes	City Clerk/Treasurer	
City of Ekron	Gwynne Ison	Mayor	
City of Muldraugh	Joseph Noon	Mayor	
	Caroline Cline	City Clerk/Treasurer	
	Anthony Lee	Public Works Director	
	Charlie Ashbaugh	Police Chief	
Nelson County	Dean Watts	Nelson County Judge/Executive	
	Joe Prewitt	EM Director	
	Eva Prewitt	Nelson County EM	
	Johnathan Hendricks	Deputy EM Director	
City of Bardstown	John Royalty	Mayor	
	Greg Ashworth	Risk Manager	
	Barbie Bryant	City Clerk	
City of Bloomfield	Rhonda Hagan	Mayor	
	Janet Graves	City Council	
	Jim Glisson	City Council	
	Scott Thompson	Maintenance Tech	
City of Fairfield	Angela Ford	Mayor	
City of New Haven	Jeff Rogers	Mayor	
	Tim Bartley	Public Works Director	

Washington County	John Settles	Washington County Judge/Executive
	Kevin Devine	Emergency Manager/Flood
		Plain Manager
City of Mackville	Carl Gabhart	Mayor
City of Springfield	Debbie Wakefield	Mayor
	Laurie Smith	City Clerk/Treasurer
City of Willisburg	Pat Kirsch	Mayor

3.2 <u>The Planning Process</u>

3.2.1 - Open Public Involvement

To facilitate developing mitigation measures endorsed by a majority of public and private sector stakeholders, and be indicative of community needs; public participation was an integral part of the planning process. This broad approach to public participation was encouraged to build partnerships, and to solicit the most comprehensive amount of information possible, so that the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update can be as inclusive as possible. Please see sub-sections 3.1.3, 3.2.2, and 3.2.3 for additional information. To further enhance an inclusive approach, the Regional Plan and all of the planning information, has been put on the LTADD website (http://ltadd.org/hazardmitigation) for review and comment from interested persons, businesses, educators and agencies across the State. Comments can be made during the entire update process, from draft stage to final plan approval. Comments may be directed to LTADD via the website, by phone or by mail.

3.2.2 - Opportunity for Public Comment

Public meetings were held during the drafting stage of the planning process and prior to plan approval, to encourage public review of, and comment on the plan. In addition, individual citizen surveys were given to each participating jurisdiction to solicit input into the planning process (See Appendix A "Forms"). This survey was also posted to the LTADD website for easy access by the entire region. The individual surveys could be completed by those people unable to attend local or public meetings, and vastly expanded opportunities for input from the general public. In addition, direct access to plan drafts provided residents of the region with the opportunity to learn about local risks, and the strategies developed to mitigate them. Table 3.2.2.1 outlines meetings held throughout the planning and review process.

Table 3.2.2.1 - City & County Meetings (To Gather and Analyze Data)				
Jurisdiction	Meeting Date		Jurisdiction	Meeting Date
Breckinridge Co.	2/10/2015		Marion Co.	5/12/2015
Cloverport	2/24/2015		Bradfordsville	2/23/2015
Hardinsburg	2/20/2015		Lebanon	2/25/2015
Irvington	2/20/2015		Loretto	3/24/2015
_			Raywick	3/25/2015
Grayson Co.	2/19/2015		Meade Co.	2/22/2015
Caneyville	2/13/2015		Brandenburg	2/12/2015
Clarkson	3/10/2015		Ekron	2/26/2015
Leitchfield	2/05/2015		Muldraugh	2/24/2015
Hardin Co.	3/26/2015		Nelson Co.	2/19/2015
Elizabethtown	3/11/2015		Bardstown	2/20/2015
Radcliff	2/25/2015		Bloomfield	4/21/2015
Sonora	4/21/2015		Fairfield	3/30/2015
Upton	4/23/2015		New Haven	3/31/2015

Vine Grove	3/12/2015		
West Point	3/31/2015		

LaRue Co.	2/05/2015	Washington Co.	5/14/2015
Hodgenville	03/11/2015	Mackville	5/28/2015
_		Springfield	3/23/2015
		Willisburg	3/09/2015

In addition to the meetings noted above, Hazard Mitigation was discussed with the municipal clerks at regional meetings held 12/10/2014, 02/25/2015, 03/27/2015/ 05/27/2015 and 09/30/2015.

A meeting with local and regional members of planning commissions and boards of adjustment was held September 17, 2015.

Throughout the first three quarters of 2015, meetings were held with every county emergency manager at least once. These meetings included discussion about the Hazard Mitigation Planning process and data analysis. Several meetings with emergency managers were held during the entire plan update and rewrite to solidify regional projects and gather accurate data.

Several meetings with County Judge Executives also took place to discuss the Regional Plan rewrite.

Hazard Mitigation was discussed and presented at the Meetings of the Lincoln Trail ADD Board of Directors on November 19, 2014, January 21, 2015 and August 19, 2015. Information about the plan and the data it contains was presented; discussion about contents was encouraged.

An advertised regional meeting was held on January 29, 2015 and was open to every Lincoln Trail Region city and county, other Area Development Districts, State Officials and interested stakeholders. It was an all-day event with in-depth discussion of the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, the planning and review process, and training in several aspects of community resiliency and preparedness.



Hazard Mitigation Regional Kick-Off Meeting

The general public was able to review plan drafts, as well as the Lincoln Trail

Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, at the offices of local units of government and the LTADD website. Instructions for submitting comments were attached to the drafts. All planning meetings were open to the public and meeting notification was sent to all media outlets (See Appendix A "Forms") (44 CFR §201.6(b)(1).

3.2.3 - Opportunity for Public/Private Participation

The tables in subsection 3.1.3 outline local communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies authorized to regulate development, businesses, academia and other private and non-profit organizations that were invited to meetings, and actively encouraged to participate in the planning process. LTADD staff was responsible for informing each jurisdiction in the region of the requirements of the mitigation plan, and the impact of the plan on each jurisdiction. Local units of government, agencies and organizations, which may be affected by the mitigation plan, were invited to attend all meetings and given the opportunity to participate.

Invitations to participate were extended to locally elected officials, disaster relief agencies, county/city employees, emergency management personnel, first response agencies, local business and industry, educational facilities, other area development districts, and private citizens, by the LTADD staff or LTHMC members. In addition, appropriate State and Federal representatives were notified and invited to attend scheduled planning meetings. (See subsections 3.1.3 and 3.2.2 for additional information on Public/Private Participation)

3.2.4 <u>Review and Incorporation of Existing Plans, Studies, Reports, and Technical Information</u>

LTADD staff has reviewed and, when applicable, incorporated other regional plans into the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan. Those plans include, but are not limited to, the *LTADD Area Agency on Aging Disaster Preparedness Plan*, the *LTADD Water Management Plan*, the *LTADD Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy*, local City and/or County Comprehensive Plans, local Capital Improvement Plans, and County Emergency Operation Plans. Conversely, all local units of government are encouraged to incorporate the regional hazard mitigation plan into their comprehensive plans, community planning efforts, county emergency operations plans and all planning and zoning ordinances. This is evidenced in the mitigation strategy section of this plan.

Other materials reviewed and considered for inclusion in the plan were studies, reports, and technical information obtained from local communities, research, State and Federal agencies, and universities. These materials included, but were not limited to: relevant USACE mitigation documents, the National Flood Insurance Program's Answers to Questions About Substantially Damaged Buildings, The HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative: A Flexible Tool for Rebuilding Communities, The Long Term Flood Economic Recovery Strategy for Lincoln Trail Area Development District, Addressing Your Community's Flood Problems.

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan received dual approval from FEMA. It was the first plan in Kentucky to be approved as a Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Plan and a Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) plan. With this dual designation, all Lincoln Trail jurisdictions covered by the plan are eligible for all FEMA mitigation grant programs.

Several jurisdictions have utilized FEMA grant opportunities to undertake mitigation activities and projects. These actions are incorporated in the Section 3.4, Community Projects. Local mitigation planning activities have been reviewed and included in the plan and referenced throughout.

The City of Radcliff in Hardin County is currently the only jurisdictions in the Lincoln Trail Regional participating in the Community Rating System (CRS) program. Radcliff has an effective date of 10/95 and has a class rating of 9, which provides residents with a 5% discount on flood insurance for properties in a Special Flood Hazard Area.

LTADD staff along with representatives and citizens from every participating jurisdiction, have researched and collected data from numerous sources as cited throughout the plan. All data and information collected has been reviewed for relevancy, comprehensiveness, and accuracy before inclusion in the mitigation plan. Once the review and comment process was complete, the information was evaluated and incorporated into the regional plan as deemed appropriate, and in keeping with 44 CFR §201.6(c)(i) and 44 CFR §201.6(b)(2).

3.2.5 Documentation of the Planning/Update Process

The staff of Lincoln Trail Area Development District has a broad range of knowledge, skills, and abilities, and work with each of the thirty-five jurisdictions within the region to facilitate economic development, compliance with federal and state laws and regulations, community health and safety, quality of life, job opportunities, and educational achievement. This is accomplished with educational opportunities, regional planning councils, project management, land use planning, grant writing, and general assistance. All of these efforts are interrelated and lend themselves to ongoing hazard mitigation planning and mitigation projects. Helping each jurisdiction recognize its potential and developing a plan for dealing with each unique environment, enables LTADD staff to know and win the trust of each community. This plan update was another opportunity to evaluate regional hazards, and their related risks, with what each community has accomplished over the last five years, and to determine what each still needs to plan for. Regional grant funds from the Kentucky Area Development Fund (ADF), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Citizen Corps funds, and Homeland Security have enabled our communities to purchase first responder communication equipment, outdoor siren warning systems, generators, and facilities that could be used for shelters.

As with the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Plan Updates in 2004 and 2009/2010, every jurisdiction in the region participated in the 2015 update. Opportunities for participation existed at every local and regional meeting when discussion ensued about risk assessment, plan maintenance and the review of findings and data. Each of the LTADD counties, submitted a resolution to participate in the planning and update of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The update planning process began with a regional meeting to apprise agencies, businesses, educators and representatives from every jurisdiction of the opportunity to review the existing plan, evaluate progress and events that occurred over the past five years, and think

about how to proceed in the near future. This meeting included training about community resiliency and preparedness, continuity of operations, individual and family preparedness, and incorporating hazard mitigation into land use planning and community decision-making.

Local mitigation committees participated in each phase of the review and update process. Sub-section 3.1.3 describes the methods used for selecting the members who participated. The risk assessment portion of the plan is covered in section 3.3. Local participants were aided in the process with information pertaining to hazard identification and vulnerability assessment that included historic and technical data, and input from individual jurisdictions. The risk assessment section was researched and prepared by LTADD staff and reviewed by subcommittees, before being presented to the Regional Mitigation Council for inclusion in the plan update. Each section of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, was reviewed by committee members, during the update process. Data and information collected from each individual jurisdiction, as well as that researched by LTADD staff, was incorporated into the update along with that from the original document. The information gained from each jurisdiction greatly enhanced the data from state and national sources. Meeting notes, maps, information and attendance records are on file at the Lincoln Trail Area Development District office.

All sections of the plan were originally developed and updated using the best available data in the Lincoln Trail Region. Past Geographic Information Systems (GIS) mapping in conjunction with information gathered from each jurisdiction was used to forecast future losses. During the process of identifying hazards, each hazard was discussed and rated as a potential threat or categorized as "low" or "no risk." Additional information regarding the physical and economic impacts of hazards was gathered and added to existing data. Sources used to gather information from the last five years included county emergency management offices, local media, insurance records, local planning and zoning commissions, the general public, each participating jurisdiction and historical knowledge.

Utilizing data from numerous sources, the information contained in the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update, defined the vulnerabilities each participating jurisdiction must address. A review of the 2010 mitigation strategy gave the region a base from which to draft community specific mitigation projects. A review of projects that were in progress when the original plan was completed enabled the committee to create the status report shown in section 3.4. From there information about complaints and problems related to recent storms and events was compiled. Review and discussion of this data resulted in a list of projects with the propensity to serve the greatest number of people, prevent the greatest economic losses and be the most cost effective.

The completed list was then presented to elected officials for review and prioritization. Discussion on both city and county levels produced additional projects for consideration.

All appropriate feedback received from elected officials and community members was considered and incorporated into the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Plan Update.

3.2.6 Plan Maintenance Procedures

Monitoring, Evaluating and Updating the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan is updated every five years per 44 CFR 201.6(c)(4)(i). However, the twenty-seven cities and eight counties in the Region are committed to keeping the plan up-to-date per 44 CFR 201.6(c)(4)(iii).

Monitoring Implementation and Evaluating Effectiveness Annually

Each local unit of government will review and discuss the Regional Plan at an officially called meeting of the city council/commission or fiscal court, on an annual basis. At that time, each will review the goals of the plan and gauge how those goals have been addressed during the preceding year. Elected officials will evaluate the progress of the community they represent in meeting the goals of the plan, and implementing the actions described for accomplishing each goal.

This review will also include consideration of hazard events that have occurred over the year and the effectiveness of the plan in helping the community handle the effects of the hazard(s). The review will also afford officials the opportunity to assess the efforts made to mitigate the hazards the community is vulnerable to, and determine if additional actions need to be added to the Plan before the next 5-year update process. At this time, each community can review the comments and concerns contributed by local citizens through the *Hazard Mitigation Public Opinion Survey* forms available to them on the LTADD website, or from direct contact. Local leaders from business, education, and service provider agencies, as well as residents, will be encouraged to attend the review sessions and contribute ideas, changes that have occurred over the past year, and concerns.

This review by each jurisdiction will take place in November of each year; LTADD will send out reminders to each participating jurisdiction. The Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee will be responsible for the annual review and provide *The Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Evaluation Worksheet* for each jurisdiction to use during the annual review process (See Appendix A "Forms"). This will enable each community to evaluate the same criteria, and report the information to Lincoln Trail Area Development District for inclusion in the annual regional review. LTADD will then report any annual changes to the regional plan, to the State. LTADD will also incorporate any comments or information it receives in responses from the citizen Hazard Mitigation Public Opinion Surveys. Both the *Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Evaluation Worksheet* and *Hazard Mitigation Public Opinion Surveys* are available on the LTADD website.

Section Update Summary: The planning process required a few changes in order to be more inclusive and specific. However, it closely adhered to the process developed for the original plan that proved to be very successful. Review of the mitigation projects completed since the 2010 update, renewed the interest and understanding the regional communities have in planning for community resiliency and the ability to recover from a disaster. The Regional Plan Maintenance Policy was incorporated into the Planning section of the 2015 Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Lincoln Trail Region Hazards

The geographic location of the Lincoln Trail Region makes it vulnerable to variety of natural hazard events that have the potential to threaten life and property. The following list of natural hazard events is inclusive; not all of these hazards impact this region, but all are profiled in this chapter.

- Flooding
- Tornados
- Severe Thunderstorms
- Severe Winter Storms
- Lightning
- Hail
- Landslides
- Karst/Sinkhole Topography
- Subsidence
- Drought
- Wildfire
- Dam Safety
- Earthquakes
- Hurricanes
- Tsunamis

Some of these hazard events are interrelated; severe thunderstorms can cause flooding and include lightning, they can also produce hail, high winds and tornados. This chapter provides the characteristics and potential impacts associated with each of these events. Those hazards that affect the Lincoln Trail Region are identified along with their associated potential risks. Historical data is used to determine which events the region is vulnerable to, and the level of associated potential risk. The degree of risk is dependent upon the reliability and accuracy of the data collected.

3.3 Risk Assessment

All sections of the risk assessment were developed utilizing the best available data in the Lincoln Trail Region. Lincoln Trail staff used GIS resources to assess the physical impact that specific natural hazard events have on the region. When GIS information was not available or applicable, research data and local historic records, such as those obtained from regional emergency management offices, the media, insurance records, and the knowledge of local officials and residents, were used. Research sources include, but are not limited to the following:

- The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
- The Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet
- US Geological Survey (USGS)
- National Severe Storms Laboratory

- FEMA
- Kentucky Office of Emergency Management
- Kentucky Geological Survey
- National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI)

Table 3.3.1 - Hazard Maps by Jurisdiction

JURISDICTION		ΜΑΡ ΤΥΡΕ								
	FLOODING	TORNADO	LANDSLIDE	KARST	EARTHQUAKE	RISK				
BRECKINRIDGE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
CLOVERPORT	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
HARDINSBURG	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
IRVINGTON	Y	NA	NA	Y	NA	Y				
GRAYSON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
CANEYVILLE	Y	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y				
CLARKSON	Y	Y	NA	Y	NA	Y				
LEITCHFIELD	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
HARDIN	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
ELIZABETHTOWN	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
RADCLIFF	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
SONORA	Y	NA	NA	Y	NA	Y				
UPTON	Y	NA	NA	Y	NA	Y				
VINE GROVE	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
WEST POINT	Y	NA	Y	Y	NA	Y				
LARUE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
HODGENVILLE	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
MARION	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
BRADFORDSVILLE	Y	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y				
LEBANON	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
LORETTO	Y	NA	Y	Y	NA	Y				
RAYWICK	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	Y				
MEADE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
BRANDENBURG	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
EKRON	Y	NA	NA	Y	NA	Y				
MULDRAUGH	NA	NA	NA	Y	NA	Y				
NELSON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
BARDSTOWN	Y	Y	Y	Y	NA	Y				
BLOOMFIELD	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	Y				
FAIRFIELD	Y	NA	NA	NA	NA	Y				
NEW HAVEN	Y	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y				
WASHINGTON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				
MACKVILLE	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	Y				
SPRINGFIELD	Y	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y				
WILLISBURG	Y	Y	Y	NA	NA	Y				

Y = Map Available

NA = Not Applicable

3.3.1 Identifying Hazards

The Lincoln Trail Region encompasses an area of 3,342 square miles and is vulnerable to several natural hazard events. The events outlined in Table 3.3.1.1 have a 100% chance of occurring in any given year within this region, and cost the area an average of \$134,000 per event. Due to the size of the region, events may be more prevalent in one portion of the area than in others. This phenomenon makes it imperative to include as many research sources as possible, and to look at mitigation strategies appropriate for every jurisdiction within the region. The events listed below were identified using information from local emergency management offices and review of local past disasters in addition to those listed.

Table 3.3.1.1 Lincoln T	rail Region Significant Haza	ard Events		
Hazard	How Identified	Reason Identified		
Thunderstorm Wind	Media Coverage	Historic Regional		
Total Cost-\$74,457,996.00	Insurance Records	Significance (Affects all		
Number of Events-1,679	SHELDUS	Jurisdictions)		
48-60-years	National Center for			
	Environmental			
	Information (NCEI)			
Floods	Public Input	Historic Regional		
Total Cost-\$132,991,112.0	Insurance Records	Significance (Affects all		
Number of Events-423	FIRM/DFIRM Maps	Jurisdictions)		
48-60-years	SHELDUS	Presence of Waterways		
	National Center for	Presence of Flood Prone		
	Environmental	Areas		
	Information (NCEI)			
Hail	Media Coverage	Historic Regional		
Total Cost-\$130,364,632.0	Insurance Records	Significance (Affects all		
Number of Events-563	SHELDUS	Jurisdictions)		
48-60-years	National Center for			
	Environmental			
	Information (NCEI)			
Lightning	Media Coverage	Historic Regional		
Total Cost-\$3,765,207.00	Insurance Records	Significance (Affects all		
Number of Events-271	SHELDUS	Jurisdictions		
48-60-years	National Center for			
	Environmental			
	Information (NCEI)			
Snow & Ice	Community Input	Historic Regional		
Total Cost-\$16,342,589.00	Media Coverage	Significance (Affects all		
Number of Events-320	National Center for	Jurisdictions		
48-60-years	Environmental			
	Information (NCEI)			

Tornado	Public Input	High Wind Risk Area
Total Cost-\$93,649,450.00	Insurance Records	Historic Regional
Number of Events-113	FEMA Data	Significance (Affects all
48-60-years	Wind Zone Maps	Jurisdictions
	SHELDUS	
	National Center for	
	Environmental	
	Information (NCEI)	
Earthquake	National Center for	Media Coverage
Total Cost-NA	Environmental	NEIC
Number of Events-6	Information (NCEI)	
235-years	Media Coverage	
Total Number of Events		
3,375		

Table 3.3.1.2 profiles natural hazards that can affect this region, but which historically, have not posed a significant risk to the area. Most of these hazards do not pose a significant threat to this region, but cannot be overlooked. Most have either no reports of past occurrence and/or an adverse impact on local communities.

Table 3.3.1.2Lincoln 7	Frail Region Hazard Events	With Negligible Risk
Hazard	How Identified	Reason Identified
Landslides	Local Input	Topographic Maps Show
(road slides)	Hazard Areas Identified	Significant Potential
	by KY Geological Survey	Regional Impact
Karst/Sinkhole &	USGS & KGS	Topographic Maps
Subsidence Topography	Topographic Maps	Indicate High Risk of
	Local Input	Development
Drought & Heat	KY Mesonet Data	Rural Area With Potential
	Local Input	for Economic Impact
	National Center for	
	Environmental	
	Information (NCEI)	
Wildfires	Public Input	Area Prone to
	National Center for	Grass/Brush Fires
	Environmental	
	Information (NCEI)	
Earthquakes	Historic Data	Peak Ground Acceleration
	Media Coverage	Maps (PGA Maps)
	USGS & KGS	
Dam Safety	KY Energy & Environment	No Significant Historic
	Cabinet	Data
Tsunamis	Historic Data	No Historic Data

Hurricanes	Media Coverage	Little Historic Data
	National Center for	
	Environmental	
	Information (NCEI)	

3.3.2 Profiling Hazard Events

This section provides a profile of each hazard identified in the Lincoln Trail Region. This part of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan provides the following information based on the best data available:

- 1. A description of each hazard identified within the planning area and the impact that each hazard has on the area.
- 2. The historical background of each identified hazard in the planning area and the probability of it occurring again.
- 3. Maps indicating the locations and areas within the region impacted by Hazard events.

Lincoln Trail staff used GIS resources to assess the physical and economic impact of certain natural disasters on the region. In situations where GIS data was not available, state websites and local records were used to give plan reviewers a more comprehensive understanding of past hazard events. Local records included county emergency management records, media, local officials, community members and the historical knowledge of subcommittee members. Credible websites accessed and cited throughout the plan include the Kentucky State Climatology Center, the Spatial Hazard Events and Losses Database for the United States (SHELDUS), the National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI), FEMA's Hazard Mapping website, the Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS), the United States Geologic Survey (USGS), and Kentucky MESONET centers. In addition, leaders from regional educational institutions, business, emergency management, and first response agencies were contacted and involved with the planning process per 44 CFR §201.6(b)(2).

As subcommittees reviewed the best available data gathered, several gaps were identified. In order to project a more accurate and comprehensive record of past hazard events, researching public input and local records played a significant role in augmenting the data. The consensus of subcommittee reviewers is that some local data is not being forwarded to all interested parties. In particular, property damage estimates are not accurately calculated. To bridge this void, local and regional insurance estimates were gathered from providers in the region and incorporated into the plan.

One goal of the Lincoln Trail Regional Mitigation Committee, is to capture new data with every update, that will be useful in preparing future proposals and in developing local environmental and economic plans. All information in this regional plan is dated, and should be easily discernable from the original data. The plan should guide community development, improve regional resiliency and preparedness, and enhance quality of life throughout the Lincoln Trail Region.

Review: The Lincoln Trail Region has a documented history of several different types of Hazards with various impacts. The impact of these hazards is measured by both the frequency of occurrence and by the cost of the event; both economic and social. This section is focused on the types and frequency of hazards in the Lincoln Trail Region. The costs of events will be addressed in section 3.3.4, and will focus on the potential losses that may be incurred with a future event. The following tables provide an analytical review of documented hazard events in the Lincoln Trail Region. For planning purposes, the historic frequencies will be used in subsequent vulnerability analysis. The tables are presented for each county including incorporated and unincorporated areas and for the region as a whole.

Table 3.3.2.1	- Summary of Hazard Events and	Cost by County
---------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------

DD	FU	ZINI	DI	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{C}$	Г
חט	ப்ப	VII N	NI	υu	Ľ

21.201.11.11	- 42										
	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
Hazard	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazalu	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	206	54.5	53	95	195	0.26	377.98%	5.3	4.75	3.9	
Floods ^{1, 3}	53	48.5	13	31	53	0.92	109.28%	1.3	1.55	1.06	
Hail ^{1,3}	77	51.5	36	52	74	0.67	149.51%	3.60	2.60	1.48	
Lightning ^{1,3}	25	54.5	0	0	21	2.18	45.87%	0	0	0.42	
Snow & Ice ¹	40	54.5	14	20	35	1.36	73.39%	1.4	1	0.7	
Tornado ¹	16	54.5	7	10	15	3.41	29.36%	0.7	0.5	0.3	
Earthquake ²	0	235	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,211,803	206	54.5	0.25	2.21	\$22,235	\$5,883	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$7,801,684	53	48.5	2.09	0.11	\$160,859	\$147,202	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$4,925,750	77	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$95,646	\$63,971	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$289,285	25	54.5	0.04	0.36	\$5,308	\$11,571	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Snow & Ice ¹	\$1,411,082	40	54.5	0.31	1.83	\$25,891	\$35,277	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$5,185,260	16	54.5	1.09	20.00	\$95,142	\$324,079	0.02	0.07	0.37	1.25
Earthquake ²		0	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

GRAYSON

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
Lineard	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	196	56.5	41	78	185	0.29	346.90%	4.1	3.9	3.7	
Floods ^{1, 3}	46	48.5	12	26	46	1.05	94.85%	1.2	1.3	0.92	
Hail ^{1, 3}	84	50.5	34	54	82	0.60	166.34%	3.40	2.70	1.64	
Lightning ^{1,3}	31	54.5	1	1	26	1.76	56.88%	0.1	0.05	0.52	
Snow & Ice ¹	42	54.5	15	21	38	1.30	77.06%	1.5	1.05	0.76	
Tornado ^{1,3}	15	55.5	5	6	10	3.70	27.03%	0.5	0.3	0.2	
Earthquake ²	0	235	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,215,287	196	56.5	0.25	6.62	\$21,510	\$6,200	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.03
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$8,185,065	46	48.5	0.04	0.11	\$168,764	\$177,936	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$2,438,935	84	50.5	0.01	0.5	\$48,296	\$29,035	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$423,574	31	54.5	0.04	2.36	\$7,772	\$13,664	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.08
Snow & Ice ¹	\$1,981,398	42	54.5	0.29	3.41	\$36,356	\$47,176	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$56,483,213	15	55.5	3.00	23.09	\$1,017,716	\$3,765,548	0.05	0.20	0.42	1.54
Earthquake ²		0	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

HARDIN

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
the sect	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	300	58.5	70	139	285	0.20	512.82%	7	6.95	5.7	
Floods ^{1, 3}	69	48.5	23	44	69	0.70	142.27%	2.3	2.2	1.38	
Hail ^{1, 3}	95	51.5	33	54	92	0.54	184.47%	3.30	2.70	1.84	
Lightning ^{1,3}	34	54.5	2	3	29	1.60	62.39%	0.2	0.15	0.58	
Snow & Ice ¹	45	54.5	17	23	41	1.21	82.57%	1.7	1.15	0.82	
Tornado ^{1,3}	24	54.5	8	11	21	2.27	44.04%	0.8	0.55	0.42	
Earthquake ²	1	235	0	1	1	235.00	0.43%	0.00	0.05	0.02	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$64,735,949	300	58.5	4.45	133.17	\$1,106,597	\$215,786	0.08	0.01	2.28	0.44
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$47,893,889	69	48.5	2.17	0.11	\$987,503	\$694,114	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$26,768,252	95	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$519,772	\$281,771	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$869,962	34	54.5	1.11	2.36	\$15,963	\$25,587	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.07
Snow & Ice ¹	\$2,792,155	45	54.5	0.29	3.47	\$51,232	\$62,048	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$16,118,723	24	54.5	2.00	73.09	\$295,756	\$671,613	0.04	0.08	1.34	3.05
Earthquake ²		1	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

LARUE

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
the set	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	193	54.5	36	64	176	0.28	354.13%	3.6	3.2	3.52	Ì
Floods ^{1, 3}	37	48.5	8	15	37	1.31	76.29%	0.8	0.75	0.74	
Hail ^{1, 3}	59	58.5	20	23	54	0.99	100.85%	2.00	1.15	1.08	
Lightning ^{1,3}	33	54.5	0	0	26	1.65	60.55%	0	0	0.52	
Snow & Ice ¹	38	54.5	12	17	34	1.43	69.72%	1.2	0.85	0.68	
Tornado ^{1,3}	11	62.5	4	6	9	5.68	17.60%	0.4	0.3	0.18	
Earthquake ²	1	235	0	0	1	235.00	0.43%	0.00	0.00	0.02	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,509,787	193	54.5	1.32	11.6	\$27,703	\$7,823	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.06
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$8,067,971	37	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$166,350	\$218,053	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$1,969,355	59	58.5	0.06	0.56	\$33,664	\$33,379	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$61,022	33	54.5	0	0	\$1,120	\$1,849	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Snow & Ice ¹	\$1,050,662	38	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$19,278	\$27,649	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.09
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$5,110,111	11	62.5	0.00	19.12	\$81,762	\$464,556	0.00	0.00	0.31	1.74
Earthquake ²		1	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

MARION

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	180	54.5	22	55	62	0.30	330.28%	2.2	2.75	1.24	
Floods ^{1, 3}	44	48.5	9	19	44	1.10	90.72%	0.9	0.95	0.88	
Hail ^{1, 3}	58	53.5	11	18	50	0.92	108.41%	1.10	0.90	1.00	
Lightning ^{1,3}	35	54.5	0	0	27	1.56	64.22%	0	0	0.54	
Snow & Ice ¹	32	54.5	7	11	28	1.70	58.72%	0.7	0.55	0.56	
Tornado ^{1,3}	11	54.5	2	4	8	4.95	20.18%	0.2	0.2	0.16	
Earthquake ²	0	235	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,247,735	180	54.5	0.24	1.63	\$22,894	\$6,932	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$9,740,835	44	48.5	0.31	2.54	\$200,842	\$221,383	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$35,497,179	58	53.5	0.06	2.56	\$663,499	\$612,020	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.04
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$154,253	35	54.5	0.14	0.39	\$2,830	\$4,407	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Snow & Ice ¹	\$2,681,555	32	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$49,203	\$83,799	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.11
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$735,833	11	54.5	0.00	4.15	\$13,502	\$66,894	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.38
Earthquake ²		0	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

MEADE

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
Lienard	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	208	55.5	46	84	196	0.27	374.77%	4.6	4.2	3.92	
Floods ^{1, 3}	42	48.5	8	19	42	1.15	86.60%	0.8	0.95	0.84	
Hail ^{1, 3}	68	59.5	20	40	63	0.88	114.29%	2.00	2.00	1.26	
Lightning ^{1,3}	28	54.5	0	0	24	1.95	51.38%	0	0	0.48	
Snow & Ice ¹	40	54.5	15	19	36	1.36	73.39%	1.5	0.95	0.72	
Tornado ^{1,3}	12	54.5	5	7	10	4.54	22.02%	0.5	0.35	0.2	
Earthquake ²	4	235	1	4	4	58.75	1.70%	0.10	0.20	0.08	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,679,733	208	55.5	3.45	46.26	\$30,265	\$8,076	0.06	0.02	0.83	0.22
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$7,284,005	42	48.5	1.14	0.11	\$150,186	\$173,429	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$25,032,572	68	59.5	0.01	2.52	\$420,715	\$368,126	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$129,715	28	54.5	0	0	\$2,380	\$4,633	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Snow & Ice ¹	\$1,420,840	40	54.5	0.29	1.81	\$26,070	\$35,521	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$6,142,325	12	54.5	31.00	267.09	\$112,703	\$511,860	0.57	2.58	4.90	22.26
Earthquake ²		4	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

NELSON

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
Lissand	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	228	54.5	45	51	210	0.24	418.35%	4.5	2.55	4.2	
Floods ^{1, 3}	93	48.5	34	67	93	0.52	191.75%	3.4	3.35	1.86	
Hail ^{1, 3}	71	53.5	22	39	64	0.75	132.71%	2.20	1.95	1.28	
Lightning ^{1,3}	41	54.5	1	5	34	1.33	75.23%	0.1	0.25	0.68	
Snow & Ice ¹	41	54.5	13	18	37	1.33	75.23%	1.3	0.9	0.74	
Tornado ^{1,3}	13	54.5	2	3	13	4.19	23.85%	0.2	0.15	0.26	
Earthquake ²	0	235	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	PerEvent
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,404,130	228	54.5	0.3	12.58	\$25,764	\$6,158	0.01	0.00	0.23	0.06
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$35,033,005	93	48.5	3.17	2.11	\$722,330	\$376,699	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$22,857,556	71	53.5	0.06	1.56	\$427,244	\$321,937	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$907,717	41	54.5	2.12	2.34	\$16,655	\$22,139	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06
Snow & Ice ¹	\$2,307,155	41	54.5	1.29	3.47	\$42,333	\$56,272	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.08
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$2,033,978	13	54.5	1.00	28.15	\$37,321	\$156,460	0.02	0.08	0.52	2.17
Earthquake ²		0	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

WASHINGTON

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
Linnard	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	168	54.5	18	45	150	0.32	308.26%	1.8	2.25	3	
Floods ^{1, 3}	39	48.5	4	12	39	1.24	80.41%	0.4	0.6	0.78	
Hail ^{1, 3}	51	53.5	11	18	44	1.05	95.33%	1.10	0.90	0.88	
Lightning ^{1,3}	36	54.5	0	0	29	1.51	66.06%	0	0	0.58	
Snow & Ice ¹	42	54.5	10	14	34	1.30	77.06%	1	0.7	0.68	
Tornado ^{1,3}	11	54.5	5	7	11	4.95	20.18%	0.5	0.35	0.22	
Earthquake ²	0	235	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
						Year		Year	Event	Year	Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ¹	\$1,453,572	168	54.5	0.22	3.58	\$26,671	\$8,652	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02
Floods ^{1, 3}	\$8,984,658	39	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$185,251	\$230,376	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hail ^{1, 3}	\$10,875,034	51	53.5	0.06	3.56	\$203,272	\$213,236	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07
Lightning ^{1,3}	\$223,179	36	54.5	0.12	0.34	\$4,095	\$6,199	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Snow & Ice ¹	\$2,697,743	42	54.5	0.37	3.48	\$49,500	\$64,232	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Tornado ^{1,3}	\$1,840,007	11	54.5	0.00	5.15	\$33,762	\$167,273	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.47
Earthquake ²		0	235	No Informat	ion Availabl	e					

LINCOLN TRAIL REGION

	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year	
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record	
Hazard	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4}	1679	58.5	331	611	1459	0.03	2870.09%	33.1	30.55	29.18	
Floods ^{1,4}	423	48.5	111	233	423	0.11	872.16%	11.1	11.65	8.46	
Hail ^{1,4}	563	50.5	187	298	523	0.12	848.15%	17.40	11.40	8.94	
Lightning	263	49	4	9	216	0.19	536.73%	0.4	0.45	4.32	
Snow & Ice ^{1,4}	320	54.5	103	143	283	0.17	587.16%	10.3	7.15	5.66	
Tornado ^{1,4}	113	56	38	54	97	0.49	203.15%	3.8	2.7	1.94	
Earthquake ²	6	235	1	5	6	39.17	2.55%	0.10	0.25	0.12	
	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total Loss	Total	Average	Average Cost	Average Loss	Average Loss	Average	Average
Hazard						•			•		
riazara		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per	Per Event	of Life Per	of Life Per	Injuries Per	Injuries
Tidzuru		Events	Years	of Life	Injuries	Cost Per Year	Per Event	of Life Per Year	of Life Per Event	Injuries Per Year	Injuries Per Event
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4}	\$74,457,996	Events 1679	Years 58.5	of Life 10.48	Injuries 217.65	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786	Per Event \$44,347	of Life Per Year 0.18	of Life Per Event 0.01	Injuries Per Year 3.72	Injuries Per Event 0.13
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4} Floods ^{1,4}	\$74,457,996 \$132,991,112	Events 1679 423	Years 58.5 48.5	of Life 10.48 9.26	Injuries 217.65 5.31	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786 \$2,742,085	Per Event \$44,347 \$314,400	of Life Per Year 0.18 0.19	of Life Per Event 0.01 0.02	Injuries Per Year 3.72 0.11	Injuries Per Event 0.13 0.01
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4} Floods ^{1,4} Hail ^{1,4}	\$74,457,996 \$132,991,112 \$130,364,632	Events 1679 423 563	Years 58.5 48.5 59.5	of Life 10.48 9.26 0.28	Injuries 217.65 5.31 12.3	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786 \$2,742,085 \$2,414,160	Per Event \$44,347 \$314,400 284638.94	of Life Per Year 0.18 0.19 0.01	of Life Per Event 0.01 0.02 0.00	Injuries Per Year 3.72 0.11 0.23	Injuries Per Event 0.13 0.01 0.03
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4} Floods ^{1,4} Hail ^{1,4} Lightning	\$74,457,996 \$132,991,112 \$130,364,632 \$3,765,207	Events 1679 423 563 271	Years 58.5 48.5 59.5 54.5	of Life 10.48 9.26 0.28 5.57	Injuries 217.65 5.31 12.3 11.15	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786 \$2,742,085 \$2,414,160 \$69,086	Per Event \$44,347 \$314,400 284638.94 \$13,894	of Life Per Year 0.18 0.19 0.01 0.10	of Life Per Event 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.02	Injuries Per Year 0.11 0.23 0.20	Injuries Per Event 0.13 0.01 0.03 0.04
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4} Floods ^{1,4} Hail ^{1,4} Lightning Snow & Ice ^{1,4}	\$74,457,996 \$132,991,112 \$130,364,632 \$3,765,207 \$16,342,589	Events 1679 423 563 271 320	Years 58.5 48.5 59.5 54.5 54.5	of Life 10.48 9.26 0.28 5.57 3.42	Injuries 217.65 5.31 12.3 11.15 24.19	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786 \$2,742,085 \$2,414,160 \$69,086 \$299,864	Per Event \$44,347 \$314,400 284638.94 \$13,894 \$51,071	of Life Per Year 0.18 0.19 0.01 0.10 0.06	of Life Per Event 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.02 0.01	Injuries Per Year 3.72 0.11 0.23 0.20 0.44	Injuries Per Event 0.13 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.08
Thunderstorm Wind ^{1,4} Floods ^{1,4} Hail ^{1,4} Lightning Snow & Ice ^{1,4} Tornado ^{1,4}	\$74,457,996 \$132,991,112 \$130,364,632 \$3,765,207 \$16,342,589 \$93,649,450	Events 1679 423 563 271 320 113	Years 58.5 48.5 59.5 54.5 54.5 54.5 56	of Life 10.48 9.26 0.28 5.57 3.42 38.09	Injuries 217.65 5.31 12.3 11.15 24.19 439.84	Cost Per Year \$1,272,786 \$2,742,085 \$2,414,160 \$69,086 \$299,864 \$1,683,586	Per Event \$44,347 \$314,400 284638.94 \$13,894 \$51,071 \$828,756	of Life Per Year 0.18 0.19 0.01 0.10 0.06 0.68	of Life Per Event 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.34	Injuries Per Year 3.72 0.11 0.23 0.20 0.44 7.91	Injuries Per Event 0.13 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.08 3.89

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically, that would average two events a year. Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 20 years (NCDC & NEIC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events that will include periodic updates to this table.

The values in the preceding tables should be considered low. More events have occurred than are documented by the sources used in these tables.

1. Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NEIC, SHELDUS Data Base, Hazard Research Lab, University of South Carolina, 2009. Dates 1960-2009. National Climate Data Center (NCDC), NOAA & National Weather Service, various ranges 1950-2009. National Environmental Information Center (NEIC) July 1 2009 - June 30 2015.

2. USGS & National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) Databases, "USGS/NEIC 1973-Sept. 9, 2015" & "Eastern, Central and Mountain States of U.S., 1534 - 1986".

3. Includes cumulative reports of claims filed from various insurance providers.

4. Consolidated based on review of repeated events in individual counties.

3.3.2.1 Flooding

I. Background

Definition: "An overflow of water onto lands that are used or usable by man and not normally covered by water. Floods have two essential characteristics: The inundation of land is temporary; and the land is adjacent to and inundated by overflow from a river, stream, lake, or ocean."

(Water Science Glossary of Terms; <u>http://ga.water.usgs.gov/edu/dictionary.html</u>)

A **Flood**, as defined by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is: "A general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of two or more acres of normally dry land area or of two or more properties (at least one of which is your property) from:

- Overflow of inland or tidal waters,
- Unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source, or
- A mudflow

Or, it can be a collapse or subsidence of land along the shore of a lake or similar body of water as a result of erosion or undermining caused by waves or currents of water exceeding anticipated cyclical levels that result in a flood."

Description

A flood is a natural event around rivers and streams. Excessive water from snowmelt, rainfall, or a storm surge accumulates and overflows onto the banks and adjacent floodplains. **Floodplains** are lowlands, adjacent to rivers, lakes, and oceans that are subject to recurring floods. Over nine million U.S. households are located in floodplains.

Flooding is caused in a variety of ways. Winter or spring rains, coupled with melting snows, can fill river basins too quickly. Torrential rains from decaying hurricanes or other tropical systems can also produce river flooding.

During the 20th century, flooding was the leading cause of property damage and loss of life of all natural disasters in the United States. Most U.S. communities have experienced some kind of flooding due to spring rains, heavy thunderstorms, or winter snow thaws. Floods can be either slow or fast rising, but generally develop over a period of days. Hundreds of floods occur each year, making it one of the most common hazards in all U.S. states.

In most years, 75% of all Federal disaster declarations involve flooding either in part or exclusively. Flooding claims an average of 140 lives per year and is responsible for more annual property damage than any other type of weather hazard according to the National Severe Storms Laboratory.

Factors that determine flooding severity and/or exacerbate the effects of floods:

- Rainfall Intensity and Duration
- Large amounts of rain over a short time can result in Flash Flooding
- Small amounts of rain can cause flooding where soil is saturated

- Small amounts of rain can cause flooding if concentrated in an area of • impermeable surfaces
- **Topography and Ground Cover**
- Water runoff is greater in areas of steep slopes and little vegetation
- Development without adequate elevation or Flood Proofing
- Storm Sewer or Sinkhole backup •
- Debris or Obstructions

The frequency of flooding depends on the climate, soil, and channel slope. In regions without prolonged periods of below-freezing temperatures, floods usually occur in the season with the highest precipitation.

Types of Flooding:

While floods can be the result of numerous naturally occurring and manmade factors, all floods can be defined as the accumulation of too much water, in too little time, within a specific area. Types of floods include regional, river or riverine, flashfloods, urban, ice-jam, storm surge, dam or levee failure, and debris, landslide, and mudflow.

Regional Flooding

Seasonal, regional flooding can occur when winter or spring rains, coupled with melting snow, fill river basins with too much water too quickly. Frozen ground further reduces water infiltration into the soil and causes runoff. Extended wet periods, at any time during the year, can result in saturated soils and exacerbates runoff into streams and rivers until their water containment capabilities are exceeded.

River or Riverine Floods

River/riverine flooding occurs when high а volume of water from a river or similar body of water occurs over a period of time too long to be considered a flash flood.

Flash Floods

Flash floods are the result of quickly rising waters that of heavy rains over



The spillway at Rough River Lake April 27 2011 Falls of Rough, Ky. - Heavy rains in the area occur as the result caused Rough River Lake to reach a record pool causing water to run into the spillway for the first time since the dam became operational in 1961. Image: US Army Corps of Engineers photo by Mike Lush

the period of a few hours or less. Flash flooding can occur within several seconds to several hours, and with little warning. Flash floods are deadly because they produce rapid increases in water volume that often has swift velocities.

Several factors can contribute to flash flooding including rainfall intensity, rainfall duration, surface conditions, topography, and the slope of the receiving basin. Urban areas are more susceptible to flash flooding since a great percentage of the surface area is composed of impervious surfaces such as roads, roofs and parking lots causing rapid runoff of water. They can also be caused by ice jams on rivers in conjunction with a winter or spring thaw, or even a dam break. Flash flooding is characterized by the rapid and constant influx of water that caused a treacherous overflow with volume and velocity sufficient to sweep vehicles away, roll boulders onto roadways, uproot trees, level buildings, and sweep bridges off of their piers.

Urban Flooding

As land is developed from fields and woodlands into roads, parking lots and built environments, it loses its ability to absorb rainfall. Urbanization of a watershed changes the hydrologic systems of a basin. Heavy rainfall collects and flows faster on impervious surfaces such as asphalt and concrete. Water falls from the clouds and moves along the surface and into streams at a much faster rate in urban areas. Adding a built environment into hydrologic systems can result in floodwaters rising very quickly and moving extremely swiftly. During periods of urban flooding, streets can become rapidly moving rivers and basements can fill with water. Often, storm drains become clogged with debris causing additional, localized flooding.

Most People are Unaware that:

- 80% of deaths due to flooding occur in vehicles. Most happen when drivers try to navigate through floodwaters.
- Just 6 inches of rapidly moving floodwater can knock a person down.
- It only takes 2 feet of water to float a large vehicle.
- One-third of all flooded roads and bridges are so damaged by water, that any vehicle trying to cross stands only a 50% chance of making it to the other side.
- 95% of people killed in a flash flood try to outrun rapidly moving water rather than seeking higher grounds.

Ice-Jam Floods

Ice-jam floods can occur when rivers become totally or partially frozen. A rise in stream stage will break up a totally frozen river and create ice flows that can pile up on channel obstructions such as shallow riffles, log jams, or bridge piers. The jammed ice creates a dam across the channel that water and ice cannot breach. The mixture can then rise rapidly and overflow the channel banks. Flooding then moves downstream when the ice dam fails, and the water stored behind the dam is released. At this juncture, the flood takes on the characteristics of a flash flood, with the added danger of ice flows gaining velocity. Such flooding can seriously damage structures in its path.
Storm-Surge Floods

Storm-surge flooding occurs when water is pushed up onto otherwise dry land by onshore winds. Friction between the water and the moving air creates drag that, depending on the distance of the water (fetch) and the velocity of the wind, can pile water up to depths greater than twenty feet. Intense, low-pressure systems and hurricanes can create storm-surge flooding. Storm surge is unquestionably the most dangerous part of a hurricane when pounding waves create very hazardous flood currents.

Dam and Levee Failure floods

Dam failures are potentially the worst flood events. Dam failure is usually the result of neglect, poor design, or structure damage caused by a major event such as an earthquake. When a dam fails, an immense volume of water is sent speeding downstream, destroying everything in its path. Dams and levees are designed and built for flood protection and are usually engineered to withstand a flood with a calculated risk of occurrence. For example, a dam or levee may be designed to contain a flood at one location on a stream that has a certain probability of occurring in any given year. If a larger flood occurs, that structure will be overtopped. If a dam or levee is overtopped, it could result in the structure being washed out and the water behind it becomes a flash flood. A failed dam or levee can create a flood that is catastrophic to life and property due to the tremendous energy of the water that is released.

Debris and Landslide Floods

Debris and landslide flooding occurs when the accumulation of debris, mud, rocks, and logs in a channel form a temporary dam. Flooding occurs upstream as water becomes trapped behind the temporary dam and quickly becomes a flash flood as water breaches the dam and rapidly washes away. Landslides can also create large waves on lakes or embayments and can be deadly.

Most loss of life occurs when people are swept away by flood currents, while most property damage results from inundation by sediment-laden water. Floodwaters have the potential to be an extremely destructive force. Lateral forces can demolish buildings while erosion can undermine bridge foundations and footings that can lead to collapse of structures.

Flood Facts

- Most flood related deaths are due to flash floods. The national, 30-year average for flood related deaths in the U.S. is 94 according to the National Weather Service (NWS).
- Fifty percent of all flash-flood fatalities are vehicle related.
- Most homeowner insurance policies do not cover floodwater damage.
- Estimated property damage in the U.S. in 2013 and 2014 was over \$3 billion each year according to the National Weather Service. Over the last 30 years, the average annual flood damage estimate was \$7.96 billion.

Common Terms:

100-Year Flood Plain: An area with a 1% chance of flooding in any given year. This is also known as the Base Flood level.

500-Year Flood Plain: An area with a 0.2% chance of flooding in any given year.

Base Flood: A flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. In this respect, it is also the regulatory standard for the "100-yeard flood." The base flood is the national standard used by the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and all federal agencies for the purpose of requiring the purchase of flood insurance and the regulation of new development. Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) are usually shown on Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)(DFIRMs).

Floodplain: A floodplain is an area of land adjacent to a river, stream, lake, estuary, or other body of water that is subject to flooding. This area of land, if left undisturbed, serves the purpose of storing excess floodwater. A floodplain has two sections, the floodway and the flood fringe.

Floodway: The NFIP defines floodway as "the channel of a river or other watercourse and adjacent land areas that must be reserved, in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than one foot." The floodway carries the majority of floodwater downstream and is usually the area where water velocity and force is greatest. NFIP regulations require the floodway be kept open and free from any development or construction that would obstruct or divert floodwaters onto other properties. Floodways are not mapped for all rivers and streams, but are generally mapped in developed areas.

Flood Fringe: The flood fringe is the area of a floodplain outside of the floodway. The land area outside of a floodway is subject to inundation by regular flooding.

Annual Flooding: Annual flooding occurs far more frequently than indicated by the term "100-year flood." Over time, a structure located within a 100-year floodplain is at a much greater risk than indicated by the time frame of 100-year.

History of Flooding in Kentucky

As of May, 2013, Kentucky had declared fifty-six major disasters since 1953 according to FEMA's website. That is the eighth highest in the United States. Of Kentucky's 56 major disaster declarations, most were due to flooding. While Kentucky ranks 8th overall for major disaster declarations, it ranks 5th for flooding declarations. Flooding in Kentucky occurs almost every year, and it is not unusual for several flooding events to occur in any given year.

An Overview of Kentucky Water and Water Events
13 = Number of Major Basins in Kentucky
40 to 50 Inches = Average Rainfall Maximum Rainfall occurs in Winter and Spring Minimum Rainfall occurs in Late Summer and Fall
89,431 = Miles of Rivers and Streams in the Commonwealth 637,000 = Acres of Wetlands 18 = Number of Reservoirs over 1000 acres in Size 228,385 = Acres of Publicly owned Lakes and Reservoirs

Significant Kentucky floods, resulting in declarations, occurred in 1973, 1975, 1977, 1978, 1982, 1984, three in 1989, 1991, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014, and 2015. The flooding in 1997 involved disaster declarations in 101, Kentucky Counties. The two types of flooding most common in Kentucky are *flash floods* and *river basin* or riverine floods.

Flash Flooding: Resulting from excessive rainfall in a short amount of time, flash flooding occurs in the entire state, but is more common in Eastern Kentucky due to the region's mountainous terrain, narrow gorges, and numerous streams and riverbeds. Flash floods can occur at any time of the year, but are more prevalent during the spring and summer months.

River Basin Flooding: River basin flooding is common along Kentucky's major streams



Aerial photo of Rough River Lake 29 April 2011. On April 30 Rough River Lake pool is recoreded at 524.7ft, a new record. *Image: US Army Corps of Engineers*

such as the Kentucky, Green, Licking, Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. It is most likely to occur during late winter and early spring and seriously affects the major Kentucky of Frankfort. cities Louisville, Owensboro and Paducah. Every two to three years, serious flooding occurs along one or more of Kentucky's major streams and it is not uncommon for flooding to occur several years in succession.

II. Profile

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District is bordered on the north in part by the Ohio River. Numerous rivers and streams crisscross the region including Rough River, Nolin River, Beech Fork, Rolling Fork, Chaplin River, Salt River, Clover Creek, Sinking Creek and Otter Creek. These waterways and their tributaries drain an immediate area of 4,600 square miles. Since the Lincoln Trail Region consists of only 3,342 square miles, the potential for flooding is obvious.

Historically, flooding has occurred on all of these waterways. Ohio River flooding in the towns of West Point, Brandenburg, and Cloverport has resulted in tremendous property damage and loss of life. Localized flooding resulting in property damage and loss of life has



Bradfordsville: South Rolling Fork flooding KY 49, March 2015. *Photo Courtesy: David Edelen.*

also occurred on most other major streams within the region and has affected the communities of Fredericktown, Bradfordsville and New Haven.

Several flood control have projects been completed within the region, over the years. Most have been construction projects initiated by the U.S. Corps of Engineers and USDA on the Ohio, Rough and Nolin River systems and their tributaries. Local projects have also been completed to deal with storm water runoff and bank erosion issues.

Based on FEMA DFIRM

data from 2007 – 2012, 6.23 square miles of land or 0.2% of the Lincoln Trail Region lies in a 500-year floodplain and 256.68 square miles of land or 7.6% of the area lies within a 100-year floodplain. Since approval of the original plan in 2005 all of the eight Lincoln Trail counties have gone through the map modernization program of the floodplains.

DFIRM versions Breckinridge (8/4/2008) LaRue (1/16/2009) Nelson (5/24/2011)

Grayson (9/19/2012) Marion (1/6/2010) Washington (2/17/2010) Hardin (8/16/2007) Meade (7/18/2010)

Overview of the Kentucky Floodplain Management Program							
Number of Kentucky communities that Participate in the National Flood Insurance Program	114 out of 120 counties 372 cities out of 422						
Number of Lincoln Trail communities that Participate in the National Flood Insurance Program	8 out of 8 counties 18 out of 27 cities						
Presidential flood declarations between 2005 And April of 2015	14						
Presidential flood declaration between 1970 and 2004	26						
Source: FEMA.gov and the Kentucky Office of En	nergency Management						

Table 3.3.2.1.1 lists repetitive losses across the Lincoln Trail region between January 1, 1978 and February 28, 2015 as a result of flooding events. It should be noted that the claims reported to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) may not be the only assistance available to property owners. Flood assistance is also available from FEMA and other state or federal agencies as a result of a disaster declaration.

Table 3.3.2.1.1 - Repetitive Losses							
Jurisdiction	Number of	Number of	Total				
	Repetitive	Closed Losses	Payments				
	Losses						
Breckinridge County	2	2	\$131,776.08				
City of Cloverport	15	12	\$87,993.59				
City of Irvington	4	4	\$27,373.18				
Grayson County	1	0	\$0				
City of Caneyville	1	0	\$0				
Hardin County	89	70	\$1,623,486.27				
City of Elizabethtown	49	33	\$305,618.01				
City of Radcliff	16	12	\$309,225.46				
City of Vine Grove	2	2	\$23,071.02				
City of West Point	161	147	\$2,147,578.67				
LaRue County	21	20	\$203,045.28				
Marion County	6	4	\$100,125.44				
City of Bradfordsville	1	1	\$32,000.00				
City of Lebanon	14	12	\$176,997.42				
Meade County	4	2	\$30,701.94				
City of Brandenburg	3	3	\$161,330.46				

Nelson County	53	46	\$1,350,575.90			
City of Bardstown	7	7	\$90,663.15			
City of Bloomfield	2	2	\$1,883.24			
City of New Haven	23	20	\$472,456.97			
Washington County	11	10	\$396,666.11			
City of Springfield	10	10	\$172,515.39			
Source: http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/1040.htm From 1/1/1978-2/28/2015						

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) defines a repetitive loss (RL) as any insurable building for which two or more claims of \$1,000.00 were paid by the NFIP within any rolling ten-year period, since 1978. A RL property may or may not be currently insured by NFIP. Currently, there are over 122,000 RL properties nationwide. The National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004 recognized repetitive loss as a significant problem. The Act also defined severe repetitive loss (SRL) as "a single family property consisting of 1 to 4 residences that is covered under flood insurance by the NFIP and has incurred flood related damage for which 4 or more separate claims payments have been paid under flood insurance coverage, with the amount of each claim payment exceeding \$5,000.00 and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000.00; or for which at least 2 separate claims payments have been made with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the reported value of the property." Currently, there are approximately 6,000 properties nationwide, meeting the definition of SRL.

Table 3.3.2.1.2						
Flood or Flash Flood Re	lated Disaster Declarations In Lincoln Trail Region					
Declaration Date and	Lincoln Trail Counties Included in the					
Number	Declaration					
2-5-2008	Hardin and Meade Counties (Assistance to Individuals					
#1746	and Households) Hardin, Meade and Grayson Counties					
	(Public Assistance)					
2-26-2009	Breckinridge, Grayson, Hardin, LaRue, Marion, Meade,					
#1818	Nelson, and Washington Counties (Public Assistance)					
5-29-2009	Grayson County (Public Assistance)					
#1841						
5-11-2010	Breckinridge and Grayson Counties (Public					
#1912	Assistance), Hardin County (Individual Assistance)					
	LaRue, Marion, Meade and Washington Counties					
	(Public and Individual Assistance)					
05-04-2011	Breckinridge, Grayson, Marion, Meade, Nelson and					
#1976	Washington Counties (Public Assistance) Hardin					
	County (Individual Assistance)					
04-30-2015	Washington County (Public Assistance)					
#4216						
Source: www.fema.gov/disasters/grid/state-tribal-government						

In 1973 Congress made the purchase of Flood Insurance mandatory for many properties. Lending institutions could not increase, extend or renew funds secured by real estate located in a flood hazard area, unless the property was covered under the NFIP.

Participation: The Lincoln Trail area has seen a 25% increase in the number of NFIP policies since 2009 and a 70% overall increase since 2004. Through conversations with local insurance providers and floodplain managers it was determined the increase is a result of new flood mapping in some areas and increased emphasis on the National Flood Insurance Program.

Non-participation: Jurisdictions not actively participating in the NFIP have deemed it unnecessary to do so, due to the absence of identified flood prone areas within their boundaries. These include:

Clarkson, Which sits on a ridge and only has an edge of their corporate boundary in a mapped flood plain, no structures within the floodplain and no history of loss.

Sonora, Which only has an edge of their corporate boundary in a mapped flood plain, no structures within the floodplain and no history of loss.

Upton, Which has no flood plains in mapped section. LaRue County section has not been mapped. It has no streams and no history of loss.

Loretto, Which sits on a ridge and has no flood plain, and no history of loss.

Ekron, Has no flood plain, no streams and no history of loss.

Muldraugh, Which sits on a ridge and has no steams, no flood plain, and no history of loss.

Fairfield, Has no flood plain and no history of loss.

Mackville, Which sits on a ridge, has no flood plain, and no history of loss.

Willisburg, Which sits on a ridge, has no flood plain, and no history of loss.

Fairfield, Has no flood plain and no history of loss

All this is illustrated in the Table 3.3.2.1.3 and the subsequent maps included in this plan.

Jurisdiction	Affected by 100/500 year floodplain	Flood area mapped by FEMA	Map Status Date	NFIP	Total # of Active Policies as of 2004	Total # of Active Policies as of 2009	Total # of Active Policies as of 6/30/2015	Annual Written Premium In Force
				Participant				6/30/2015
Breckinridge	Yes	Yes	8/4/2008	YES	8	19	30	\$15,185
-Cloverport	Yes	Yes		YES	13	19	12	\$8,078
-Hardinsburg	Yes	Yes		YES	0	0	1	\$315
-Irvington	No	Yes		YES	3	1	1	\$547
Grayson	Yes	Yes	9/19/2012	YES	2	14	15	\$6,343
-Caneyville	Yes	Yes		YES	1	1	3	\$3,544
-Clarkson	Yes	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
-Leitchfield	Yes	Yes		YES	1	0	0	
Hardin	Yes	Yes	8/16/2007	YES	46	97	154	\$75,063
-Elizabethtown	Yes	Yes		YES	30	113	161	\$143,119
-Radcliff	Yes	Yes		YES	21	29	30	\$13,695
-Sonora	Yes	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
-Upton	No	Partial		NO	0	0	0	
-Vine Grove	Yes	Yes		YES	7	16	29	\$19,033
-West Point	Yes	Yes		YES	176	159	145	\$131,137
LaRue	Yes	Yes	1/16/2009	YES	12	13	13	\$5,560
-Hodgenville	Yes	Yes		YES	2	9	4	\$1,788
Marion	Yes	Yes	1/6/2010	YES	6	10	19	\$9,597
-Bradfordsville	Yes	Yes		YES	1	2	4	\$1,648
-Lebanon	Yes	Yes		YES	2	2	6	\$1,921
-Loretto	No	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
-Raywick	Yes	Yes		YES	0	0	0	
Meade	Yes	Yes	7/18/2011	YES	8	12	20	\$9,160
-Brandenburg	Yes	Yes		YES	1	2	3	\$1,932
-Ekron	No	Yes		NO	7	0	0	
-Muldraugh	No	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
Nelson	Yes	Yes	5/24/2011	YES	47	38	31	\$22,352
-Bardstown	Yes	Yes		YES	6	5	5	\$2,038
-Bloomfield	Yes	Yes		YES	7	12	21	\$19,756
-Fairfield	No	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
-New Haven	Yes	Yes		YES	21	19	25	\$17,118
Washington	Yes	Yes	2/17/2010	YES	10	9	15	\$7,703
-Mackville	No	Yes		NO	0	0	0	
-Springfield	Yes	Yes		YES	7	4	9	\$14,529
-Willisburg	No	Yes		NO	0	0	0	

Table 3.3.2.1.3	- NFIP	and Map	ping	Summary
-----------------	--------	---------	------	----------------

Original Source: http://www.fema.gov/nfip/10110309.html Source of 2009 Update: http://bsa.nfipstat.com/reports/1040.html & http://bsa.nfipstat.com/reports/1011.html Source of 2015 Update: http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/reports/neports.html

1 abit 5.5.2	· I · T · C I	anns Sun	illial y			1	1	1	
Jurisdiction	Total # of Claims Between	Total # of Claims Between	Total # of Claims	Total # of Crop Claims Between	Total # of Crop Claims Between	Total # of Crop Claims	Total Payments Between	Total Payments Between	Total Payments Between
	1978 & 2004	2004 & 2009	2010 - 6/30/2015	1978 & 2004	2004 & 2009	2010 - 6/30/2015	1978 & 2004	2004 & 2009	2010 - 6/30/2015
Breckinridge	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	\$131,776
-Cloverport	8	2	5	3	0	0	\$50,421	\$8,215	\$29,358
-Hardinsburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Irvington	2	2	0	0	0	0	\$13,093	\$14,280	\$0
Grayson	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	\$0
-Caneyville	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Clarkson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Leitchfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Hardin	39	18	34	5	5	10	\$594,850	\$249,568	\$818,046
-Elizabethtown	13	22	15	5	5	7	\$43,632	\$208,835	\$53,151
-Radcliff	2	6	8	1	1	2	\$35,245	\$18,104	\$255,876
-Sonora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Upton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Vine Grove	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	\$23,071	\$0
-West Point	134	2	35	11	0	4	\$1,595,810	\$6,841	\$699,619
LaRue	16	0	5	1	0	0	\$61,371	0	\$141,674
-Hodgenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Marion	2	0	4	1	0	1	\$3,768	0	\$96,357
-Bradfordsville	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	\$32,000
-Lebanon	14	0	0	2	0	0	\$176,997	0	\$0
-Loretto	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Raywick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Meade	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	\$7,642	\$23,060
-Brandenburg	3	0	0	0	0	0	\$161,330	0	\$0
-Ekron	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Muldraugh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
Nelson	36	0	17	4	0	3	\$760,866	0	\$589,710
-Bardstown	4	0	3	0	0	0	\$71,909	0	\$18,754
-Bloomfield	2	0	0	0	0	0	\$1,882	0	\$1
-Fairfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-New Haven	13	0	10	2	0	1	\$97,751	0	\$374,706
Washington	3	1	7	0	0	1	\$76,627	\$48,123	\$271,916
-Mackville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0
-Springfield	6	0	4	0	0	0	\$95,651	0	\$76,864
-Willisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0

Table 3.3.2.1.4 - Claims Summary

Original Source: http://www.fema.gov/nfip/10110309.html

Source of 2009 Update: http://bsa.nfipstat.com/reports/1040.html & http://bsa.nfipstat.com/reports/1011.html Source of 2015 Update: http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/reports.html

III. Analysis

To identify flooding as a threat to the Lincoln Trail Region, the types of floods and their causes were analyzed; areas of vulnerability were determined; historical data was researched; and maps were created to identify the vulnerable areas. The Sources for this information include FEMA, the National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI), the Kentucky Climatic Data Center, the National Weather Service, the National Flood Insurance Program, and the Atlas of Kentucky.

One date that will stand out in the history of the Lincoln Trail Region, is March 1997. Ninetytwo counties in Kentucky and 14 counties in southern Indiana were declared disaster areas. Tens of thousands of people were evacuated from their homes, with total damage across the region estimated at \$400,000,000. In the small city of West Point in Hardin County, it was estimated that 85% of the city was under water leaving residents devastated and property destroyed.

The following tables outline the history of flooding events that have been recorded in a given county/jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail region since 1967. The impact, of these flooding events, is documented by the number of lives lost, individual injuries reported, and the estimated cost of property and crop damage. This information was reported to the Spatial Hazard Events and Losses Databases for the United States (SHELDUS) and later the National Climate Data Center (NCDC) and was subsequently rolled into the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) database. For the original, plan data was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data thru 30 June 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables, 3.3.2.1.5 & 3.3.2.1.6 show data for the entire period covered by the various sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past this was typically done at a county level. More recently, nearest place names have been used. Because of this, the records in the summation tables that pertain to individual incorporated areas should not be considered all encompassing.

Table 3.3.2.1.5 - County Specific Data – Flooding, Source: NCEI

BRECKINRIDGE

45 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 8 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
WEBSTER	5/2/2010	0	0	0	0
CORNERS	4/23/2011	0	0	0	0
BIG SPG	4/24/2011	0	0	0	0
IRVINGTON	12/21/2013	0	0	0	0
MYSTIC	12/22/2013	0	0	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE	3/4/2015	0	0	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE	4/3/2015	0	0	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE	4/7/2015	0	0	0	0

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-1

CLOVERPORT FLOODING



HARDINSBURG FLOODING





2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-4

<u>GRAYSON</u>

41 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 5 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
SPRING LICK	5/2/2010	0	0	0	0
SPRING LICK	7/21/2010	0	0	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	8/12/2010	0	0	0	0
READY	6/27/2011	0	0	5000	0
LEITCHFIELD	12/21/2013	0	0	0	0



Road Washout in Grayson County, May 8, 2009. *LTADD Archives.*

Flooded cornfield, Grayson County June 15 2009.

GRAYSON COUNTY FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-5

CANEYVILLE FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-6

CLARKSON FLOODING



LEITCHFIELD FLOODING



<u>HARDIN</u>

53 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 16 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
ROGERSVILLE	9/20/2009	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN					
ARPT	9/20/2009	0	0	0	0
TUNNEL HILL	5/2/2010	0	0	0	0
MARTIN BOX	4/12/2011	0	0	0	0
OLD STEPHENSBURG	4/23/2011	0	0	0	0
LONG VIEW	4/23/2011	0	0	0	0
STAR MILLS	4/27/2011	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	5/3/2011	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	7/24/2011	0	0	0	0
RINEYVILLE	7/24/2011	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	8/5/2012	0	0	0	0
RINEYVILLE	8/5/2012	0	0	0	0
CECILIA	8/5/2012	0	0	0	0
MARTIN BOX	8/5/2012	0	0	5000	0
GLENDALE	12/22/2013	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	8/23/2014	0	0	0	0



Flood damage in Vine Grove parks, Spring 2008. Photos courtesy of City of Vine Grove.



2011 Flooding in Vine Grove - Cars under water. Photo courtesy of the City of Vine Grove



2011 Flooding in Vine Grove - Zoom of previous photo. *Photo courtesy of the City of Vine Grove*

HARDIN COUNTY FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-9

ELIZABETHTOWN FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-10

RADCLIFF FLOODING



²⁰¹⁵ Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-11

SONORA FLOODING



UPTON FLOODING



VINE GROVE FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-14

WEST POINT FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-15

<u>LARUE</u>

32 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 5 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
GATTON	5/2/2010	0	0	0	0
HODGENVILLE	4/12/2011	0	0	0	0
TANNER	4/17/2013	0	0	0	0
KEMP	4/3/2014	0	0	0	0
LARUE	3/4/2015	0	0	0	0



Flooding in Hodgenville. Photo courtesy of City of Hodgenville.



HODGENVILLE FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-17

MARION

37 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 7 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
PENICKS	9/21/2009	0	0	0	0
CALVARY	9/21/2009	0	0	0	0
RAYWICK	5/2/2010	0	0	2000	0
CALVARY	11/28/2011	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	6/17/2013	0	0	20000	0
LEBANON	8/11/2014	0	0	50000	0
MARION	3/4/2015	0	0	0	0



Bradfordsville, North Rolling Fork Flooding, March 2015, Photo: David Edelen.

MARION COUNTY FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-18

BRADFORDSVILLE FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-19

LEBANON FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-20

LORETTO FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-21


2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-22

<u>MEADE</u>

37 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 5 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
				(\$)	(\$)
HAYSVILLE	5/2/2010	0	0	0	0
FLAHERTY	7/12/2011	0	0	0	0
MIDWAY	7/27/2014	0	0	0	20000
BUCK GROVE	7/27/2014	0	0	0	0
MEADE	4/3/2015	0	0	0	0

MEADE COUNTY FLOODING



BRANDENBURG FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-24



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-25

<u>NELSON</u>

71 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 22 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	
				(\$)	(\$)	
BOSTON	5/2/2010	0	0	750000	0	
BOSTON	3/1/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	3/10/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	4/12/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	4/24/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	5/1/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	5/3/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	11/29/2011	0	0	0	0	
CULVERTOWN	11/29/2011	0	0	15000	0	
BOSTON	12/1/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	12/7/2011	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	1/15/2013	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	3/20/2013	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	7/6/2013	0	0	0	0	
GETHSEMANE	12/21/2013	3	2	15000	0	
BOSTON	4/5/2014	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON	4/30/2014	0	0	0	0	
NELSON	3/5/2015	0	0	0	0	
NELSON	3/10/2015	0	0	0	0	
NELSON	3/14/2015	0	0	0	0	
NELSON	4/4/2015	0	0	0	0	
NELSON	4/15/2015	0	0	0	0	

NELSON COUNTY FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-26

BARDSTOWN FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-27

BLOOMFIELD FLOODING



FAIRFIELD FLOODING



NEW HAVEN FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-30

WASHINGTON 35 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD event(s) were recorded between 1967 and 6/30/2009 by SHELDUS and the NCDC.

There have been an additional 4 FLOOD/FLASHFLOOD events recorded from 7/1/2009 through 6/30/2015 in NCEI.

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	
				(\$)	(\$)	
SPRINGFIELD	5/2/2010	0	0	75000	0	
SPRINGFIELD	4/12/2011	0	0	0	0	
TATHAM SPGS	7/6/2013	0	0	0	0	
WASHINGTON	4/14/2015	0	0	0	0	



Barn under water, Washington Co. April 2008. LTADD Archives.



County road under water near Frederickstown, Washington Co. April 2008. LTADD Archives.

WASHINGTON COUNTY FLOODING



MACKVILLE FLOODING



SPRINGFIELD FLOODING



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, F-33

WILLISBURG FLOODING



FLOODS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
	_	Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Cost Per	Cost Per	Loss of	Loss of	Injuries	Injuries
				Life	,	Year	Event	Life Per	Life Per	, Per Year	Per Event
								Year	Event		
BRECKINRIDGE	\$7,801,684	53	48.5	2.09	0.11	\$160,859	\$147,202	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
Cloverport	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hardinsburg	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Irvington	\$10,000	4	48.5	1	0	\$206	\$2,500	0.02	0.25	0.00	0.00
GRAYSON	\$8,185,065	46	48.5	0.04	0.11	\$168,764	\$177,936	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Caneyville	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clarkson	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Leitchfield	\$0	5	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARDIN	\$47,893,889	69	48.5	2.17	0.11	\$987,503	\$694,114	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00
Elizabethtown	\$5,130,000	11	48.5	0	0	\$105,773	\$466,364	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Radcliff	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sonora	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Upton	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vine Grove	\$250,000	3	48.5	0	0	\$5,155	\$83,333	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
West Point	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LARUE	\$8,067,971	37	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$166,350	\$218,053	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hodgenville	\$0	2	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARION	\$9,740,835	44	48.5	0.31	2.54	\$200,842	\$221,383	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06
Bradfordsville	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lebanon	\$75,000	6	48.5	0	0	\$1,546	\$12,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Loretto	\$0	1	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Raywick	\$2,000	1	48.5	0	0	\$41	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MEADE	\$7,284,005	42	48.5	1.14	0.11	\$150,186	\$173,429	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00
Brandenburg	\$0	5	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ekron	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Muldraugh	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NELSON	\$35,033,005	93	48.5	3.17	2.11	\$722,330	\$376,699	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02
Bardstown	\$2,000	4	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bloomfield	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fairfield	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
New Haven	\$0	2	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON	\$8,984,658	39	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$185,251	\$230,376	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mackville	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Springfield	\$75,000	3	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Willisburg	\$0	0	48.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LTADD	\$132,991,112	423	48.5	9.26	5.31	\$2,742,085	\$314,400	0.19	0.02	0.11	0.01

Table 3.3.2.1.6 - Summary of Flooding Data, Costs

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NCEI. 1967- June 30 2015.

Tuble Dibian		inninai ,	<i>,</i> 01 1 10	oung i	Juiu, D	venes				
FLOODS	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrenc	Frequency %	Year Record	Year Record	Year Record
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	e Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year
BRECKINRIDGE	53	48.5	13	31	53	0.92	109.28%	1.3	1.55	1.06
Cloverport	1	48.5	1	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Hardinsburg	1	48.5	0	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0	0.05	0.02
Irvington	4	48.5	2	3	4	12.13	8.25%	0.2	0.15	0.08
GRAYSON	46	48.5	12	26	46	1.05	94.85%	1.2	1.3	0.92
Caneyville	1	48.5	1	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Clarkson	1	48.5	1	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Leitchfield	5	48.5	2	5	5	9.70	10.31%	0.2	0.25	0.1
HARDIN	69	48.5	23	44	69	0.70	142.27%	2.3	2.2	1.38
Elizabethtown	11	48.5	5	9	11	4.41	22.68%	0.5	0.45	0.22
Radcliff	1	48.5	0	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0	0.05	0.02
Sonora	1	48.5	1	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Upton	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Vine Grove	3	48.5	2	3	3	16.17	6.19%	0.2	0.15	0.06
West Point	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
LARUE	37	48.5	8	15	37	1.31	76.29%	0.8	0.75	0.74
Hodgenville	2	48.5	2	2	2	24.25	4.12%	0.2	0.1	0.04
MARION	44	48.5	9	19	44	1.10	90.72%	0.9	0.95	0.88
Bradfordsville	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Lebanon	6	48.5	4	5	6	8.08	12.37%	0.4	0.25	0.12
Loretto	1	48.5	0	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0	0.05	0.02
Raywick	1	48.5	1	1	1	48.50	2.06%	0.1	0.05	0.02
MEADE	42	48.5	8	19	42	1.15	86.60%	0.8	0.95	0.84
Brandenburg	5	48.5	2	4	5	9.70	10.31%	0.2	0.2	0.1
Ekron	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Muldraugh	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
NELSON	93	48.5	34	67	93	0.52	191.75%	3.4	3.35	1.86
Bardstown	4	48.5	1	3	4	12.13	8.25%	0.1	0.15	0.08
Bloomfield	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Fairfield	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
New Haven	2	48.5	1	2	2	24.25	4.12%	0.1	0.1	0.04
WASHINGTON	39	48.5	4	12	39	1.24	80.41%	0.4	0.6	0.78
Mackville	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Springfield	3	48.5	2	3	3	16.17	6.19%	0.2	0.15	0.06
Willisburg	0	48.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
LTADD	423	48.5	111	233	423	0.11	872.16%	11.1	11.65	8.46

 Table 3.3.2.1.6
 - Summary of Flooding Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NCEI. 1967- June 30 2015.

Extent

The extent of flooding in the Lincoln Trail eight-county region is difficult to document. However, on April 30, 2011, the pool at Rough River Lake in Grayson County was recorded at a depth of 524.7 feet, a new record. Grayson County received FEMA assistance in funding two bridge elevation projects under DR-1818-0027 and DR-1818-0153 on Lake Shore Road and on Bloomington Church Road. Widespread flooding in these areas would reach a depth of up to 24 inches and closed these roads for days. Another bridge elevation project was funded in the City of Leitchfield in Grayson County, under DR-1818-0063. Floodwaters reaching depths of twelve to twenty-four inches closed a road there.

In April of 2011, Ohio River flooding affected the City of West Point in Hardin County. On 4/26/2011, the River crested at 61.8 feet, about 6.8 feet above flood stage.

The Rolling Fork River meanders through Hardin County and becomes the boundary between Hardin and Nelson County. The flood stage of the Rolling Fork is thirty-five feet, moderate flooding occurs at forty-two feet with major flooding occurring at forty-five feet. The Rolling Fork often floods rural areas of Hardin County as well as the Boston and New Haven regions of Nelson County. The Chart below documents recent flood stage levels of the Rolling Fork.

Table 3.3.2.1.7 - Flood Stages of the Rolling Fork River (Flood Stage = 35')							
Date	Depth in Feet						
12/28/15	39.27 feet						
7/16/15	38.85 feet						
3/07/15	41.64 feet						
5/01/14	35.64 feet						
4/06/14	36.71 feet						
2/07/14	37.28 feet						
7/08/13	42.19 feet						
3/20/13	35.93 feet						
1/15/13	36.19 feet						
12/01/11	40.22 feet						
Source: NOAA							

3.3.2.2 Tornados

I. Background

According to the National Severe Storms Laboratory (NSSL) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) a tornado is "a narrow, violently rotating column of air that extends from the base of a thunderstorm to the ground. Because wind is invisible, it is hard to see a tornado unless it forms a condensation funnel made up of water droplets, dust and debris. Tornados are the most violent of all atmospheric storms."

Attributes: About 1,200 tornados hit the United States annually. Historical data pertaining to tornados only date back to 1950 and methodology for spotting and reporting tornados has greatly evolved over the last few decades.

Tornado season in the U.S. usually refers to the time of year when tornados are most likely to occur. For the southern plains, it occurs during May and into early June. On the Gulf Coast, it is earlier in the spring. In the northern plains and upper Midwest, tornado season is in June or July. However, a tornado can occur at any time during the year, and can happen at any time of day or night. Most tornados occur between 4 and 9 p.m.

The most destructive and deadly tornados are spawned from supercells with a well-defined radar circulation called a mesocyclone. Supercells can also produce damaging hail, severe non-tornadic winds, frequent lightning and flash floods.

Analysis of damage caused by the storm, is a common and practical method for determining the strength of a tornado. From the extent of damage, an estimated wind speed can be determined. The "Enhanced Fujita Scale" was implemented by the National Weather Service in 2007 to rate tornados in a consistent and accurate manner. The EF-Scale accounts for more variables than the original Fujita Scale (F-Scale) when determining wind speed rating to a tornado by incorporating 28 damage indicators such as building type, structures and trees. For each damage indicator, there are 8 degrees of damage ranging from the beginning of visible damage to complete destruction of the damage indicator. The original F-scale did not take degrees of damage into account. The historic F-Scale database will not change. A tornado rated F5 years ago is still an F5, but wind speed may have been slightly less than previously estimated.

A comparison between the Fujita Scale and the Enhanced Fujita Scale is shown below. The Enhanced Fujita Scale is a set of wind estimates (not measurements) based on damage evaluations. According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration the Enhance Fujita Scale "uses three-second gusts estimated at the point of damage based on a judgment of 8 levels of damage to 28 indicators." These 28 indicators are based on the structure type, ranging from manufactured housing to institutional buildings, and from trees to light poles. It is important to note that a 3 second gust is not the same speed of wind observed in standard surface wind. Measurements are taken by weather stations located in open exposures and using a directly measured, "one minute mile" speed.

Table 3.3.2	Table 3.3.2.2.1 - Fujita/Enhanced Fujita Scale										
FUJITA SCALE			DERIVED	EF SCALE	OPERA	OPERATIONAL					
					EF S	CALE					
F Number	Fastest ¼	3 Second	EF	3 Second	EF	3 Second					
	mile	Gust	Number	Gust	Number	Gust					
	(mph)	(mph)		(mph)		(mph)					
0	40-72	45-78	0	65-85	0	65-85					
1	73-112	79-117	1	86-109	1	86-110					
2	113-157	118-161	2	110-137	2	111-135					
3	158-207	162-209	3	138-167	3	136-165					
4	208-260	210-261	4	168-199	4	166-200					
5	261-318	262-317	5	200-234	5	Over 200					
Source: NO	AA										



Tornado - Feburary 29, 2012, Near LaRue County High School in Hodgenville *Photo Tara Wooden*

Tornado Facts and Effects

- Tornadoes can last from several seconds to more than an hour.
- A tornado is considered "significant" if it is rated EF2 or greater on the Enhanced Scale or at least F2 on the old F-Scale.

- Hurricanes and tropical storms can spawn tornados.
- Tornados are forecast when the development of temperature and wind flow patterns in the atmosphere can cause enough moisture, instability, life, and wind shear for the formation of tornadic thunderstorms. These are the four main factors for the formation of tornados.
- Tornado damage occurs as the result of exposure to extreme winds or the impact of flying debris. Another hazard exists when hazardous materials are released by tornados such as natural gas, medical waste, gasoline, and other dangerous chemicals or sewage. Winds can topple trees and power lines resulting in long-term power outages.
- Wind associated with tornados can loft debris several miles into the air and carry it for long distances. Small items and paper can be carried over 100 miles away.
- Tornados vary in size with the widest ground width measured at about 4.3 miles. Wind speed also varies. The greatest ground-level speeds have never been measured, but on May 3, 1999, 302 mph winds were recorded near Bridge Creek, OK.
- April is the month with the greatest number of tornado outbreaks. In April, 2011, the NOAA Storm Prediction Center data shows 817 tornados occurred.
- The tornados with the greatest death toll occurred on March 18, 1925 when 695 people were killed when tornados raced across Missouri, Illinois and Indiana producing F5 damage. On April 3, 1974, the main day of a two-day "Super Outbreak," tornados killed 310 people. During that outbreak, seven F5 tornados occurred in one day. The Dixie outbreak of April 27, 2011 killed about 316 people.
- Approximately 1300 tornados occur in the United States each year. On average, 60 people are killed annually as a result of tornados, most from flying or falling debris. Since records have been kept, the greatest number of deaths from tornados occurred in 2011 when 550 people died in 15 states.
- Funnel clouds have rotation, but do not touch the ground. Only a true tornado has ground contact.
- The size or shape of a tornado does not have anything to do with its strength.

II. Profile

Kentucky is located in Wind Zone IV; the most severe wind zone in the United States. The states most vulnerable to tornado activity are located within this wind zone.

The risk associated with tornados in Kentucky is illustrated in Chart 3.3.2.2.1. Of the 908 tornados reported throughout the State between 1950 and 2014, each of the counties within the Lincoln Trail Region experienced at least 9. Kentucky averages 14 tornados



Source: FEMA, *Taking Shelter from the Storm*, 3rd Edition, Fig 1-4.



Source: FEMA, Taking Shelter from the Storm, 3rd Edition, Fig 1-2.

annually. Of the 220 people killed in Kentucky due to tornados, 81 were killed in the Lincoln Trail Region. Tornado data has only been collected since 1950 and the history of

tornado events in the Lincoln Trail Region dates from 1950 through 2013. Clearly, the Lincoln Trail Region is at risk for tornado activity.





Source: www.homefacts.com/tornadoes/Kentucky

Table 3	3.3.2.2.2 -	Tornado	Activity
IUDIC		IUIMaav	1 icel viey

Tornado Activity in Kentucky and the 8-County Lincoln Trail Region Between 1950 and 2014								
Jurisdiction	Dates	Tornados	Fatalities	Injuries	Highest	Longest	Widest	
	Yr.,Month,Day				Injuries	Path	Path	
Kentucky	1950/11	908	220	3,601	364	84.99	3,000	
	/20 –		People	People	People	Miles	Yards	
	2014/10							
	/07							
Breckinridge	1974/04	14	32	289	270	32.3	440 Yards	
County	/03 -		People	People	People	Miles		
	2012/03							
	/02							
Grayson Co.	1959/01	12	3	26	16	58	880 Yards	
	/21 –		People	People	People	Miles		
	2012/02							
	/29							
Hardin Co.	1964/01	22	3	95	81	37.9	440 Yards	
	/24 –		People	People	People	Miles		
	2012/02							
	/29							

LaRue Co.	1952/03 /22 - 2013/06 /26	9	0 People	19 People	18 People	6.65 Miles	1200 Yards
Marion Co.	1952/03 /22 - 2013/06 /26	9	0 People	19 People	18 People	6.65 Miles	1200 Yards
Meade Co.	1974/04 /03 - 2013/01 /30	10	32 People	299 People	270 People	32.3 Miles	440 Yards
Nelson Co.	1969/05 /08 - 2008/02 /05	9	3 People	85 People	81 People	37.9 Miles	1500 Yards
Washington County	1954/08 /02 - 2012/03 /02	9	11 People	5 People	4 People	46.6 Miles	800 Yards

Source: Storm Prediction Center, Historical Tornado Data File (NOAA)

III. Anaylsis

To analyze tornados as a hazard threat to the Lincoln Trail Region historical data was researched. The sources of this information include the National Weather Service, National Climatic Data Center, Kentucky Climatic Data Center, ESRI, FEMA, Kentucky Emergency Management Area III, and LTADD GIS.

The following map and tables illustrate a number of the documented tornadic events that have occurred in the Lincoln Trail Region. Note that the general paths are consistent with tornados in this region of the United States. They do affect a widespread region and are not affected in general by geography.

The level of impact is evidenced through the number of lives lost or individual injuries reported, as well as the estimated property and crop damage based on information reported to the National Climate Data Center. This information was subsequently rolled into the data from the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). Data for the original plan was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data thru 30 June 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables show data for the entire periods covered by the various sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past, this was typically done at a county level. More recently, nearest place names have been used. Because of this, the records in the summation tables regarding the individual incorporated areas should not be considered all encompassing.

Throughout the following tables, April 3, 1974 will stand out and is probably the most significant day to remember in our region, if not the state, as it pertains to natural hazards and the devastating effects they can have on us all. In what is labeled the worst tornado outbreak in U.S. history, 148 twisters touched down in 13 states, killing 330 people and injuring 5,484. Between the hours of 3:40pm and midnight 26 of those tornados touched down in Kentucky and affected 39 of our 120 counties, killing 77 people and injuring 1,377. Closer to home, within the Lincoln Trail Region a total of 36 of our residents were killed and 353 were injured. The tornado causing the most destruction hit Meade County and had an intensity rating of F5 on the Fujita scale and a path 550 yards wide.

Table 3.3.2.2.3 - County Specific Data – Tornados, Source: NCEI

BRECKINRIDGE						
		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
HARDINSBURG	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF0
BIG SPG	5/21/2010	0	0	\$25,000	\$0	EF1
MYSTIC	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF0
HARNED	6/19/2011	0	0	\$50,000	\$0	EF2
LOCUST HILL	6/19/2011	0	0	\$5,000	\$0	EFO
CLOVERPORT	3/2/2012	0	0	\$150,000	\$0	EF2

Local Story - June 19, 2011: "The National Weather Service confirms two tornadoes touched down in Breckinridge County Sunday. An EF-0 and EF-2 twister hit the town of Harned. There were no reported injuries, but strong wind ripped the roof off a house and blew it into the back yard. A twister also flattened a barn. On another farm, winds lifted a barn off its foundation and moved it several feet. One of the tornadoes ripped away chunks of an outer wall on Breckinridge County High School." *Source: WLEX TV 18, Lexington KY, 6/21/2011.*

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-1

CLOVERPORT TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-2

HARDINSBURG TORNADO



GRAYSON

		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
NEAFUS	4/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF1
CANEYVILLE	4/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF1
TAR HILL	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF2
TAR HILL	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF0
WEST CLIFTY	2/29/2012	0	1	\$50,000	\$0	EF2

GRAYSON COUNTY TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-4

CANEYVILLE TORNADO



CLARKSON TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-6

LEITCHFIELD TORNADO



HARDIN

		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
NORTH FOUR						
CORNERS	5/21/2010	0	0	\$10,000	\$0	EFO
SOLWAY	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EFO
GLENDALE JCT	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF1
GLENDALE	2/29/2012	0	0	\$200,000	\$0	EF2

HARDIN COUNTY TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-8
ELIZABETHTOWN TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-9

RADCLIFF TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-10

VINE GROVE TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-11

LARUE						
		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
HODGENVILLE	2/29/2012	0	0	\$200,000	\$0	EF2
HODGENVILLE	2/29/2012	0	0	\$20,000	\$0	EF2
TONIEVILLE	6/26/2013	0	0	\$500,000	\$0	EF2



Tornado Damage in LaRue County, Feb 29, 2012. Source: Nolin RECC, "Kentucky Living" magazine, April 2012.



Cleanup work in LaRue County, Feb 29, 2012. Source: Nolin RECC, "Kentucky Living" magazine, April 2012.



HODGENVILLE TORNADO



MARION

		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
PHILLIPSBURG	1/30/2013	0	2	\$25,000	\$0	EF0

MARION COUNTY RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-14

BRADFORDSVILLE TORNADO



LEBANON TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-16

MEADE

		DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP	
LOCATION	DATE	DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE	F SCALE
PAYNEVILLE	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0	EF0
GUSTON	3/2/2012	0	0	\$2,000	\$0	EF0
BUCK GROVE	1/30/2013	0	0	\$50,000	\$0	EF0

NELSON – No new events recorded 1 July 2009-30 June 2015.

WASHINGTON – No new events recorded 1 July 2009-30 June 2015.

MEADE COUNTY TORNADO



BRANDENBURG TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-18

NELSON COUNTY TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-19

BARDSTOWN TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-20

NEW HAVEN TORNADO



WASHINGTON COUNTY TORNADO



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, T-22

SPRINGFIELD TORNADO



WILLISBURG TORNADO



TORNADOS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total Injuries	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Ave	erage
		Events	Years	Loss of	,	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Inju	uries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per	Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$5,185,260	16	54.5	1.09	20.00	\$95,142	\$324,079	0.02	0.07	0.37		1.25
Cloverport	\$900,000	2	54.5	1.00	7.00	\$16,514	\$450,000	0.02	0.50	0.13		3.50
Hardinsburg	\$100,000	2	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$1,835	\$50,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Irvington	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
GRAYSON	\$56,483,213	15	55.5	3.00	23.09	\$1,017,716	\$3,765,548	0.05	0.20	0.42		1.54
Caneyville	\$0	1	55.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Clarkson	\$0	0	55.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Leitchfield	\$50,000,000	1	55.5	0.00	16.00	\$900,901	\$50,000,000	0.00	0.00	0.29		16.00
HARDIN	\$16,118,723	24	54.5	2.00	73.09	\$295,756	\$671,613	0.04	0.08	1.34		3.05
Elizabethtown	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Radcliff	\$650,000	2	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$11,927	\$325,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Sonora	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Upton	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Vine Grove	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
West Point	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
LARUE	\$5,110,111	11	62.5	0.00	19.12	\$81,762	\$464,556	0.00	0.00	0.31		1.74
Hodgenville	\$220,000	2	62.5	0.00	0.00	\$3,520	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
MARION	\$735,833	11	54.5	0.00	4.15	\$13,502	\$66,894	0.00	0.00	0.08		0.38
Bradfordsville	\$100,000	1	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$1,835	\$100,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Lebanon	\$100,000	1	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$1,835	\$100,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Loretto	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Raywick	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
MEADE	\$6,142,325	12	54.5	31.00	267.09	\$112,703	\$511,860	0.57	2.58	4.90		22.26
Brandenburg	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Ekron	\$500,000	1	54.5	0.00	10.00	\$9,174	\$500,000	0.00	0.00	0.18		10.00
Muldraugh	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
NELSON	\$2,033,978	13	54.5	1.00	28.15	\$37,321	\$156,460	0.02	0.08	0.52		2.17
Bardstown	\$50,000	1	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$917	\$50,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Bloomfield	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Fairfield	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
New Haven	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
WASHINGTON	\$1,840,007	11	54.5	0.00	5.15	\$33,762	\$167,273	0.00	0.00	0.09		0.47
Mackville	\$0	0	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$0	na	0.00	na	0.00	na	
Springfield	\$15,000	1	54.5	0.00	0.00	\$275	\$15,000	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Willisburg	\$70,000	2	54.5	0.00	4.00	\$1,284	\$35,000	0.00	0.00	0.07		2.00
LTADD	\$93,649,450	113	56	38.09	439.84	\$1,683,586	\$828,756	0.68	0.34	7.91		3.89

Table 3.3.2.2.4 - Summary of Tornado Data, Costs

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years,

statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NCEI. 1967- June 30 2015.

						,				
TORNADOS	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20 Year	Past 50 Year				
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Record	Record
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency Per	Frequency Per	Frequency Per
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Year	Year	Year
BRECKINRIDGE	16	54.5	7	10	15	3.41	29.36%	0.7	0.5	0.3
Cloverport	2	54.5	1	2	2	27.25	3.67%	0.1	0.1	0.04
Hardinsburg	2	54.5	1	2	2	27.25	3.67%	0.1	0.1	0.04
Irvington	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
GRAYSON	15	55.5	5	6	10	3.70	27.03%	0.5	0.3	0.2
Caneyville	1	55.5	1	1	1	55.50	1.80%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Clarkson	0	55.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Leitchfield	1	55.5	0	1	1	55.50	1.80%	0	0.05	0.02
HARDIN	24	54.5	8	11	21	2.27	44.04%	0.8	0.55	0.42
Elizabethtown	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Radcliff	2	54.5	0	1	2	27.25	3.67%	0	0.05	0.04
Sonora	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Upton	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Vine Grove	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
West Point	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
LARUE	11	62.5	4	6	9	5.68	17.60%	0.4	0.3	0.18
Hodgenville	2	62.5	0	0	0	31.25	3.20%	0	0	0
MARION	11	54.5	2	4	8	4.95	20.18%	0.2	0.2	0.16
Bradfordsville	1	54.5	1	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Lebanon	1	54.5	0	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0	0.05	0.02
Loretto	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Raywick	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
MEADE	12	54.5	5	7	10	4.54	22.02%	0.5	0.35	0.2
Brandenburg	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Ekron	1	54.5	0	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0	0.05	0.02
Muldraugh	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
NELSON	13	54.5	2	3	13	4.19	23.85%	0.2	0.15	0.26
Bardstown	1	54.5	0	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0	0.05	0.02
Bloomfield	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Fairfield	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
New Haven	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	11	54.5	5	7	11	4.95	20.18%	0.5	0.35	0.22
Mackville	0	54.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Springfield	1	54.5	0	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0	0.05	0.02
Willisburg	2	54.5	1	2	2	27.25	3.67%	0.1	0.1	0.04
LTADD	113	56	38	54	97	0.49	203.15%	3.8	2.7	1.94

Table 3.3.2.2.5 - Summary of Tornado Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NCEI. 1967- June 30 2015.

3.3.2.3 Severe Thunderstorms

I. Background

Definition: Thunderstorm. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) defines a thunderstorm as "a rain shower during which you hear thunder. Since thunder comes from lightning, all thunderstorms have lightning."

Severe Thunderstorm: NOAA classifies a thunderstorm as severe "when it contains one or more of the following: hail one inch or greater, winds gusting in excess of 50 knots (57.5 mph), or a tornado."

Cause and Types of Thunderstorms

Three basic ingredients are necessary for a thunderstorm to form: moisture, rising unstable air (air that keeps rising when given a nudge), and a lifting mechanism to provide the "nudge." Lifts can form from fronts, sea breezes or mountains. Upward moving air is an updraft. Cooler air tends to sink and produces downdraft winds. Downdraft winds can result in one of four different storms; single cell, multicell cluster, multicell line, or supercell.

When sun heats the surface of the earth, it warms the air above the ground. If this warm air is forced to rise as a result of "bumping" into cooler or damper air, it will continue to rise for as long as it weighs less and remains warmer than the air around it. As the air rises, it transfers heat from the earth's surface to the upper levels of the atmosphere; a process know as convection. The water vapor in the air begins to cool, releases heat, condenses and forms a cloud. This cloud gradually grows upward into areas where the temperature is below freezing. These Cumulonimbus clouds are also known as "thunderhead" clouds and produce lightning.

As a storm rises up into freezing air, ice particles can form and grow by condensing vapor and collecting smaller liquid drops that haven't yet frozen (a state called "supercooled"). When two ice particles collide, they usually bounce off of each other, but one particle can rip off a little bit of ice from another and grab some electric charge. When lots of these collisions build up big areas of electric charges, it causes a bolt of lightning and creates sound waves that are heard as thunder.

Thunderstorms can occur year-round and at all hours, and happen in every US state. However, they are most likely to occur in the spring and summer months and during the afternoon and evening hours. It is estimated that approximately 1,800 thunderstorms occur across our planet every day. About 100,000 thunderstorms occur in the U.S. each year.

A typical thunderstorm is 15 miles in diameter and lasts an average of 30 minutes. All thunderstorms produce lightning and are dangerous. Lightning kills between 75 to 100 people annually. Lightning can also cause fires. In addition, thunderstorms can cause flash

floods that kill more people each year than hurricanes, tornadoes or lightning. Severe thunderstorms can produce hail up to the size of softballs that damages cars and property, and kills livestock caught out in the open. Strong, straight-line winds associated with thunderstorms knock down trees, power lines and mobile homes. Severe thunderstorms can spawn tornadoes with winds up to 300 mph that can destroy well-built man-made structures.

Shelf Cloud

A shelf cloud is a low, horizontal wedge-shaped cloud associated with a thunderstorm gust front or, occasionally, with a cold front. Shelf clouds can be attached to the front side of



Meade County Thunderstorm, 10/27/2009, Source: Meade County Emergency Management Office.

Multicell Cluster

lines of storms or even a single storm. Usually, there isn't any persistent rotation on a vertical axis within shelf clouds or within individual cloud fragments that extend down from the shelf cloud. Shelf clouds often resemble snow plows, big waves or tsunamis and can look very threatening.

Single Cell or Pulse Storm

A pulse storm is short-lived and usually lasts 30 to 60 minutes. Pulse storms are common in summer and are usually not severe. Pulse storms may produce heavy rain, thunder, lightning, and possible hail and gusty winds. Brief severe weather is possible during a pulse storm in the form of a microburst. These storms are moderately dangerous to the public and moderately to highly dangerous to aviation.

A multicell cluster is a group of severe or non-severe cells in various stages of development. The most common of thunderstorms, mature thunderstorms are located near the center of the cluster, while dissipating thunderstorms exist on their downwind side. Each cluster may only last 20 minutes, but the storm itself may persist for hours. Multicell cluster storms are stronger than single cell storms, but much weaker than a supercell storm. A multicell cluster is capable of producing moderate-sized hail, flash flooding, and weak tornados.

Multicell Line

A multicell line, also known as squall line, is an elongated line of severe thunderstorms that can form along and/or ahead of a cold front. It has the potential to produce heavy precipitation, hail, frequent lightning, strong straight-line winds, and possibly tornados and waterspouts. Severe weather in the form of strong straight-line winds can be expected in areas of the squall line where the line itself is in the shape of a bow echo and within that portion of the line that bows out the most. Tornados can be found along waves within the line echo wave pattern, or LEWP, where mesoscale low-pressure areas are present.

Supercells

Supercell storms are large, usually severe storms that form in an environment where wind speed or wind direction varies with height (an area of "wind shear"), and they have separate downdrafts and updrafts with a strong, rotating updraft ("mesocyclone"). A supercell storm can be 15 miles wide. Research shows that at least 90% of supercell storms cause severe weather. Sometimes these storms produce F3 or higher tornados, extremely large hail (4 inches in diameter), straight-line winds in excess of 80 mph and flash floods. Supercell storms are the most powerful type of thunderstorm and a danger to the public and aviation.

Visible Warning Signs of Thunderstorms

- Dark, towering, threatening clouds
- Distant lightning and thunder

General Facts

- The National Weather Service estimates that there approximately 1,800 thunderstorms daily, on our planet
- There are about 100,000 thunderstorms annually in the U.S. and about 10% of those are severe
- All thunderstorms are dangerous and produce lightning
- Lightning can reach a temperature of 53,540 degrees Fahrenheit; the surface of sun reaches 10,340 degrees Fahrenheit

Dangers Associated with Thunderstorms

- Cloud to ground lightning
- Hail
- Tornados and Waterspouts
- Flash Floods
- Downbursts (Downburst winds are generally very powerful and are often mistaken for wind speeds produced by tornados. These winds are capable of destroying

unstable or weakly constructed infrastructures, damaging agricultural crops, displacing automobiles, and crashing aircraft engaged in takeoff or landing.

Damaging Winds

According to the National Severe Storms Laboratory, severe and damaging winds can be produced by any type of thunderstorm, even one that is dying. There are several types of damaging wind as outlined below:

Straight-line wind is the term used to define any wind associated with a thunderstorm that is not a result of rotation and tornadic winds.

A **downdraft** is a small-scale column of air that rapidly sinks toward the ground.

A **downburst** is the result of a strong downdraft with horizontal dimensions larger than 2.5 miles that results in an outward burst of damaging wind on or near the ground. A downburst may begin as a microburst and spread out over a larger area. It can produce damage similar to a strong tornado.

A **microburst** is a small concentrated downburst that produces an outward burst of damaging wind at the surface. They are usually small and last only 5 to 10 minutes, with wind speeds up to 168 mph.

The leading edge of rain-cooled air that clashes with warmer thunderstorm inflow is called a **gust front**. Gust fronts are characterized by a wind shift, temperature drop, and gusty winds out ahead of a thunderstorm. At times, these winds push up air above them and form a shelf cloud or detached roll cloud.

A **derecho** is a widespread, long-lived wind storm associated with a band of rapidly moving showers or thunderstorms. It consists of numerous microbursts, downbursts, and clusters of downbursts. A derecho includes winds of at least 58 mph or greater and a swath of damage that extends more than 240 miles, by definition.

A wall of dust that is pushed out along the ground from a thunderstorm downdraft at high speeds is called a **haboob**.

Table 3.3.2.3.1 - I	Table 3.3.2.3.1 - International Tornado Intensity Scale (TORRO)						
Tornado	Description of Tornado and Windspeeds						
Intensity							
Т0	Light Tornado						
	17 – 24 m s-1						
	(39 – 54 mi h-1)						
T1	Mild Tornado						
	25 – 32 m s-1						
	(55 – 72 mi h-1)						
T2	Moderate Tornado						
	33 – 41 m s-2						
	(73 – 92 mi h-1)						
Т3	Strong Tornado						
	42 – 51 m s-1						
	(93 – 114 mi h-1)						
T4	Severe Tornado						
	52 – 61 m s-1						
	(115 – 136 mi h-1)						
T5	Intense Tornado						
	62 – 72 m s-1						
	(127 – 160 mi h-1)						
Т6	Moderately-Devastating Tornado						
	73 – 83 m s-1						
	(161 – 186 mi h-1)						
Τ7	Strongly-Devastating Tornado						
	84 – 95 m s-1						
	(187 – 212 mi h-1)						
Т8	Severely-Devastating Tornado						
	96 – 107 m s-1						
	(213 – 240 mi h-1)						
Т9	Intensely-Devastating Tornado						
	108 – 120 mi s-1						
	(241 – 269 mi h-1)						
T10	Super Tornado						
	121 – 134 m s-1						
	(270 – 299 mi h-1)						
Source: The Torna	do and Storm Research Organization						

II. Anaylsis

To analyze severe thunderstorms as a threat to the Lincoln Trail Region, the generalized threat of thunderstorms was identified by reviewing historical data on wind and hail events.

The following tables outline the mean number of days precipitation and thunderstorms occur in an average year and the history of thunderstorms that have been recorded in a given county/jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail region since 1960. The level of impact is evidenced through the number of lives lost or individual injuries recorded, as well as the estimated cost of property and crop damage based on information reported to the National Climate Data Center which was subsequently rolled into the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). For the original plan, data was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data thru 30 June 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables show data for the entire period as reported by various sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past this was typically done at a county level. More recently they have used nearest place names. Because of this, the records in the summation tables that pertain to individual incorporated areas, should not be considered all encompassing.

Table 3.3.2.3.2 - County Specific Data – Severe Thunderstorms, Source: NCEI BRECKINDIDGE

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
	8/4/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARNED	8/4/2009	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
BIG SPG	5/21/2010	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
	6/15/2010	0	0	\$0,000 \$0	\$0 \$0
	7/19/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	7/19/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
HIGH PLAINS	8/12/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROFF	8/14/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
FALLS OF ROUGH	2/28/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
WEBSTER	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG	5/25/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MC QUADY	6/27/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLOVERPORT	1/17/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	1/17/2012	0	0	\$2,000	\$0
HARNED	1/17/2012	0	0	\$8,000	\$0
MC QUADY	1/17/2012	0	0	\$2,000	\$0
ROFF	1/17/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLOVERPORT	1/23/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLOVERPORT	7/1/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BIG SPG	7/18/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CUSTER	7/18/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
FALLS OF ROUGH	1/30/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLOVERPORT	6/26/2013	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
MC DANIELS	6/26/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
MC QUADY	6/26/2013	0	0	\$2,000	\$0
STEPHENSPORT	6/26/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
GARFIELD	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	12/21/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
MC DANIELS	12/21/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
IRVINGTON	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
IRVINGTON	7/26/2014	0	0	\$30,000	\$0

GRAYSON

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
LEITCHFIELD	5/15/2010	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
CLARKSON	6/2/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROYAL	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
SADLER	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEITCHFIELD	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEITCHFIELD	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
CANEYVILLE	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEITCHFIELD	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLARKSON	5/12/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
CLARKSON	5/22/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEITCHFIELD	1/23/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CANEYVILLE	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CANEYVILLE	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEITCHFIELD	5/5/2012	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
SHREWSBURY	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CANEYVILLE	1/30/2013	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
SKAGGSTOWN	6/23/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
SHREWSBURY	5/30/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0

HARDIN					
LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
ELIZABETH TOWN	8/4/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
STEPHENSBURG	8/4/2009	0	0	\$3,000	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
RINEYVILLE	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
SONORA	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
FRANKLIN XRDS	4/7/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
FLINT HILL	6/2/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
RINEYVILLE	8/12/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
RADCLIFF	4/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
ST JOHN	4/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
GLENDALE	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	6/27/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	1/23/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
(FTK)GODMAN AAF	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
FT K					
ELIZABETH TOWN	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
GAITHERS	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
SONORA	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	3/15/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	7/8/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
FRANKLIN XRDS	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
VINE GROVE JCT	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	7/26/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	7/27/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
VINE GROVE	7/27/2012	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
RADCLIFF	9/7/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
(FTK)GODMAN AAF	1/30/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
FT K	- 4 - 4				
(FTK)GODMAN AAF	6/17/2013	0	0	Ş0	Ş0
	6/26/2012	0	0	\$2,000	ŚŊ
	6/26/2013	0	0	\$2,000	0Ç ()
	6/26/2013	0	0	\$2,000 \$0	0Ç ()
CDEST	7/10/2012	0	0	ېر د م	30 \$0
	0/11/2012	0	0	ېل د م	ος 20
	12/21/2012	0	0	ېن د م	ېن د م
	12/21/2013	0	0	ېل د م	ېل د م
FRANKLIN XKUS	12/21/2013	U	0	Ş0	ŞU

HOWE VLY	12/21/2013	0	0	\$1,000	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	12/21/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
VINE GROVE	12/21/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
RED HILL	5/22/2014	0	0	\$150,000	\$0
ELIZABETH TOWN	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
ROGERSVILLE	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
WEST PT	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
VINE GROVE	8/23/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
CECILIA	10/6/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDIN CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0
HARDIN CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0

LARUE					
LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
LARUE (ZONE)	10/31/2013	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
LYONS	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARREN RUN	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
HODGENVILLE	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEAFDALE	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
TONIEVILLE	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BUFFALO	7/10/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARREN RUN	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	2/24/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	2/24/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	2/28/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	4/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
JERICHO	4/20/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	4/20/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
WHITE CITY	1/17/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
HODGENVILLE	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BUFFALO	7/26/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
HODGENVILLE	7/26/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
HODGENVILLE	7/27/2012	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
MAXINE	5/13/2014	0	0	\$30,000	\$0
HODGENVILLE	5/22/2014	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
LEAFDALE	5/22/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
MAGNOLIA	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
BUFFALO	7/27/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEAFDALE	10/6/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.3 Risk Assessment

MARION

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
MARION (ZONE)	12/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
LORETTO	10/9/2009	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEBANON	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEBANON	8/14/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEBANON	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEBANON	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
LEBANON	8/18/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
ST MARY	9/4/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
PENICKS	1/23/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRADFORDSVILLE	7/1/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
NEW MARKET	7/26/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
GRAVEL SWITCH	6/26/2013	0	0	\$1,000	\$0
LEBANON	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
RAYWICK	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
LORETTO	7/13/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
BATTLETOWN	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
EKRON	3/23/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	4/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
MULDRAUGH	4/23/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BATTLETOWN	6/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
FLAHERTY	6/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	6/22/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	2/29/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
MIDWAY	2/29/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
MIDWAY	3/15/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
DOE VLY ESTATES	5/29/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
SIROCCO	5/29/2012	0	0	\$25,000	\$0
BATTLETOWN	5/31/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/8/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/27/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
FLAHERTY	7/27/2012	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
EKRON	9/7/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
EKRON	9/7/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BATTLETOWN	1/30/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
BRANDENBURG	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
MEADE CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
MEADE CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0

MEADE

NELSON					
LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
BARDSTOWN	8/4/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BLOOMFIELD	9/7/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
COXS CREEK	9/7/2010	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
FAIRFIELD	9/7/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BLOOMFIELD	10/26/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0
BALLTOWN	4/9/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN	4/20/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN	4/26/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
HIGHGROVE	7/19/2011	0	0	\$3,000	\$0
BARDSTOWN	8/13/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0
HIGHGROVE	1/17/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BALLTOWN	3/2/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BOURBON SPGS	5/4/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BOSTON	7/18/2012	0	0	\$40,000	\$0
GREENBRIER	7/18/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN ARPT	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
BOTLAND	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CHAPLIN	7/19/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CHAPLIN	7/26/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0
CHAPLIN	7/1/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
CRAVENS	7/10/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
WOODLAWN	8/12/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN	12/21/2013	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN	4/27/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0
BARDSTOWN	7/26/2014	0	0	\$0	\$0

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.3 Risk Assessment

WASHINGTON

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP						
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE						
SPRINGFIELD	4/24/2010	0	0	\$0	\$0						
ST CATHERINE	4/20/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0						
MAUD	5/23/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0						
SPRINGFIELD	6/19/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0						
WILLISBURG	8/8/2011	0	0	\$0	\$0						
WILLISBURG	7/1/2012	0	0	\$0	\$0						
WASHINGTON CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0						
WASHINGTON CO.	4/7/2015	0	0	\$0	\$0						
	o bann	mary o			<u> </u>	i mao b	uuu, 00	0.00			
----------------	--------------	--------	--------	---------	----------	-------------	-------------	----------	----------	----------	-----------
THUNDERSTORMS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
WINDS		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Cost Per	Cost Per	Loss of	Loss of	Injuries	Injuries
				Life		Year	Event	Life Per	Life Per	Per Year	Per Event
								Year	Event		
BRECKINRIDGE	\$1,211,803	206	54.5	0.25	2.21	\$22,235	\$5,883	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01
Cloverport	\$5,000	10	54.5	0	0	\$92	\$500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hardinsburg	\$105,000	24	54.5	0	0	\$1,927	\$4,375	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Irvington	\$55,000	5	54.5	0	0	\$1,009	\$11,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRAYSON	\$1,215,287	196	56.5	0.25	6.62	\$21,510	\$6,200	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.03
Caneyville	\$0	20	56.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clarkson	\$0	6	56.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Leitchfield	\$202,000	27	56.5	0	0	\$3,575	\$7,481	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARDIN	\$64,735,949	300	58.5	4.45	133.17	\$1,106,597	\$215,786	0.08	0.01	2.28	0.44
Elizabethtown	\$80,000	35	58.5	0	0	\$1,368	\$2,286	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Radcliff	\$5,050,000	13	58.5	0	46	\$86,325	\$388,462	0.00	0.00	0.79	3.54
Sonora	\$1,000	8	58.5	0	0	\$17	\$125	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Upton	\$0	1	58.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vine Grove	\$50,020,000	10	58.5	0	0	\$855,043	\$5,002,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
West Point	\$0	4	58.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LARUE	\$1,509,787	193	54.5	1.32	11.6	\$27,703	\$7,823	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.06
Hodgenville	\$150,000	20	54.5	0	0	\$2,752	\$7,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARION	\$1,247,735	180	54.5	0.24	1.63	\$22,894	\$6,932	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01
Bradfordsville	\$0	1	54.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lebanon	\$175,000	25	54.5	0	0	\$3,211	\$7,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Loretto	\$10,000	4	54.5	0	0	\$183	\$2,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Raywick	\$0	2	54.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MEADE	\$1,679,733	208	55.5	3.45	46.26	\$30,265	\$8,076	0.06	0.02	0.83	0.22
Brandenburg	\$57,000	22	55.5	0	0	\$1,027	\$2,591	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ekron	\$0	4	55.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Muldraugh	\$10,000	4	55.5	0	0	\$180	\$2,500	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NELSON	\$1,404,130	228	54.5	0.3	12.58	\$25,764	\$6,158	0.01	0.00	0.23	0.06
Bardstown	\$55,000	41	54.5	0	0	\$1,009	\$1,341	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bloomfield	\$0	3	54.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fairfield	\$0	1	54.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
New Haven	\$50,000	3	54.5	0	0	\$917	\$16,667	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON	\$1,453,572	168	54.5	0.22	3.58	\$26,671	\$8,652	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02
Mackville	\$175,000	4	54.5	0	0	\$3,211	\$43,750	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Springfield	\$70,000	16	54.5	0	0	\$1,284	\$4,375	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Willisburg	\$1,000	7	54.5	0	0	\$18	\$143	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LTADD	\$74,457,996	1679	58.5	10.48	217.65	\$1,272,786	\$44,347	0.18	0.01	3.72	0.13

Table 3.3.2.3.3 - Su	mmary of Thun	derstorm/Wi	nds Data. Costs
			nus Duiu, uosi.

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Tuble Dibibibi	i bun	initian y	or inc	maero		ii iii ab i	Jutuj Li C	neo		
THUNDERSTORMS	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50 Year				
WINDS	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Year Record	Year Record	Record
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year
BRECKINRIDGE	206	54.5	53	95	195	0.26	377.98%	5.3	4.75	3.9
Cloverport	10	54.5	8	10	10	5.45	18.35%	0.8	0.5	0.2
Hardinsburg	24	54.5	9	21	24	2.27	44.04%	0.9	1.05	0.48
Irvington	5	54.5	3	4	5	10.90	9.17%	0.3	0.2	0.1
GRAYSON	196	56.5	41	78	185	0.29	346.90%	4.1	3.9	3.7
Caneyville	20	56.5	12	20	20	2.83	35.40%	1.2	1	0.4
Clarkson	6	56.5	4	6	6	9.42	10.62%	0.4	0.3	0.12
Leitchfield	27	56.5	12	26	27	2.09	47.79%	1.2	1.3	0.54
HARDIN	300	58.5	70	139	285	0.20	512.82%	7	6.95	5.7
Elizabethtown	35	58.5	18	35	35	1.67	59.83%	1.8	1.75	0.7
Radcliff	13	58.5	3	12	13	4.50	22.22%	0.3	0.6	0.26
Sonora	8	58.5	4	8	8	7.31	13.68%	0.4	0.4	0.16
Upton	1	58.5	0	1	1	58.50	1.71%	0	0.05	0.02
Vine Grove	10	58.5	4	9	10	5.85	17.09%	0.4	0.45	0.2
West Point	4	58.5	3	4	4	14.63	6.84%	0.3	0.2	0.08
LARUE	193	54.5	36	64	176	0.28	354.13%	3.6	3.2	3.52
Hodgenville	20	54.5	9	20	20	2.73	36.70%	0.9	1	0.4
MARION	180	54.5	22	55	62	0.30	330.28%	2.2	2.75	1.24
Bradfordsville	1	54.5	1	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Lebanon	25	54.5	10	24	25	2.18	45.87%	1	1.2	0.5
Loretto	4	54.5	4	4	4	13.63	7.34%	0.4	0.2	0.08
Raywick	2	54.5	1	2	2	27.25	3.67%	0.1	0.1	0.04
MEADE	208	55.5	46	84	196	0.27	374.77%	4.6	4.2	3.92
Brandenburg	22	55.5	13	22	22	2.52	39.64%	1.3	1.1	0.44
Ekron	4	55.5	4	4	4	13.88	7.21%	0.4	0.2	0.08
Muldraugh	4	55.5	2	4	4	13.88	7.21%	0.2	0.2	0.08
NELSON	228	54.5	45	51	210	0.24	418.35%	4.5	2.55	4.2
Bardstown	41	54.5	18	40	41	1.33	75.23%	1.8	2	0.82
Bloomfield	3	54.5	3	3	3	18.17	5.50%	0.3	0.15	0.06
Fairfield	1	54.5	1	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0.1	0.05	0.02
New Haven	3	54.5	0	2	3	18.17	5.50%	0	0.1	0.06
WASHINGTON	168	54.5	18	45	150	0.32	308.26%	1.8	2.25	3
Mackville	4	54.5	2	4	4	13.63	7.34%	0.2	0.2	0.08
Springfield	16	54.5	5	15	15	3.41	29.36%	0.5	0.75	0.3
Willisburg	7	54.5	3	7	7	7.79	12.84%	0.3	0.35	0.14
LTADD	1679	58.5	331	611	1459	0.03	2870.09%	33.1	30.55	29.18

Table 3.3.2.3.4 - Summary of Thunderstorm/Winds Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

3.3.2.4 Severe Winter Storms

I. Background

Definitions:

Winter Storm: According to the National Severe Storms Laboratory (NSSL), a winter storm is an event in which the main types of precipitation are snow, sleet or freezing rain. A winter storm is a combination of heavy snow, blowing snow and/or dangerous wind chills. A winter storm can be a life-threatening event. A **severe winter storm** consists of one or more of the following elements; blinding wind-driven snow, extreme cold, icy roads, avalanches and downed trees and power lines. All winter storms can be dangerous and result in injuries, loss of life and property damage. The effects of a winter storm can impact a region for extended periods of time. Most deaths from winter storms are not a direct result of the storm itself, but rather a result of traffic accidents on icy roads, heart attacks while shoveling snow and hypothermia from prolonged exposure to cold.

Winter storms form just like any other storm at other times of the year. The right combination of ingredients is necessary for a winter storm to develop. The three basic components of a winter storm are cold air, lift and moisture. Below freezing temperatures in the clouds and near the ground are essential to make snow and/or ice. Lift is necessary to raise moist air to form clouds and cause precipitation. Lift occurs when warm air collides with cold air and is forced to rise over the cold dome. The boundary between a warm and cold air mass is called a front. Moisture must be present to form clouds and result in precipitation. Air moving across a lake or large body of water such as an ocean, is an excellent source of moisture.

Blizzard: A blizzard is a dangerous winter storm composed of a combination of blowing snow and wind that results in very low visibility. Heavy snowfalls and severe cold often accompany blizzards, but are not required elements. At times, strong wind picks up ground snow and creates a ground blizzard. Blizzards contain winds over 35 mph and reduce visibility to ¼ miles or less for at least three hours.

Ice Storm: An ice storm results in the accumulation of at least .25" of ice on exposed surfaces. Ice storms create hazardous driving and walking conditions. Power outages and property damage can occur when tree branches and power lines snap under the weight of the accumulated ice.

Snow: Snowflakes are collections of ice crystals that cling to each other as they fall to the ground. Wintertime clouds will produce snow as long as the top layer of the storm is cold enough to create snowflakes. Precipitation will continue to fall as snow when the temperature remains at or below 0 degrees Celsius. The following is a summary of snow events:

• Snow Flurries: Light snow falling for a short duration and resulting in no accumulation or a light dusting

- Snow Shower: Snow falling at differing intensities for brief periods of time with some accumulation possible
- Snow Squall: A brief, intense snow shower, accompanied by strong and gusty winds with possible significant accumulation, defines a snow squall. This event usually occurs in the Great Lakes Region.
- Blowing Snow: Wind driven snow that reduces visibility and causes significant drifting. Blowing snow may occur when snow is falling and/or loose ground snow is picked up by the wind.

Sleet: Sleet occurs when snowflakes partially melt when falling through a shallow layer of warm air resulting in slushy drops that refreeze as they fall through a deep layer of freezing air above the surface and reach the ground as frozen rain drops that bounce on impact.

Freezing Rain/Ice Storm: Freezing rain occurs when snowflakes fall through a warmer layer of air and melt completely. When this rain falls through another thin layer of freezing air just above the surface of the ground, it doesn't have time to refreeze before hitting the ground. Because the rain is "supercooled," it instantly freezes upon contact with anything that is at or below 0 degrees C, and creates a glaze of ice on the ground, trees, power lines, or other objects. A significant accumulation of freezing rain lasting several hours or more is called an ice storm.



2009 Ice Storm, Vine Grove. *Source: LTADD Archive*.

Facts

- Winter storms come in different sizes and are created by different combinations of atmospheric conditions and local geography, but can occur anywhere in the United States.
- Winter storms usually occur between the end of October and the end of March in the U.S.
- Winter storms can last for days and be accompanied by high winds, freezing rain or sleet, heavy snowfall, and cold temperatures.

• The aftermath of a winter storm can impact a community or region for a day, weeks, or even months.

Effects

Snow and Ice Accumulation

Snow and ice accumulation on roads and surfaces can result in several adverse effects. Roads and sidewalks become dangerous and, at times, impassable resulting in vehicular accidents, falls, road closures, and delayed response time from emergency agencies. Snow and ice accumulation on trees, poles, power lines and roofs can result in falling debris that causes property damage and human injuries. People attempting to shovel snow can suffer injuries from their efforts as well. 70% of all weather related injuries are the result of vehicle accidents. Black ice on roadways is another dangerous hazard, as is thawing and refreezing of snow and ice on surfaces.

Power Outages

Snow and ice events can result in area and regional power outages. Power outages can have a significant social and economic impact on an area and may last for an extended period of time. Fires and dangerous situations arise from the improper use of kerosene lamps and heaters, candles and space heaters.



2009 Ice Storm, Nelson County. Source: LTADD Archive.



2009 Ice Storm, Vine Grove. Source: LTADD Archive.

Extreme Cold

Cold air outbreaks can send temperatures plummeting to single digits or lower and it is far more dangerous to be outside for prolonged periods of time. Some of the major threats are:

• Wind Chill: Wind chill is a measure of what the temperature outside *feels* like when wind speed is factored in. As wind speed increases, more heat can be removed from a body by the wind.

- Frostbite: Frostbite results from prolonged exposure to very cold air. Injury is caused by body tissue becoming frozen. Extremities such as fingers and toes are the most susceptible to frostbite.
- Hypothermia: Hypothermia is similar to frostbite and occurs when the body is exposed to prolonged cold. Hypothermia occurs when the body temperature drops below 95 degrees Fahrenheit.

Flooding

Depending on the amount of accumulation on the ground, flooding can result when ice and snow begin to melt as temperatures begin to rise.



Snow runoff flooding at White Mills (Hardin County) Source: News-Enterprise, Neal Cardin Photo.

II. Profile

The Kentucky Mesonet data presented below shows minimum temperatures in the Lincoln Trail Region over the last 3 to 4 years. Cold temperatures and the severe weather conditions that often accompany them, make the region susceptible to severe winter storms.

Table 3.3.2.4.1

Minimum Tem Source: Kentuc	iperature kv Meson	Table for et	Lincoln	Frail Regio	on from 2	010 to 20	15
Mesonet Station	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 Jan. – March 3	Average Station 5 Year Average 2010 – 2014
Breckinridge Co. (MQDY)	1.9	-1.5	12.1	7.9	-4.5	-10.2	5.7
Grayson Co. (BLRK)	0.9	-3.0	11.3	9.3	-3.4	-15.1	15.1
Hardin Co. (CCLA)	-0.4	-2.4	10.8	8.8	-3.8	-18.0	2.6
LaRue Co. (HDGV)	NA	18.0 Sept. – Dec.	13.4	8.3	-3.8	-12.1	NA
Marion Co. (LRTO)	NA	17.1 May – Dec.	14.8	8.4	-4.6	-16.2	NA
Meade Co. (BRND)	NA	13.4 March – Dec.	7.7	5.4	-4.0	-21.8	NA
Average	0.8	-2.3 Full Year Sites Only	11.68	8.02	-4.02	-15.57 Jan. – March 3	-0.232 Annual 8-County Regional 5 Year Average 2010 – 2014

Kentucky's geographic location makes it vulnerable to extreme winter weather. The State's close proximity to the Gulf of Mexico, provides the moisture source for precipitation, while the region is far enough north to be influenced by polar air masses. Low-pressure systems can bring heavy snow to Kentucky that normally track eastward across the southern United States before tracking toward the northeast.



The Lincoln Trail Region is outlined in red above. Breckinridge and Grayson County fall predominately in the 10-12 inch range. Hardin, LaRue, Marion, Meade, Nelson and Washington Counties fall entirely in the 12-14 inch range.

III. Analysis

The analysis for determining the threat of winter storms as a local hazard, involved identifying the conditions that produce winter storms, along with the types of severe winter weather that occur. Data was also tracked concerning the number of events that occur in the Region over time. Sources used to gather information include the National Weather Service, Kentucky Mesonet, the National Climatic Data Center, and Kentucky and County Emergency Management.

The Winter Storm of 2009 began on January 28, 2009 and left the Region devastated. Icy rain turned into solid ice that left the entire eight-county area without power, water and phone service. Roads were closed and power lines and poles snapped. Many were without power for weeks and the cleanup effort ran well into the late summer months. The State declared a disaster and FEMA issued disaster declaration #1818. Mitigation money from this disaster enabled many local jurisdictions to purchase emergency backup generators, bury power lines, and replace or repair damaged bridges. The chart below illustrates the impact the local Counties reported as a result of the 2009 Ice Storm.

County	Days Without	Injuries	Deaths	Local
	Power	Reported	Reported	Economic
				Impact*
Breckinridge	Up to 3 weeks	3	0	\$1.2-1.5
				million
Grayson	Up to 3 weeks	0	0	\$2.2 million
Hardin	Up to 2 weeks	0	1	\$1.2 million
LaRue	Up to 2 weeks	0	0	\$600,000
Marion	Up to 1 week	2	0	\$245,000
Meade	Up to 2 weeks	24	0	\$1.2 million
Nelson	Up to 3 weeks	0	0	\$260,000
Washington	Up to 3 weeks	1	0	\$375,000
Totals		30	1	\$7,280,000
* Donowtod hul	a a al a a su a su a su a l)	wingto utility loss	a an individuala'

Table 3.3.2.4.2 – 2009 Winter Storm Impact

* Reported by local government; Does not include private utility losses, or individuals' losses of property or wages



The winter of 2015 was another devastating one for this region. On February 21, Kentucky Mesonet data shows that between 18 and 19 inches of snow fell in the Hardin County area. Beginning on March 4, 2015 almost 30" of snow fell throughout the region and shut down I65 through Hardin County for over 14 hours. Thousands of cars, trucks and people were stranded on the interstate.

2015 Overturned snowplow in Meade County. *Source: Meade County Emergency Management.*



Table 3.3.2.4.3 - 2015 Winter Storm Snow Records

Source: National Weather Service - Louisville, KY



The following tables detail the history of winter storms that have been recorded in a given county/jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail region since 1993. The level of impact is evidenced through the number of lives lost or individual injuries reported, as well as the

estimated cost of property and crop damage. This information is reported to the National Climate DataCenter (NCDC) and subsequently rolled into the data of the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). For the original plan, data was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data thru 30 June 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables show data for the entire periods covered by the various sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past this was typically done at a county level. More recently, nearest place names have been used. Because of this, the records in the summation tables regarding the individual incorporated areas should not be considered all encompassing.

When reviewing the tables below, there may appear to be duplication of data across counties. This is due to the nature of a winter storm. One winter storm system most often affects multiple counties and is logged as one event but recorded in each county. Detailed individual county information is not always available; therefore some data may not reflect the true impact at the county or city level.

4 Obully	opcome Bata		Otorinis	, o ouroc: m	
GE					
DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
		DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
2/8/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
2/14/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
1/25/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
12/6/2013	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
2/2/2014	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
	GE DATE 1/29/2010 2/8/2010 2/14/2010 1/25/2011 12/6/2013 2/2/2014 2/4/2014 3/2/2014 2/16/2015 3/4/2015	GE DATE EVENT TYPE 1/29/2010 Heavy Snow 2/8/2010 Heavy Snow 2/14/2010 Heavy Snow 1/25/2011 Heavy Snow 1/25/2013 Winter Storm 2/2/2014 Heavy Snow 2/2/2014 Winter Storm 3/2/2014 Winter Storm 2/16/2015 Heavy Snow 3/4/2015 Heavy Snow	GE DATE EVENT TYPE DEATHS 1/29/2010 Heavy Snow 0 2/8/2010 Heavy Snow 0 2/14/2010 Heavy Snow 0 1/25/2011 Heavy Snow 0 1/25/2011 Heavy Snow 0 1/26/2013 Winter Storm 0 2/4/2014 Heavy Snow 0 3/2/2014 Winter Storm 0 3/2/2015 Heavy Snow 0 3/4/2015 Heavy Snow 0	GE DATE EVENT TYPE DEATHS INJURIES 1/29/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 2/8/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 2/14/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 1/25/2011 Heavy Snow 0 0 1/25/2013 Winter Storm 0 0 2/2/2014 Heavy Snow 0 0 2/2/2014 Winter Storm 0 0 3/2/2014 Winter Storm 0 0 3/2/2015 Heavy Snow 0 0 3/4/2015 Heavy Snow 0 0	GE DATE EVENT TYPE DEATHS INJURIES PROPERTY 1/29/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 0 2/8/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 0 2/14/2010 Heavy Snow 0 0 0 1/25/2011 Heavy Snow 0 0 0 1/26/2013 Winter Storm 0 0 0 2/4/2014 Winter Storm 0 0 0 3/2/2014 Winter Storm 0 0 0 3/4/2015 Heavy Snow 0 0 0

Table 3.3.2.4.4 - County Specific Data - Winter Storms, Source.	NCLI
Table 3.3.2.4.4 - County Specific Data - Winter Storms, Source:	NCEI

GRAYSON

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
GRAYSON	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	2/8/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	1/26/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	2/7/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	12/6/2013	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	2/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	1/23/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

HARDIN			-			
LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
HARDIN	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	2/8/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	12/15/2010	Ice Storm	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	1/25/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	1/25/2013	Winter Weather	0	0	\$100,000	0
HARDIN	12/6/2013	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	2/2/2014	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	1/23/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
HARDIN	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

LARUE

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
LARUE	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
LARUE	12/24/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
LARUE	1/20/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
LARUE	2/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
LARUE	2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
LARUE	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
LARUE	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
LARUE	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

MARION

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
MARION	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MARION	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
MARION	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MARION	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

MEADE

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
MEADE	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	2/8/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	2/14/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	12/15/2010	Ice Storm	0	0	0	0
MEADE	12/6/2013	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
MEADE	2/2/2014	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
MEADE	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
MEADE	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
MEADE	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

NELSON

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
NELSON	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
NELSON	12/15/2010	Ice Storm	0	0	0	0
NELSON	2/7/2011	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
NELSON	2/2/2014	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
NELSON	2/4/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
NELSON	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
NELSON	1/23/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
NELSON	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
NELSON	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

WASHINGTON

LOCATION	DATE	EVENT TYPE	DEATHS	INJURIES	PROPERTY	CROP
			DIRECT	DIRECT	DAMAGE	DAMAGE
WASHINGTON	1/29/2010	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	12/16/2010	Ice Storm	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	3/2/2014	Winter Storm	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	1/23/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	2/16/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	3/4/2015	Heavy Snow	0	0	0	0

SNOW & ICE Total Cost Number Vears Number Years Total Loss of Lips			,, <u>,</u>	01 11 11		0111120						
Events Years Loss of Life Injuries Cost Per Vear Cost Per Vear Cost Per Vear Cost Per Vear Loss of Life Per Vear Injuries Per Year Injuries Per Year BRECKINRIDGE \$1,411,082 40 54.5 0.31 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Hardinsburg \$0 0 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 0.29 3.41 \$36.365 \$47,176 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.08 Careyulle \$0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	SNOW & ICE	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
Life Life Year Event Life Per Year Per Y			Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Cost Per	Cost Per	Loss of	Loss of	Injuries	Injuries
BRECKINRIDG \$1.411,082 40 54.5 0.31 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.03 0.05 Hardinsburg \$0 0 1 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Hardinsburg \$0 0 1 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Hardinsburg \$0 0 1 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.08 Careyulle \$0 0 1 1.83 \$25,891 \$36,356 \$47,176 0.01 0.06 0.08 Careyulle \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					Life		Year	Event	Life Per	Life Per	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE \$1,411,082 40 54.5 0.31 1.83 \$25,891 \$35,277 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Cloverport \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<									Year	Event		
Cloverport S0 O Image I	BRECKINRIDGE	\$1,411,082	40	54.5	0.31	1.83	\$25,891	\$35,277	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
Hardinsburg Invington \$\$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1</th1<>	Cloverport	\$0	0									
Irvington \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< td=""><td>Hardinsburg</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<>	Hardinsburg	\$0	0									
GRAYSON \$1,981,398 42 54.5 0.29 3.41 \$36,356 \$47,176 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.08 Caneyulle \$0 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Irvington	\$0	0									
Caneyville S0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th< td=""><td>GRAYSON</td><td>\$1,981,398</td><td>42</td><td>54.5</td><td>0.29</td><td>3.41</td><td>\$36,356</td><td>\$47,176</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.06</td><td>0.08</td></th<>	GRAYSON	\$1,981,398	42	54.5	0.29	3.41	\$36,356	\$47,176	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Clarkson S0 O Image: Clarkso	Caneyville	\$0	0									
Leitchfield \$0 0 1 0 1 0 1 HARDIN \$2,792,155 45 54.5 0.29 3.47 \$51,232 \$62,048 0.01 0.06 0.08 Elizabethtown \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <	Clarkson	\$0	0									
HARDIN \$2,792,155 45 54.5 0.29 3.47 \$51,232 \$62,048 0.01 0.06 0.08 Radcliff \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>Leitchfield</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Leitchfield	\$0	0									
Elizabethtown \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	HARDIN	\$2,792,155	45	54.5	0.29	3.47	\$51,232	\$62,048	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Radcliff \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Elizabethtown	\$0	0									
Sonora \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td>Radcliff</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Radcliff	\$0	0									
Upton \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Sonora</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Sonora	\$0	0									
Vine Grove \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th< td=""><td>Upton</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Upton	\$0	0									
West Point \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th< td=""><td>Vine Grove</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Vine Grove	\$0	0									
LARUE \$1,050,662 38 54.5 0.29 3.36 \$19,278 \$27,649 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.09 MARION \$2,681,555 32 54.5 0.29 3.36 \$49,203 \$83,799 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.11 Bradfordsville \$0 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	West Point	\$0	0									
Hodgenville \$0 0	LARUE	\$1,050,662	38	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$19,278	\$27,649	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.09
MARION \$2,681,555 32 54.5 0.29 3.36 \$49,203 \$83,799 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.11 Bradfordsville \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>Hodgenville</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Hodgenville	\$0	0									
Bradfordsville \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1</th1<>	MARION	\$2,681,555	32	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$49,203	\$83,799	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.11
Lebanon \$0 0	Bradfordsville	\$0	0									
Loretto \$0 0	Lebanon	\$0	0									
Raywick \$0 0 1.420,840 40 54.5 0.29 1.81 \$26,070 \$35,521 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Brandenburg \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Loretto	\$0	0									
MEADE \$1,420,840 40 54.5 0.29 1.81 \$26,070 \$35,521 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.05 Brandenburg \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Raywick	\$0	0									
Brandenburg \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>MEADE</td><td>\$1,420,840</td><td>40</td><td>54.5</td><td>0.29</td><td>1.81</td><td>\$26,070</td><td>\$35,521</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.03</td><td>0.05</td></t<>	MEADE	\$1,420,840	40	54.5	0.29	1.81	\$26,070	\$35,521	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
Ekron \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Brandenburg</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Brandenburg	\$0	0									
Muldraugh \$0 0 41 54.5 1.29 3.47 \$42,333 \$56,272 0.02 0.03 0.06 0.08 Bardstown \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ekron	\$0	0									
NELSON \$2,307,155 41 54.5 1.29 3.47 \$42,333 \$56,272 0.02 0.03 0.06 0.08 Bardstown \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Muldraugh	\$0	0									
Bardstown \$0 0	NELSON	\$2,307,155	41	54.5	1.29	3.47	\$42,333	\$56,272	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.08
Bloomfield \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< <="" td=""><td>Bardstown</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<></th1<></th1<></th1<>	Bardstown	\$0	0									
Fairfield \$0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 <th1<< td=""><td>Bloomfield</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<<></th1<>	Bloomfield	\$0	0									
New Haven \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fairfield	\$0	0									
WASHINGTON \$2,697,743 42 54.5 0.37 3.48 \$49,500 \$64,232 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.08 Mackville \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>New Haven</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	New Haven	\$0	0									
Mackville \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	WASHINGTON	\$2,697,743	42	54.5	0.37	3.48	\$49,500	\$64,232	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
Springfield \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>Mackville</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Mackville	\$0	0									
Willisburg \$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th< td=""><td>Springfield</td><td>\$0</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Springfield	\$0	0									
LTADD \$16,342,589 320 54.5 3.42 24.19 \$299,864 \$51,071 0.06 0.01 0.44 0.08	Willisburg	\$0	0									
	LTADD	\$16,342,589	320	54.5	3.42	24.19	\$299,864	\$51,071	0.06	0.01	0.44	0.08

Table 3.3.2.4.5 - Summary of Winter Storm Data, Costs

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

	10 0	anna	.,			Data, Di	Unico			
SNOW & ICE	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50 Year				
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Year Record	Year Record	Record
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year
BRECKINRIDGE	40	54.5	14	20	35	1.36	73.39%	1.4	1	0.7
Cloverport	0									
Hardinsburg	0	1								
Irvington	0									
GRAYSON	42	54.5	15	21	38	1.30	77.06%	1.5	1.05	0.76
Caneyville	0									
Clarkson	0									
Leitchfield	0									
HARDIN	45	54.5	17	23	41	1.21	82.57%	1.7	1.15	0.82
Elizabethtown	0									
Radcliff	0	1		T						
Sonora	0									
Upton	0									
Vine Grove	0									
West Point	0									
LARUE	38	54.5	12	17	34	1.43	69.72%	1.2	0.85	0.68
Hodgenville	0									
MARION	32	54.5	7	11	28	1.70	58.72%	0.7	0.55	0.56
Bradfordsville	0									
Lebanon	0									
Loretto	0									
Raywick	0									
MEADE	40	54.5	15	19	36	1.36	73.39%	1.5	0.95	0.72
Brandenburg	0									
Ekron	0									
Muldraugh	0									
NELSON	41	54.5	13	18	37	1.33	75.23%	1.3	0.9	0.74
Bardstown	0									
Bloomfield	0									
Fairfield	0									
New Haven	0									
WASHINGTON	42	54.5	10	14	34	1.30	77.06%	1	0.7	0.68
Mackville	0									
Springfield	0	1								
Willisburg	0	1								
LTADD	320	54.5	103	143	283	0.17	587.16%	10.3	7.15	5.66

Table 3.3.2.4.6 - Summary of Winter Storm Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

3.3.2.5 Lightning

I. Background

Definition: Lightning is a sudden electrostatic discharge during an electrical storm between electrically charged regions of a cloud, between that cloud and another cloud, or between a cloud and the ground. This discharge temporarily equalizes the charged regions in the atmosphere and is called a strike if it hits the ground. Although lightning is always accompanied by thunder, distant lightning may be seen, but be too far away to be heard.

Formation of Lightning

Lightning is usually produced by cumulonimbus clouds, which have bases that are typically 0.6 to 1.25 miles above the ground and tops up to 9.3 miles in height. Lightning originates about 15.000 to 25.000 feet above sea level when raindrops are lifted upward until some of



Lightning strike in Brandenburg, 2008. Source: Meade County Emergency Management.

them convert to ice. Cloud to ground lightning begins in this mixed water and ice region. As these particles collide, they create an electric charge and these charges accumulate, filling up the entire cloud. Positive charges or protons form at the top of the cloud while the negative charges or electrons, form at the bottom of the cloud. Because opposites attract, this activity causes a positive charge to build up on the ground beneath the cloud. The electric charge on the ground builds up around anything that sticks up, such as mountains, people or a single tree. The charge

coming up from these points eventually connects with a charge coming down from the clouds and creates a lightning strike. As a charge moves downward from a cloud, it does so in 50-vard increments called step leaders. It keeps moving toward the ground in these steps and produces a channel along which the charge is deposited until it encounters something on the ground that is a good connection. Once a

ionized air between the negative



conductive channel form bridges the Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; National Lightning Safety Institute

charges in the cloud and the positive charges on ground, a massive electrical discharge follows and neutralization of the positive surface charges occurs first. Then an enormous current of positive charges races up the channel toward the thundercloud. This is the "return stroke" and is the most luminous and noticeable part of the lightning discharge.

Types of Lightning

According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), there are three primary types of lightning. The type of lightning is determined by what is at the "ends" of a flash channel. Intracloud (IC) occurs within a single thundercloud; cloud-tocloud (CC) starts and ends between two different "functional" thunderclouds; and cloud to ground (CG) lightning primarily starts in a thundercloud and terminates on the Earth's surface. Occasionally, it may reverse direction, and run from the ground to a cloud. There are variations of each type of lightning such as "positive" versus "negative" CG flashes. Each has distinct characteristics that can be measured.

IC lightning is the most frequently occurring type of lightning. This kind of lightning may be observed at great distances at night and is often referred to as "heat lightning."

CC lightning is sometimes referred to as "Anvil Crawler" due to its characteristic of originating from beneath or within the anvil and scrambling through the upper layers of the thunderstorm usually producing multiple branches of dramatic strokes.

CG lightning can occur with both positive and negative polarity. "Negative" lightning is the most common type of CG lightning and originates in the lower negatively charged portion of a thundercloud. Positive lightning originates in the positively charged anvil of the cumulonimbus and may travel several miles from the anvil of the thunderstorm horizontally before veering towards the ground. A positive lightning strike can occur anywhere within several miles of the thunderstorm anvil. Positive lightning makes up less than 5% of all lightning. Ground to Cloud lightning is a type of CG lightning that is artificially triggered when tall, positively charged structures on the ground, such as towers on mountains, have been inductively charged by a negative cloud layer above, and is the origin of the lightning strike.

Lightning Dangers

Cloud to ground lightning can damage or destroy property and inanimate objects, and can kill or injure people and animals. According to NOAA, lightning strikes the United States about 25 million times a year and kills an average of 49 people annually. Almost all lightning occurs in summer, but can strike at any time of the year. The following safety precautions are recommended:

• If you see lightning or hear thunder, seek safe shelter immediately, preferably in a building with plumbing and electricity or a metal-topped vehicle with the windows closed.

- Stay off of corded phones.
- Avoid Plumbing, electrical equipment and cords, bodies of water or standing water.
- Stay away from windows and doors and stay off of porches.
- Do not lie on concrete floors or lean against concrete walls.
- Stay away from trees.
- Stay away from groups of people in the open.
- Rubber soled shoes will not give a person any meaningful protection from lightning.
- A lightning flash is no more than one inch wide.

Vulnerability

Lightning is a hazard that should be taken very seriously. Knowledge about the effects of lightning will help save lives and prevent injuries from lightning. In addition to death and injuries to people and animals alike, lightning causes fires and property damage.

Fatalities and Injuries

In addition to fatalities due to lightning strikes, many injuries occur as well. The chart below illustrates U.S. lightning fatalities between 2006 and May of 2015.

Table 3.3.2.5	.1 - U.S. Lightning Fa	talities from 2006 to	o May of 2015
Year	Male	Female	Total
2006	38	10	48
2007	40	5	45
2008	22	6	28
2009	28	6	34
2010	22	7	29
2011	19	7	26
2012	25	3	28
2013	17	6	23
2014	21	5	26
2015 (JanMay)	3	2	5
Source: NOAA and N	ational Weather Servi	се	

Injuries that occur from lightning strikes can range from cardiac arrest to personality changes, and include severe burns, brain damage, memory loss and other long-term effects. It should be noted that deaths and injuries to animals also occurs. The information below compares human fatalities to injury numbers between 1995 and 2013.

Table 3.3.2.5.2

Number of Deaths and Inj	Number of Deaths and Injuries Due to Lightning in the U.S. from 1995 to 2013										
Year	Deaths	Injuries									
1995	85	433									
2000	51	364									

2001	44	371
2002	51	256
2003	44	237
2004	32	280
2005	38	309
2006	48	246
2007	45	138
2008	28	216
2009	34	201
2010	29	182
2011	26	187
2012	28	139
2013	23	14
TOTAL	606	3,573
Source: NOAA		

Fires and Damage

Fires and property damage result from lightning strikes every year. According to the Insurance Information Institute (III), lightning strikes cost homeowners in the United States about \$674 million in 2013 alone, down 30.5% from 2012. The Insurance Information Institute estimates the average lightning claim in 2013 at \$5,869, down 24% from 2012. The U.S. Department of Commerce and NOAA attributed \$23.89 million in property damage as the result of lightning in 2013 and \$0.06 million in crop damage, for a total of \$23.95 million dollars in damages.

In addition to property damage, lightning starts fires. According to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), during the time period from 2007 to 2011, U.S. local fire departments responded to an estimated average of 22,600 fires per year as a result of lightning. Fires started by lightning peak during summer months, are more common in the months of June through August, and usually occur later in the afternoon and early evening. In the years 2008 – 2012, federal and state wildland firefighting agencies reported an average of 9,000 wildland fires started by lightning, to the National Interagency Fire Center. These fires tended to be larger than fires started by human causes. The average fire caused by lightning burned 402 acres, nine times the average area of 45 acres seen in fires caused by human action. Over the ten-year period from 2003 to 2012, forty-two U.S. firefighters were killed as the result of fighting fires caused by lightning strikes.

The table below shows homeowners' insurance claims and payouts for lightning losses between 2009 and 2013.

Table 3.3.2.5.3

Homeowner	s Insuran	ce Claims a	and Payou	ts for Ligi	ntning Los	ses from 2	2009 to 2013
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Percent	Percent
						Change	Change
						2012-	2009-
						2013	2013
Number							
Of	185,789	213,278	186,307	151,000	114,740	-24.0%	-38.2%
Claims							
Insured							
Losses	\$798.1	\$1,033.5	\$952.5	\$969.0	\$673.5	-30.5%	-15.6%
(\$							
millions)							
Average							
Cost Per	\$4,296	\$4,846	\$5,112	\$6,400	\$5,869	-8.3%	36.6%
Claim							
Source: Insu	rance Infor	mation Ins	titute. Stat	e Farm®			

Lightning Facts:

- Lightning is a giant discharge of electricity accompanied by a brilliant flash of light and a loud crack of thunder.
- A spark of lightning can reach over 5 miles in length and raise the air temperature by as much as 50,000 degrees Fahrenheit.
- A lightning strike contains a hundred million electrical volts.
- The immense heat and other energy given off during a lightning stroke has been found to convert elements in compounds that are found in organisms and may have played a part in the evolution of living things.
- The odds of being struck by lightning in the U.S. in any given year is 1 in 700,00 while the odds of being struck in your lifetime is 1 in 3,000.
- Positive lightning is especially dangerous because it can strike away from the rain core, either ahead of or behind the thunderstorm and can strike as far as 5 to 10 miles from the storm.
- Victims of a lightning strike do not retain any charge and are not "electrified." It is safe to help them.
- An umbrella can increase your chance of being struck by lightning.
- Lightning often strikes the same place repeatedly if it is a tall, isolated object.
- Most lightning victims are in open areas or near a tree.
- Lightning can heat its path through the air to a temperature that is five times hotter than the surface of the sun.
- All thunderstorms contain lightning.
- Volcanic material thrust high into the atmosphere can trigger lightning.
- Lightning also occurs in extremely intense forest fires, surface nuclear detonations, heavy snowstorms, and in large hurricanes.

III. Analysis

To analyze lightning as a threat to the Lincoln Trail Region, the generalized threat of lightning was identified by reviewing historical data.

The following tables outline the occurrences of lightning that have been recorded in a given county/jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail region since 1960. The level of impact is evidenced through the number of lives lost or individual injuries recorded, as well as the estimated cost of property and crop damage based on information reported to the National Climate Data Center which was subsequently rolled into the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). For the original plan, data was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data thru 30 June 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables show data for the entire period as reported by various sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past this was typically done at a county level. More recently, nearest place names have been used. Because of this, the records in the summation tables that pertain to individual incorporated areas, should not be considered all encompassing.

Table 3.3.2.5.4 - County Specific Data – Lightning, Source: NCEI

No Lightning events were recorded from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2015 for BRECKINRIDGE, LARUE, MARION, MEADE or WASHINGTON Counties.

GRAYSON

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
LEITCHFIELD	2/22/2012	0	0	\$15,000	0

HARDIN

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
(FTK)GODMAN AAF FT K	7/27/2010	1	2	\$0	0
SUMMIT	7/19/2012	0	0	\$300,000	0

NELSON

LOCATION	DATE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
BARDSTOWN	7/26/2012	0	1	\$0	0

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>					•			
LIGHTNING	Total Cost	Number	Number	Iotal	Iotal	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Cost Per	Cost Per	Loss of	Loss of	Injuries	Injuries
				Life		Year	Event	Life Per	Life Per	Per Year	Per Event
								Year	Event		
BRECKINRIDGE	\$289,285	25	54.5	0.04	0.36	\$5,308	\$11,571	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Cloverport											
Hardinsburg											
Irvington											
GRAYSON	\$423,574	31	54.5	0.04	2.36	\$7,772	\$13,664	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.08
Caneyville											
Clarkson											
Leitchfield	\$51,500	2	54.5	0	1	\$945	\$25,750	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.50
HARDIN	\$869,962	34	54.5	1.11	2.36	\$15,963	\$25,587	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.07
Elizabethtown											
Radcliff	\$100,000	1	54.5	0	0	\$1,835	\$100,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sonora											
Upton											
Vine Grove											
West Point											
LARUE	\$61,022	33	54.5	0	0	\$1,120	\$1,849	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hodgenville											
MARION	\$154,253	35	54.5	0.14	0.39	\$2,830	\$4,407	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Bradfordsville											
Lebanon											
Loretto											
Raywick											
MEADE	\$129,715	28	54.5	0	0	\$2,380	\$4,633	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Brandenburg											
Ekron											
Muldraugh											
NELSON	\$907,717	41	54.5	2.12	2.34	\$16,655	\$22,139	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06
Bardstown	\$30,000	3	54.5	0	1	\$550	\$10,000	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.33
Bloomfield											
Fairfield											
New Haven	\$525,000	2	54.5	2	1	\$9,633	\$262,500	0.04	1.00	0.02	0.50
WASHINGTON	\$223,179	36	54.5	0.12	0.34	\$4,095	\$6,199	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Mackville						. ,	. ,				
Sprinafield											
Willisbura											
LTADD	\$3.765.207	271	54.5	5.57	11.15	\$69.086	\$13.894	0.10	0.02	0.20	0.04
	, .,,,	_· · ·		2.01		+-- , -00	÷,	2.10			

Table 3.3.2.5.5 - Summary of Lightning Data, Costs

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

rubie bioliziolo bulliniary of Elghenning Dutit, Events											
LIGHTNING	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10 Year	Past 20	Past 50 Year					
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency %	Record	Year Record	Record	
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)		Per Year	Per Year	Per Year	
BRECKINRIDGE	25	54.5	0	0	21	2.18	45.87%	0	0	0.42	
Cloverport											
Hardinsburg											
Irvington											
GRAYSON	31	54.5	1	1	26	1.76	56.88%	0.1	0.05	0.52	
Caneyville											
Clarkson											
Leitchfield	2	54.5	1	1	2	27.25	3.67%	0.1	0.05	0.04	
HARDIN	34	54.5	2	3	29	1.60	62.39%	0.2	0.15	0.58	
Elizabethtown											
Radcliff	1	54.5	0	1	1	54.50	1.83%	0	0.05	0.02	
Sonora		1				1	1	1	1	1	
Upton		1				1	1	1	1	1	
Vine Grove							1		1	1	
West Point		1				1	1	1	1	† †	
LARUE	33	54.5	0	0	26	1.65	60.55%	0	0	0.52	
Hodgenville		1				1	1	1	1	1	
MARION	35	54.5	0	0	27	1.56	64.22%	0	0	0.54	
Bradfordsville						1	1	1			
Lebanon		1				1	1	1	1	1	
Loretto						1	1	1			
Raywick		1				1	1	1	1	1	
MEADE	28	54.5	0	0	24	1.95	51.38%	0	0	0.48	
Brandenburg						1		1	1	1	
Ekron						1	1	1			
Muldraugh		1				1	1	1	1	1	
NELSON	41	54.5	1	5	34	1.33	75.23%	0.1	0.25	0.68	
Bardstown	3	54.5	1	3	3	18.17	5.50%	0.1	0.15	0.06	
Bloomfield							1		1	1	
Fairfield							1		1	1	
New Haven	2	54.5	0	1	2	27.25	3.67%	0	0.05	0.04	
WASHINGTON	36	54.5	0	0	29	1.51	66.06%	0	0	0.58	
Mackville		1			<u> </u>	1	1	1	1	1	
Springfield		1			<u> </u>	1	1	1	1	1	
Willisburg		1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1	1	1	
LTADD	263	49	4	9	216	0.19	536.73%	0.4	0.45	4.32	

Table 3.3.2.5.6 - Summary of Lightning Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

3.3.2.6 Hail

I. Background

According to Merriam Webster, hailstones are layered and can be irregular and clumped together. Hail is composed of transparent ice or alternating layers of transparent and translucent ice at least 1 millimeter (0.039 inch) thick. This ice is deposited on the hailstone as it travels through the cloud. The ice is suspended aloft by air and strong upward motion until its weight is too much to be supported by the updraft and it falls to the ground. Although varied in size, the diameter of hail in the U.S. averages between 2.5cm (1 inch) and golf ball-sized (1.75 inches).



1Hail stones in Meade Co. April 2015. *Source: Meade County Emergency Management. Photo Geraldine Shanahan.*

Hailstones larger than 2 cm (0.80 inch) are considered large enough to cause damage. In the United States, the National Weather Service will issue a severe thunderstorm warning if it predicts hail that is 2.5 cm (1 inch) or greater in diameter.

Any thunderstorm that produces hail that reaches the ground is known as a hailstorm. Hailstones can grow to 15 cm (6 inches) and weight more than 0.5 kilograms (1.1 pounds).

Formation

The National Center for Atmospheric Research states that hail is possible within most thunderstorms because it is produced by cumulonimbi, and can occur within 2 nautical miles of the parent storm. Hail formation requires an environment of strong, upward air motion within the parent thunderstorm, high liquid content, great vertical extent, large water droplets, and lowered heights of the freezing level. Although hail and sleet are often confused for one another, and both are forms of solid precipitation, sleet falls generally in cold weather while hail growth is greatly inhibited at cold temperatures.

Facts

- The speed at which hail is falling when it hits the ground is determined by the size of the hailstone, the friction of the air it is falling through, the motion of the wind it is falling through, collisions with raindrops or other hailstones, and melting that occurs as the stones fall through warmer air.
- Speeds can range from 20 mph to 110 mph.
- The heaviest hailstone weighed 2.25 pounds and fell in the Gopalganj District of Bangladesh on April 14, 1986,

- The hailstone with the largest diameter officially measured 8 inches and fell in Vivian, South Dakota on July 23, 2010.
- The hailstone with the largest circumference officially measured 18.75 inches and fell in Aurora, Nebraska on June 22, 2003.
- Hailstones can accumulate, and depths of up to a metre have been reported.
- On July 29, 2010, a foot of hail accumulation was reported in Boulder, Colorado.

Source: National Weather Service and National Severe Storms Laboratory

Hazards/Vulnerability

According to the Federal Aviation Association, hail is one of the most significant thunderstorm hazards to aircraft. Hailstones that exceed .5 inches in diameter can cause serious damage to an aircraft within seconds. Accumulations of hailstones on the ground can be a major hazard to aircraft trying to land.

Accumulations of hailstones on the ground can also cause flooding by blocking drains, and hail carried by floodwaters can turn into a snow-like slush that accumulates at lower elevations. Accumulation of hailstones on streets and highways can cause traffic accidents.

Hail can cause damage to automobiles, aircraft, skylights, glass, livestock, and most commonly, agricultural crops. Wheat, corn, soybeans and tobacco crops are the most sensitive crops to hail damage. Hailstorms have historically been the cause of costly and deadly events throughout history.

II. Analysis

To analyze Hail as a threat to the Lincoln Trail Region the generalized threat of hail was identified and historical data on it was researched. The sources

Table 3.3.2.6.1 • Hail Conversion Chart								
Description								
Marble								
Dime								
Penny								
Nickel								
Quarter								
Half Dollar								
Walnut								
Golf Ball								
Hen Egg								
Tennis Ball								
Baseball								
Tea Cup								
Grapefruit								
Softball								

TORE	RO Hailstorm Inter	sity Scale (www.torro.or	g.uk/hscale.php)
	Intensity Category	Typical Diameter (mm)	
HO	Hard Hail	5	No Damage
H1	Potentially Damaging	5 - 15	Slight general damage to plants, crops
H2	Significant	10 - 20	Significant damage to fruit, crops, vegetation
H3	Severe	20 - 30	Severe damage to fruit and crops, damage to glass & plastic structures, paint & wood scored
H4	Severe	25 - 40	Widespread glass damage, vehicle bodywork damage
H5	Destructive	30 - 50	Wholesale destruction of glass, tiled roof damage, significant risk of injury
H6	Destructive	40 - 60	Grounded aircraft bodywork dented, brick walls pitted
H7	Destructive	50 - 75	Severe roof damage, risk of injuries
H8	Destructive	60 - 90	Severe damage to aircraft bodywork (severest recorded in British Isles)
H9	Super Hailstorm	75 - 100	Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open.
H10	Super Hailstorm	> 100	Extensive structural damage. Risk of severe or even fatal injuries to persons caught in the open.

of this information are the National Weather Service and the National Climatic Data Center. As the sub-committees reviewed data gathered from these two sources it became very clear that additional sources needed to be consulted. Property and crop damage was not included in the following tables. Insurance estimates from several local providers, indicated a drastically different scenario. For example, in 2002, straight-line winds hurled golf ball and larger size Hail causing an estimated \$109M in damages to residential, commercial and city owned properties across the Lincoln Trail Region. Trees were reported down throughout the region. In Marion County, the hardest hit of the eight counties, an estimated two thirds of the county was affected. Thirty windows in the Marion County courthouse were damaged. One local insurance provider reported over 2000 auto and 1000 property claims due to hail.





Hail stones in Meade County, February and March, 2015. Source: Meade County Emergency Management.

Other local events of note:

Grayson, Hardin & LaRue Counties

4/26/2011 – National Weather Service reported hail of 2 inches or more. Damage was reported to buildings at Hardin County Fairgrounds. Storms also included confirmed tornados, wind and flooding.

LaRue County

5/19/2013 - Sheriff's Department Reported: "The ground in some areas in LaRue County was white from the amount of hail that fell Sunday afternoon...the hail reached golf ball size."

Summary of Hail in the Lincoln Trail Region

Hailstorms do not adhere to geographic boundaries and have affected each of the eight counties in the Lincoln Trail Region. Many of these storms contained golf ball size or larger hail. The average hailstorm in the Lincoln Trail Region causes damage estimated to be \$231,554 per event. The following tables summarize the history of hail events that have been recorded in a given county/jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail Region since 1950. The impact of these storms is shown by the number of lives lost, individual injuries reported, and estimated economic losses. The level of impact is evidenced through the number of lives lost, individual injuries reported, and the estimated property and crop damage costs. This information was reported to the National Climate Data Center and subsequently rolled into data from the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). Data for the original Regional Plan was only available through 2003. The 2010 update provided data through June 30, 2009. This update shows only individual events for the period 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2015. The summary tables illustrate data for entire periods covered by the different sources. Note that there are many variations in recording the locations of the events over time. In the past, data was not recorded at a county level. More recently, scientists have used nearest place names. Because of this, data in the summation tables pertaining to individual incorporated areas should not be considered all encompassing.

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
MC DANIELS	5/15/2010	1	0	0	0	0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	5/17/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
HARNED	5/17/2010	1.25	0	0	0	0
GARFIELD	3/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
MC QUADY	3/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
BIG SPG	4/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	4/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
CLOVERPORT	4/26/2011	1	0	0	0	0
MC DANIELS	4/26/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
MC QUADY	4/26/2011	1	0	0	0	0
CLOVERPORT	6/15/2011	1	0	0	0	0
MC DANIELS	6/15/2011	1.5	0	0	0	0
HARDINSBURG ARPT	3/15/2012	1	0	0	0	0
MC DANIELS	4/26/2012	1	0	0	0	0
CUSTER	5/1/2012	1	0	0	0	0
IRVINGTON	5/31/2012	1	0	0	0	0
UNION STAR	5/31/2012	1	0	0	0	0
BASIN SPG	4/17/2013	1	0	0	0	0
GARFIELD	4/17/2013	1.75	0	0	0	0
IRVINGTON	4/17/2013	1.5	0	0	0	0
MC DANIELS	10/6/2014	1.25	0	0	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE CO.	4/2/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE CO.	4/2/2015	1	0	0	0	0

BRECKINRIDGE

GRAYSON

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
CLARKSON	5/15/2010	1.5	0	0	0	0
BIG CLIFTY	6/2/2010	1	0	0	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	4/19/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
DUFF	4/26/2011	1	0	0	0	0
SHORT CREEK	4/26/2011	1	0	0	0	0
CLARKSON	6/15/2011	1	0	0	0	0
YEAMAN	6/15/2011	2.5	0	0	0	0
BIG CLIFTY	2/29/2012	1	0	0	0	0
BIG CLIFTY	2/29/2012	1	0	0	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	2/29/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
CANEYVILLE	3/2/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
CANEYVILLE	3/2/2012	1	0	1	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	3/2/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
CANEYVILLE	4/3/2014	1.75	0	0	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	4/3/2014	1.75	0	0	0	0
SKAGGSTOWN	6/23/2014	1.5	0	0	0	0
CLARKSON	10/6/2014	1	0	0	0	0
LEITCHFIELD	10/6/2014	1	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON CO.	4/2/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0
GRAYSON CO.	4/2/2015	0.88	0	0	0	0

HARDIN

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
GLENDALE JCT	5/15/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	5/17/2010	1	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	5/17/2010	1	0	0	0	0
HOWE VLY	5/17/2010	1.25	0	0	0	0
RADCLIFF	3/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	4/20/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
VINE GROVE	4/23/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
EASTVIEW	4/26/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	4/26/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	3/15/2012	1	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	7/19/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
ROGERSVILLE	12/17/2012	1	0	0	0	0
ELIZABETH TOWN	5/22/2014	1.25	0	0	0	0
HARDIN CO.	4/25/2015	0.88	0	0	0	0

LARUE

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
BROOKS	4/24/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
BROOKS	4/24/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
MAGNOLIA	5/15/2010	1	0	0	0	0
UPTON	5/15/2010	1	0	0	0	0
MATHERS MILL	6/2/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
GATTON	3/15/2012	1.5	0	0	0	0
HODGENVILLE	4/17/2013	1	0	0	0	0
MAGNOLIA	4/17/2013	1	0	0	0	0
HODGENVILLE	5/19/2013	1	0	0	0	0
HODGENVILLE	5/19/2013	1.75	0	0	0	0
LARUE CO.	4/8/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0

MARION

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
GRAVEL SWITCH	3/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	3/23/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	3/23/2011	0.75	0	0	0	0
GRAVEL SWITCH	3/2/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
GRAVEL SWITCH	3/2/2012	1.75	0	0	0	0
GREENBRIAR	7/13/2013	1.5	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	7/13/2013	1	0	0	0	0
BURKES SPG	7/13/2013	1.25	0	0	0	0

MEADE

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
BRANDENBURG	3/2/2012	2.75	0	0	0	0
DOE VLY ESTATES	3/2/2012	1.25	0	0	0	0
RHODELIA	3/14/2012	1.5	0	0	0	0
BRANDENBURG	3/17/2012	1	0	0	0	0
BRANDENBURG	5/29/2012	1	0	0	0	0
MEADE CO.	4/25/2015	1	0	0	0	0
MEADE CO.	4/25/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0
MEADE CO.	4/25/2015	1	0	0	0	0

NELSON

		1				
LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
BARDSTOWN	3/12/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
COXS CREEK	3/12/2010	0.88	0	0	0	0
BOSTON	4/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
NAZARETH	4/23/2011	1	0	0	0	0
BARDSTOWN	4/26/2011	1.25	0	0	0	0
CHAPLIN	4/26/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
BARDSTOWN	5/23/2011	0.88	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/8/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/25/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/25/2015	1	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/25/2015	1.5	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/25/2015	1.25	0	0	0	0
NELSON CO.	4/25/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0

WASHINGTON

LOCATION	DATE	MAGNITUDE	DEATHS DIRECT	INJURIES DIRECT	PROPERTY DAMAGE	CROP DAMAGE
WILLISBURG	3/15/2012	1	0	0	0	0
ST CATHERINE	5/1/2012	1	0	0	0	0
BEARWALLOW	7/13/2013	1.25	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	4/8/2015	1	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	4/8/2015	0.88	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	4/25/2015	1.5	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	4/25/2015	2.5	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	4/25/2015	0.75	0	0	0	0

HAIL	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Iniuries	Cost Per	Cost Per	Loss of	Loss of	Iniuries	Iniuries
				Life		Year	Event	Life Per	Life Per	Per Year	Per Event
				-				Year	Event		
BRECKINRIDGE	\$4,925,750	77	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$95,646	\$63,971	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Cloverport	\$0	8	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hardinsburg	\$15,000	13	51.5	0	0	\$291	\$1,154	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Irvington	\$0	7	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRAYSON	\$2,438,935	84	50.5	0.01	0.5	\$48,296	\$29,035	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Caneyville	\$0	10	50.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clarkson	\$0	4	50.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Leitchfield	\$0	19	50.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARDIN	\$26,768,252	95	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$519,772	\$281,771	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Elizabethtown	\$0	19	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Radcliff	\$0	4	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sonora	\$1,000	7	51.5	0	0	\$19	\$143	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Upton	\$0	1	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vine Grove	\$0	1	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
West Point	\$0	2	51.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LARUE	\$1,969,355	59	58.5	0.06	0.56	\$33,664	\$33,379	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Hodgenville	\$0	5	58.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARION	\$35,497,179	58	53.5	0.06	2.56	\$663,499	\$612,020	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.04
Bradfordsville	\$0	1	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lebanon	\$30,000	6	53.5	0	2	\$561	\$5,000	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.33
Loretto	\$0	2	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Raywick	\$0	1	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MEADE	\$25,032,572	68	59.5	0.01	2.52	\$420,715	\$368,126	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04
Brandenburg	\$35,000	13	59.5	0	0	\$588	\$2,692	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ekron	\$0	2	59.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Muldraugh	\$0	0	59.5	0	0	\$0		0.00		0.00	
NELSON	\$22,857,556	71	53.5	0.06	1.56	\$427,244	\$321,937	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
Bardstown	\$0	16	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bloomfield	\$0	1	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fairfield	\$0	0	53.5	0	0	\$0		0.00		0.00	
New Haven	\$0	4	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WASHINGTON	\$10,875,034	51	53.5	0.06	3.56	\$203,272	\$213,236	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07
Mackville	\$0	0	53.5	0	0	\$0		0.00		0.00	
Springfield	\$150,000	6	53.5	0	3	\$2,804	\$25,000	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.50
Willisburg	\$0	3	53.5	0	0	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LTADD	\$130,364,632	563	59.5	0.28	12.3	\$2,191,002	\$231,554	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.02

Table 3.3.2.6.3 - Summary of Hail Data, Costs

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Tuble 5.5.2.	011 04	inninai,	<i>y</i> 01 11u	II Dutu,	, Litenia	<u> </u>				
HAIL	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50
	Events in	Years in	Events in	Events in	Events in	Recurrence	Frequency	Year	Year	Year Record
	Historic	Historic	Past 10	Past 20	Past 50	Interval	%	Record	Record	Frequency
	Record	Record	Years	Years	Years	(years)	chance/year	Frequency	Frequency	Per Year
							l	Per Year	Per Year	[
BRECKINRIDGE	77	51.5	36	52	74	0.67	149.51%	3.6	2.6	1.48
Cloverport	8	51.5	5	8	8	6.44	15.53%	0.5	0.4	0.16
Hardinsburg	13	51.5	7	12	13	3.96	25.24%	0.7	0.6	0.26
Irvington	7	51.5	4	7	7	7.36	13.59%	0.4	0.35	0.14
GRAYSON	84	50.5	34	54	82	0.60	166.34%	3.4	2.7	1.64
Caneyville	10	50.5	5	9	10	5.05	19.80%	0.5	0.45	0.2
Clarkson	4	50.5	4	4	4	12.63	7.92%	0.4	0.2	0.08
Leitchfield	19	50.5	9	18	19	2.66	37.62%	0.9	0.9	0.38
HARDIN	95	51.5	33	54	92	0.54	184.47%	3.3	2.7	1.84
Elizabethtown	19	51.5	12	19	19	2.71	36.89%	1.2	0.95	0.38
Radcliff	4	51.5	2	3	4	12.88	7.77%	0.2	0.15	0.08
Sonora	7	51.5	4	7	7	7.36	13.59%	0.4	0.35	0.14
Upton	1	51.5	1	1	1	51.50	1.94%	0.1	0.05	0.02
Vine Grove	1	51.5	1	1	1	51.50	1.94%	0.1	0.05	0.02
West Point	2	51.5	2	1	2	25.75	3.88%	0.2	0.05	0.04
LARUE	59	58.5	20	23	54	0.99	100.85%	2	1.15	1.08
Hodgenville	5	58.5	4	5	5	11.70	8.55%	0.4	0.25	0.1
MARION	58	53.5	11	18	50	0.92	108.41%	1.1	0.9	1
Bradfordsville	1	53.5	0	1	1	53.50	1.87%	0	0.05	0.02
Lebanon	6	53.5	3	6	6	8.92	11.22%	0.3	0.3	0.12
Loretto	2	53.5	1	2	2	26.75	3.74%	0.1	0.1	0.04
Raywick	1	53.5	1	1	1	53.50	1.87%	0.1	0.05	0.02
MEADE	68	59.5	20	40	63	0.88	114.29%	2	2	1.26
Brandenburg	13	59.5	8	13	13	4.58	21.85%	0.8	0.65	0.26
Ekron	2	59.5	0	2	2	29.75	3.36%	0	0.1	0.04
Muldraugh	0	59.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
NELSON	71	53.5	22	39	64	0.75	132.71%	2.2	1.95	1.28
Bardstown	16	53.5	6	15	16	3.34	29.91%	0.6	0.75	0.32
Bloomfield	1	53.5	0	1	1	53.50	1.87%	0	0.05	0.02
Fairfield	0	53.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
New Haven	4	53.5	1	3	4	13.38	7.48%	0.1	0.15	0.08
WASHINGTON	51	53.5	11	18	44	1.05	95.33%	1.1	0.9	0.88
Mackville	0	53.5	0	0	0	0.00	0.00%	0	0	0
Springfield	6	53.5	2	6	6	8.92	11.22%	0.2	0.3	0.12
Willisburg	3	53.5	1	3	3	17.83	5.61%	0.1	0.15	0.06
LTADD	563	50.5	187	298	523	0.09	1114.85%	18.7	14.9	10.46

Table 3.3.2.6.4 - Summary of Hail Data, Events

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.
3.3.2.7 Landslides

I. Background

Definition

According to the United States Search and Rescue Task Force, "landslides are rock, earth, or debris flows on slopes due to gravity. They can occur on any terrain given the right conditions of soil, moisture, and the angle of the slope. Integral to the natural process of the earth's surface geology, landslides serve to redistribute soil and sediments in a process that can be in abrupt collapses or in slow gradual slides."

While there are numerous kinds of landslides, they can be triggered by rains, floods, earthquakes, and other natural events, as well as human-made causes such as grading, terrain cutting and filling, excessive development and vibrations. The factors that cause landslides can be geophysical or man-made and can occur in developed areas, undeveloped areas, or any area where the terrain was altered for roads, houses, utilities, buildings, and even residential lawns. Landslides occur in all fifty states with varying frequency and more than half of U.S. States have landslide rates sufficient to be classified as a significant natural hazard.



Slump-earth flow showing nomenclature, Source: USGS Fact Sheet 2004-3072.

Cause

Landslide is a term frequently used to mean any fairly rapid movement of rocks and sediment downslope. However, a more accurate term to use is "mass wasting." Mass

wasting refers to a large variety of mass movement processes that wear away to the Earth's surface.

There are three main factors that control the type and rate of mass wasting that occurs at the Earth's surface:

- Slope gradient: The steeper the slope of the land, the more likely that mass wasting will occur.
- Slope consolidation: Sediments and fractured or poorly cemented rocks and sediments are weak, and more prone to wasting.
- Water: When slope materials become saturated with water, they may lose cohesion and begin to flow easily.

The three basic types of mass wasting are:

- Falls rocks fall or bounce through the air
- Slides rocks and/or sediment slide along the Earth's surface
- Flows sediment flows across the Earth's surface

Falls occur as a result of weathering. Steep mountain or hillside slopes are constantly

wasting away and are characterized by rocks falling and bouncing down slopes. These falls are triggered by freezing and thawing water, the growth of plants and their roots, earthquakes, or by people hiking on a slope. Falls occur in a matter of seconds, so they are difficult to observe.

Slides occur when a mass of slope material moves as an entire block. The most common form of a slide is a **slump**. A slump happens when a portion of the hillside moves downslope as a result of gravity.

A landslide is called a **flow** if the material moving downslope is being transported as a very thick fluid, rather than as a unified block of material.

Areas prone to landslides include:

- Existing landslides, old or recent
- On or at the base or top of slopes
- In or at the base of minor drainage hollows
- At the base or top of an old fill slope
- At the base or top of a steep cut slope

Areas that are generally safe from Landslides include:

- On hard, non-joined bedrock that has not moved in the past
- On relatively flat-lying areas away from slopes and steep river banks
- At the top or along the nose of ridges, set back from the tops of slopes



USGS Fact Sheet 2004-3072.



USGS Fact Sheet 2004-3072.

Features that may be present prior to a major landslide include:

- Springs, seeps, or saturated ground in areas that have not typically been wet before
- New cracks or unusual bulges in the ground, street pavements or sidewalks
- Soil moving away from foundations
- Ancillary structures such as decks and patios tilting and/or moving relative to the main structure
- Tilting or cracking of concrete floors and foundations
- Broken water lines and other underground utilities
- Leaning telephone poles, trees, retaining walls or fences
- Offset fence lines
- Sunken or down-dropped road beds
- Sudden decrease in creek water levels though rain is still falling or has just recently stopped.
- Sticking doors and windows, and visible open spaces; indication jambs and frames are out of plumb.



Landslide area in Nelson County along the Chaplin River. Source: LTADD Archive.

A landslide occurs when the stability of a slope changes from a stable condition to an unstable one. A change in slope stability can occur from a number of factors that act alone or in concert with one another. Natural causes of landslides include:

- Groundwater (pore water) pressure acting to destabilize a slope
- Loss or absence of vertical vegetative structure, soil nutrients and soil structure; all of these factors can be the result of a wildfire
- Erosion of the toe of a slope by rivers or ocean waves
- Intense rainfall
- Weakening of a slope due to saturation by melting snow and glaciers or by heavy rains

- Earthquakes that add loads to barely stable slopes or earthquake-caused liquefaction that destabilizes slopes
- Volcanic eruptions

Human activities that can affect the occurrence of a landslide include:

- Deforestation, cultivation and construction that contributes to the destabilization of a fragile slope
- Vibrations from machinery or traffic
- Blasting
- Earthwork that alters the shape of a slope or contributes new loads on an existing slope
- Removal of deep-rooted vegetation that binds colluvium to bedrock in shallow soils
- Construction, agricultural or forestry activities that changes the amount of water which infiltrates the soil

Hazards/Vulnerability

According to the United States Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2004-3072, landslides cause approximately \$3.5 billion (in 2001 dollars) in damages, and kill between 25 and 50 people in the United States annually. Landslides occur in all fifty of the states in the U.S. The casualties in the United States are caused primarily by rockfalls, rockslides, and debris flows. Natural disasters are a prime example of humans living in conflict with the environment. Because landslides can cause catastrophic damage and loss of life, it is imperative to have a good understanding of what causes disasters in order to prevent them from occurring or to avoid development in areas prone to disasters. Sustainable land management and development is an essential tool in reducing the negative impacts that can happen as a result of landslides.

II. Analysis

It is helpful to understand the physiographic characteristics of the Lincoln Trail Region to analyze the risk of landslides in the area. The Kentucky Physiographic Regions map included, shows that our region lies in four Kentucky physiographic regions; the Knobs, the Western Coal Field, the Outer Bluegrass and the Mississipppian Plateau or Western Pennyroyal. Each has distinct characteristics that define it and help determine the propensity for landslides. Information for this analysis comes from ARCGIS, Kentucky Geological Survey and historical information from County Emergency Management Agencies.



Physiographic Map of Lincoln Trail Region in Kentucky

According to the Kentucky Geological Survey, Kentucky has a combination of steep slopes, excessive water amounts, geology, and slope modifications that are the main causes of landslides. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet has compiled 870 geotechnical reports documenting landslides that have affected roads in Kentucky and resulted in significant costs. Between fiscal years 2002 to 2009, the Transportation Cabinet's records show repairs due to landslides and rockfalls totaled \$31.8 million. While damage totals specific Rock Slide on the Blue Grass Parkway in Hardin County. *Source: Kentucky Geological Survey.*



to the Lincoln Trail Region are not documented, it is definite that some of Transportation Cabinets repairs were done on local roads. From 2003 to 2013, the Kentucky Hazard Mitigation Grant program funded or will fund projects to acquire landslide-damaged homes or to stabilize an area, totaling \$5.3 million. In general, the State and local agencies that respond to or document landslides vary, and data pertaining to the collection, assessment, and documentation of occurrences is not consistent.

To date, Hazard Mitigation funds were used in Nelson County to buy out a home in danger of sliding down a slope. The property was purchased in 2006 and the structure demolished. The land was rezoned to green space in perpetuity. Meade County has imminent need to stabilize a bank slope on the Ohio River that threatens the local water supply, and Breckinridge County has project plans to stabilize slopes that threaten local roads.

Extent

While there are few documented reports of extensive damage as a result of landslide activity, the eight-county region has natural topographic and geologic features that render it susceptible to landslides when natural events such as ground water, intense rainfall, melting

snow and seismic activity are factored in. This is substantiated by the 2006 *Landslide* review written by Yoshimatsu and Abe. Yoshimatsu and Abe identify the Analytical Hierarchic Process (AHP) as a method to determine areas susceptible to landslides. Aerial photographs of areas prone to landslides are "layered." Scores are assigned to each "layer" of the micro-topography and susceptibility to landslides is a function of the summation of scores assigned to each factor of the photographed micro-topography in the landslide prone area. Based on this technology, and the topographic features of the Lincoln Trail Area including karst topography, steep slopes, alluvial soils and underground water movement, coupled with the regional propensity for heavy rains and melting snow; it can be determined that the region has the potential for landslide hazards.

Table 3.3.2.7.1 - AHP Score of Ranking of Susceptibility of Landslides		
Susceptibility Level	AHP Score	Percentage to Total
		Number of Landslides (%)
Level 1 (High)	62 > AHP Score	5%
Level 2 (Slightly High)	38 < AHP Score	25%
Level 3 (Slightly Stable)	24 < AHP Score	30%
Level 4 (Stable)	AHP Score < 24	40%
Source: Yoshimatsu, H.; & Abe, S. (2006). "A Review of Landslide Hazards in Japan and		
Assessment of Their Susceptibility Using an Analytical Hierarchic Process (HP) Method."		
Landslides. 3. 149-158		

As previously cited, landslides in this region have the potential to destroy structures, interrupt transportation lines and decimate regional water sources.

Probablity

In 2011, Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS) began constructing a landslide inventory database. As of August 20, 2014 the Kentucky Landslide Inventory illustrated by the above chart was completed. The inventory shows the number of documented landslides in the database per county for those counties with 10 or more landslides. In the Lincoln Trail Region there are 49 documented landslides; 16 in Breckinridge County, 12 in Grayson County, 11 in Nelson County and 10 in Hardin County. This yields approximately 3.5 years of data. A raw value for probability for an event occurrence for the Lincoln Trail Region would be historic recurrence interval of 0.07 and a historic frequency, chance per year of 1400%.



Chart 3.3.2.7.1 - KGS Landslide Inventory, Distribution of Landslides

Modified from "Distribution of landslides by county (10 or more landslides)", Source: KGS "Landslide Inventory: From Design to Application, 2014.

Note that according to KGS, there is currently no best practice or standard methodology to develop a database that could effectively model landslide susceptibility or risk. Much depends on the ability to collect locations and occurrences.

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-1

CLOVERPORT LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-2

HARDINSBURG LANDSLIDE



GRAYSON COUNTY LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-4

CANEYVILLE LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-5

LEITCHFIELD LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-6

HARDIN COUNTY LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-7

ELIZABETHTOWN LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-8

RADCLIFF LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-9

VINE GROVE LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-10

WEST POINT LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-11



HODGENVILLE LANDSLIDE





2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-14

BRADFORDSVILLE LANDSLIDE



LEBANON LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-16

LORETTO LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-17

RAYWICK LANDSLIDE



MEADE COUNTY LANDSLIDE



BRANDENBURG LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-20

NELSON COUNTY LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-21

BARDSTOWN LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-22

BRADFORDSVILLE LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-23

NEW HAVEN LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-24

WASHINGTON COUNTY LANDSLIDE



MACKVILLE LANDSLIDE



SPRINGFIELD LANDSLIDE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, L-27


3.3.2.8 Karst/Sinkhole

I. Background

Karst Topography:

According to the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, karst topography is characterized by barren, rocky ground, caves, sinkholes, underground rivers, and the absence of surface streams and lakes. This type of landscape results from the excavating effects of underground water movement on massive soluble limestone. While the term *Karst* originally was applied to a region of limestone on the Dalmatian coast of the Adriatic Sea, it has now been extended to mean all areas with similar features.

Karsts are found in widely scattered regions of the world such as the Causses of France; the Kwangsi area of China; the Yucatan Peninsula; and the Middle East, they are also found in Kentucky, Texas, Tennessee, Missouri, Pennsylvania and Florida in the United States. Although the karst topography in Kentucky is mostly on limestone, it can also occur in different types of rock such as dolomite, gypsum, and salt.

Certain conditions promote karst development such as well-jointed, dense limestone near the ground surface, a moderate to heavy rainfall; and good groundwater circulation. Limestone or calcium carbonate, is easily dissolved by slightly acidic water, which occurs widely in nature. Rain becomes slightly acidic as it passes through the air and picks up carbon dioxide (CO₂). Rainwater percolates along both horizontal and vertical cracks, dissolving the limestone and carrying it away in solution. Limestone pavements are produced when surface material is removed, and the vertical fissures along joints gradually widen and deepen, producing a grooved and jagged terrain. As the water continues to flow underground, it widens and deepens the cracks until they become cave systems or underground stream channels. All but a few of the cave areas in the world are areas of karst topography. A karst landscape is characterized by sinkholes, sinking streams, caves and springs.

Kentucky is one of the most famous karst areas in the world. Much of the beautiful scenery throughout Kentucky is the result of the development of karst landscape. The springs and wells inherent to karst landscape, provide water to many Kentucky cities. About fifty-five percent of Kentucky is underlain by rocks that could develop karst, given enough time, and about thirty-eight percent of the state has some karst development. Twenty-five percent of the state is known to have well-developed karst features. Karst topography forms the world's longest cave system; the Mammoth Cave System in Kentucky is over 350 miles long.

Karst topography is found throughout the Lincoln Trail ADD region. Parts of Meade and Breckinridge Counties, in the vicinity of Irvington and Brandenburg have extensive areas of karst topography that can be seen by driving the roadways through these communities. Saunders Springs Nature Preserve in the Fort Knox Military Reservation just west of Radcliff is an excellent example of karst topography. In addition, many large springs can be found west of Fort Knox in



Limestone formation in Meade County, 2005.

Meade and Breckinridge Counties. There are springs and caves within Otter Creek Park in Meade County and a spring at the Lincoln Birthplace National Historic Site in LaRue County called Sinking Spring.

Sinkholes

When a cave becomes large enough and its top extends close enough to the surface, the top collapses. This produces depressions called sinkholes. Sinkholes are characteristic features of karst topography. Sinkholes can coalesce

into larger depressions called poljen. Sinkholes collect surface

water running off the surrounding land, and the runoff goes directly into the groundwater. A sinkhole is an area of ground that has no natural external surface drainage. When it rains, water stays inside the sinkhole and usually drains into the subsurface. Sinkholes vary in size from a few feet to hundreds of acres, and range in depth from between one foot to several hundred feet. Some sinkholes hold water to form natural ponds.

Types of Sinkholes

Dissolution sinkholes are formed when bedrock is dissolved and carried away underground. These sinkholes develop gradually, over time, with occasional episodes of soil or cover collapse.

Cover-subsidence sinkholes develop gradually where the covering sediments are permeable and contain sand. In areas where cover material is thicker or sediments contain more clay, cover-subsidence sinkholes are relatively uncommon, are smaller, and may go undetected for long periods.

Cover-collapse sinkholes can develop abruptly over a period of a few hours, and cause catastrophic damage. These sinkholes occur where covering sediments contain a significant amount of clay. Over time, surface drainage, erosion, and deposition of a sinkhole turn it into a shallower bowl-shaped depression. Land Subsidence which can be a result of this action is included in a separate narrative at the end of this section.

Geologic Hazards in Karst

Human safety and economic losses are the results of most naturally occurring geologic hazards. There are two common karst-related geologic hazards: cover-collapse sinkholes and sinkhole flooding.



Karst System. Source: Kentucky Geological Survey

According to the Kentucky Geologic Survey, *cover-collapse* sinkhole occurs in the soil or other loose material overlying bedrock. As overlying soil is repeatedly wetted and dried, small amounts of soil are dislodged and carried away by the cave conduit draining the sinkhole. The collapse only occurs in the overlying soil, and not in the limestone bedrock.

Cover-collapse sinkholes can vary in size from 1 or 2 feet deep and wide, to tens of feet deep and wide. Soil thickness and cohesiveness determine the size of a cover-collapse sinkhole. Cover-collapse sinkholes in Kentucky are rarely more than 20 feet in diameter due to the thickness of soil, sand or clay, and bedrock fragments

that overlay the limestone bedrock. Unlike cover-collapse sinkholes in Florida that swallow entire houses and businesses, that is unlikely to happen in Kentucky where the overlay is less dense. However, cover-collapse sinkholes in Kentucky do severely damage buildings, drain farm ponds, damage roads, and wreck farming equipment.

The most effective way to avoid cover-collapse sinkhole hazards and damage is to avoid buying or building a structure on any sinkhole that has been filled. Before buying property, look for previous damage such as foundation damage and/or door frames and windows that are out of square. Also check all surrounding land for shallow impressions and arch-shaped cracks in the soil.

Sinkhole flooding occurs when there is more precipitation than the conduits and caves can handle. Unlike a normal stream channel, the cave conduit channel has a fixed diameter and cannot expand as flow increases. There are two types of sinkhole flooding. In the first type, the sinkhole conduit may be constricted and unable to carry water away as fast as it flows in. This can occur when the throat of



Source: Kentucky Geological Survey

the sinkhole is clogged by trash and junk, soil eroded from fields or construction sites, and sometimes by rock fall within the conduit. Or, at times, the diameter of the conduit is too narrow to handle the volume of water flow. The second type of sinkhole flooding is caused by discharge capacity being limited farther downstream. This can happen

when caves are blocked by trash or rock fall, have limited conduit size, or

from backflooding from other sinkholes. Sinkholes that may drain normally during moderate rain, may become springs and discharge water from their throats during intense storms.

All structures built in a sinkhole or karst valley are prone to flooding, and little can be done to mitigate future flood damage, except to move the structure. Some sinkholes are so large, that it is difficult to determine that a building site is actually a closed depression. It is always the best practice to consult a topographical map, inspect an area to determine its relative elevation, look for previous signs of water damage, and research historic flooding events.

Probability/Impact

The Lincoln Trail Region is dotted with sinkholes and underlain by karst topography. Extensive mapping of the area has been incorporated into local land use plans and most of these karst/sinkhole sites have not been developed or had

structures built on them. The maps included in this section illustrate how prevalent karst topography is in the Lincoln Trail Region. However, there is little quantitative data on historic sinkhole-related events that enables the prediction of the probability of occurrence, or to articulate the extent of impact that the hazard poses for the Lincoln Trail Region. The prevalence of karst topography in the region results in a 100% chance that either a sinkhole collapse or sinkhole flooding event could occur in any given year. Either scenario could result in the destruction or damage of structures and infrastructure and/or the loss of human life. The impact of local sinkhole hazards, is exemplified by the Quiggins Sinkhole Flooding event. Since quantitative impact reports for sinkhole hazards do not exist within the Lincoln Trail Region, research must focus on the type of karst topography prevalent in the area. The region is mostly underlain with a system of roofed-over creeks as opposed to actual sinkholes. Consequently, the majority of sinkhole related hazards have been those related to sinkhole flooding, and have been aggregated into damages and hazard events associated with flooding. Thus, the Quiggins Sinkhole flooding stands as an identified example of the impact and existence of regional sinkhole hazards.

A large area sinkhole is located in Radcliff in Hardin County. The significance and impact of the hazard was enough to justify the 2015 FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant financing of a sinkhole mitigation project that exceeds \$5 million. The Quiggins Sinkhole lies within the Happy Valley watershed and is concentrated around 24 acres of land. A primary hydrology study and FEMA environmental analysis used for the project's application relied on impacts to housing and public works within this area in the City of Radcliff. From this single event, hydrology studies determined damages based upon recurrence probability. Work to prevent sinkhole related flooding is currently underway and should improve water management in the area. The Quiggins Sinkhole Flood Mitigation Project will construct four retention basins and expand a fifth to mitigate the effects of widespread flooding. The Quiggins Sinkhole is one of 86 known sinkholes into which the City of Radcliff drains. Using the recurrence intervals cited, only impacts to housing and public works within the 24-acre site, for this one sinkhole were calculated.

Recurrence	Housing Damages	Public Works	Total Damages
Interval	(In Dollars)	Damages (In \$)	(In Dollars)
200	\$969,123	\$91,697	\$1,737,628
100	\$623,019	\$80,381	\$1,296,687
50	\$420,027	\$69,455	\$1,002,128
25	\$264,344	\$59,310	\$761,419
10	\$171,617	\$46,824	\$564,045
5	\$56,176	\$37,849	\$373,388
2	\$13,210	\$27,704	\$245,397
1	\$358,408*	\$19,900	\$525,190
* Refers to "Less Than One-Year Damages"			

 Table 3.3.2.8.1
 - Quiggins Sinkhole Impact Costs

When adjusted for inflation, the total benefits of mitigating the impacts of one sinkhole, using assumptions of one representative hazard event that occurred in Radcliff in 2008, was calculated at \$5,679,173.



Ongoing work on Quiggins Project, Source: Greg Thompson, News-Enterprise, March 2016.

Quiggins Sinkhole Flood Mitigation Project Location Map. Source: FEMA Environmental Assessment Feb. 2015.

In addition to sinkhole flooding effects, the prevalence of karst terrain within the Lincoln Trail Region must be considered when analyzing and thinking about the number and value of significant historic sites located in the area that may be situated on or near sinkholes. Rich in Lincoln history, the Lincoln Trail Region is home to many valuable historic sites such as the Joseph Holt House located on KY 144 in Breckinridge County and Lincoln's grandfather's homestead, the Lincoln Homestead, located outside of Springfield in Washington County. The Abraham Lincoln Birthplace, part of the National Parks system, is located outside of Hodgenville in LaRue County, on a site that was called the Sinking Springs Farm, an area underlain by twelve (12) sinkholes. All of these sites are valuable historic sites, priceless and irreplaceable. The 2003 Executive Order 13287: Preserve America states: "The Federal government shall recognize and manage the historic properties in its ownership as assets that can support department and agency missions while

contributing to the vitality and economic well-being of the Nation's communities." The federal government recognizes not only the intrinsic value of historic sites, but also the economic benefits associated with them that positively impact local property values, jobs, tourism and revenue. Consequently, the impact of sinkhole collapse at the Birthplace site would have a significant financial impact far exceeding the hazard event itself.

Extent

To exemplify impact, the Radcliff Quiggins Sinkhole Flooding event was used and shall be used again to address the extent of a sinkhole/karst hazard. Based on one 2008 event, multiple hydrology studies and an environmental assessment conducted by FEMA, determined that it was feasible that over \$5.5 million in damages could potentially result from the Quiggins Sinkhole in Radcliff. It was determined that the Quiggins Sinkhole was capable of discharging floodwaters at 11.9 cubic feet per second (cfs), analogous to the flow capacity of a 12-inch pipe. This could easily result in major flooding with as little as one inch of rainfall over a six hour period according to FEMA's 2/12/2015 "Environmental Assessment: Quiggins Sinkhole Flood Mitigation Project. City of Radcliff, Hardin County, Kentucky, DR-KY-1818-0012."

3.3.2.8.1 Land Subsidence

NOTE: This section previously stood alone as a specific Hazard in the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Plans from 2005 & 2010. It has been moved to this section as of the 2015 Plan.

I. Background

According to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), land subsidence is defined as the gradual settling or sudden sinking of the Earth's surface due to subsurface movement of earth materials.

USGS goes on to say that while land subsidence is a global problem, it impacts the United States substantially. More than 17,000 square miles in 45 states, have been affected by subsidence. The principal causes of land subsidence are aquifer-system compaction, drainage of organic soils, underground mining, hydrocompaction, natural compaction, sinkholes, and thawing permafrost. More than 80% of land subsidence in the United States is a consequence of human impact on subsurface water, and is an often, overlooked environmental consequence of our land water-use practices. Increased development of our land and water resources threatens to exacerbate existing land-subsidence problems and initiate new ones.

Cause of Subsidence

Several causes of land subsidence have been identified and include dissolution of limestone, mining, extraction of natural gas, groundwater-related subsidence, faulting induced, isostatic subsidence, drainage of organic soils, and seasonal effects. This section will only describe those that have a potential of threat in the Lincoln Trail Region.

Dissolution of limestone occurs in karst terrains where dissolution of limestone by fluid flow in the subsurface causes the creation of voids or caves. When the roof of a void becomes too weak, it can collapse and overlying rock and earth fill fall into the void and causes subsidence on the surface. This type of subsidence can result in sinkholes that can be hundreds of meters deep.

Seasonal effects impact land subsidence. Many soils contain significant proportions of clay that are affected by changes in soil moisture due to their very small particle size. Seasonal drying of soils results in a reduction of soil volume and a lowering of the soil surface. If building foundations are above the level to which the seasonal drying reaches they will move and this can result in damage to the building in the form of tapering cracks. Trees and other vegetation can have a significant effect on local drying of soils. Cumulative drying over a number of years occurs as the tree grows and this can lead to the opposite of subsidence, known as heave or swelling of the soil, when the tree declines or is felled. As the cumulative moisture deficit is reversed, over a period of time that can last as many as 25 years, the surface level around the tree will rise and expand laterally. This can be more damaging to buildings unless the foundations have been strengthened or designed to cope with the effect.

II. Analysis

To analyze land subsidence as a hazard in the Lincoln Trail Region, much research was done. Sources included FEMA, the Kentucky Geological Survey, the United States Geological Survey and County Emergency Management Agencies.

Lincoln Trail Region Subsidence

Subsidence is common in the Lincoln Trail Region; mostly as the result of erosion in areas along creek banks and in the karst topography. Quarry activity is common in the region, but the limestone rock extracted leaves highwalls that are fairly stable. Use of preventative agricultural practices and the proper use of land use management when siting construction projects will alleviate most of the effects of land subsidence in the Lincoln Trail region.





On the Ohio River in Meade County – Feb. 25, 2010, *Source: LTADD Archive*.

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-1

CLOVERPORT KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-2

HARDINSBURG KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-3



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-4

GRAYSON COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-5

CLARKSON KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-6

LEITCHFIELD KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-7

HARDIN COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-8

ELIZABETHTOWN KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-9

RADCLIFF KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-10





UPTON KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-12

VINE GROVE KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-13

WEST POINT KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-14



HODGENVILLE KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-16

MARION COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-17

LEBANON KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-18

LORETTO KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-19

MEADE COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-20



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-21



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-22

MULDRAUGH KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-23

NELSON COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-24

BARDSTOWN KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-25

WASHINGTON COUNTY KARST



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, K-26

3.3.2.9 Drought

I. Background

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) defines drought as a deficiency in precipitation over an extended period. It is a normal, recurrent feature of climate that occurs in virtually all climate zones. There are cases when drought develops relatively quickly and lasts a very short period of time, exacerbated by extreme heat and/or wind, and there are other cases when drought spans multiple years, or even decades.

The United States is vulnerable to the social, economic, and environmental impacts of drought. Historical weather records of United States indicate that there have been three or four major droughts over the last 100 years. Two of these disasters, the 1930's Dust Bowl drought and the 1950's drought, each lasted 5 to 7 years and covered large areas of the U.S.

According to the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), during the 31 years prior to 2011, the United States has experienced 114 weather/climate disasters where overall damages/costs reached or exceeded \$1 billion. The standardized losses for the entire 114 events exceeded \$800 billion. During that period, there were 16 drought events that totaled \$195 billion in losses; an average of slightly over \$12 billion per each drought event.

Drought is a normal, recurring global occurrence in most parts of the world. Drought is among the earliest documented climatic events, and tied to several biblical stories. Migrations of Hunter-gatherer populations in 9,500 BC Chile have been linked to drought, as has the exodus of early humans out of Africa and into the rest of the world about 135,000 years ago.

Measuring Drought

The Palmer Drought Index, sometimes called the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), is used to measure drought and is based on recent precipitation and temperature. Developed by meteorologist Wayne Palmer, the index is based on a supply-and-demand model of soil moisture to measure the departure of the moisture supply. The index is most effective in determining long-term drought and not as good dealing with conditions over a time period of weeks. The index uses 0 as normal with drought shown in terms of negative numbers. It also works to describe wet spells, using corresponding positive numbers. The PDSI is calculated based on precipitation and temperature data, as well as the local Available Water Content (AWC) of the soil.

NOAA utilizes the index to publish weekly, Palmer maps for the United States. Global Palmer data sets have been developed based on instrumental records beginning in the 19th century. The chart below illustrates the Palmer Drought Index.
Table 3.3.2.9.1 - Palmer Classifications				
4.0 or more	Extremely wet			
3.0 to 3.99	Very wet			
2.0 to 2.99	Moderately wet			
1.0 to 1.99	Slightly wet			
0.5 to 0.99	Incipient wet spell			
0.49 to -0.49	Near normal			
-0.5 to -0.99	Incipient dry spell			
-1.0 to -1.99	Mild drought			
-2.0 to -2.99	Moderate drought			
-3.0 to -3.99	Severe drought			
-4.0 or less	Extreme drought			
Source: National Drought Mitigation Center				

An alternative method is the Drought Severity Classification used by the U.S. Drought Monitor service. (<u>http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/Home.aspx</u>). It uses a scale of D0-D4 that has a direct relationship to the Palmer method illustrated

above.

Category	Description	Possible Impacts	Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI)
D0	Abnormally Dry	Going into drought: • short-term dryness slowing planting, growth of crops or pastures Coming out of drought: • some lingering water deficits • pastures or crops not fully recovered	-1.0 to -1.9
D1	Moderate Drought	 Some damage to crops, pastures Streams, reservoirs, or wells low, some water shortages developing or imminent Voluntary water-use restrictions requested 	-2.0 to -2.9
D2	Severe Drought	Crop of pasture losses likely Water shortages common Water restrictions imposed	-3.0 to -3.9
D3	Extreme Drought	 Major crop/pasture losses Widespread water shortages or restrictions 	-4.0 to -4.9
D4	Exceptional Drought	 Exceptional and widespread crop/pasture losses Shortages of water in reservoirs, streams, and wells creating water emergencies 	-5.0 or less

Table 3.3.2.9.2 Descents Coverify Classification

Source: http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/AboutUs/ClassificationScheme.aspx

Types of Droughts

Droughts are typically defined in three main ways:

- 1. Meteorological droughts occur when there is a prolonged period of time with less than average precipitation. A meteorological drought usually precedes the other kinds of droughts.
- 2. Agricultural droughts affect crop production or the ecology of the range. An agricultural drought can occur independently with any change in precipitation levels when soil conditions and erosion, triggered by poorly managed agricultural endeavors, cause a shortfall in the amount of water available to the crops.
- 3. Hydrological droughts happen when water reserves available in sources such as aquifers, lakes, and reservoirs fall below the statistical average. A hydrological drought tends to show up more slowly because it involves stored water that is used, but not replenished. As with an agricultural drought, this type of drought can be triggered by more than just a loss of rainfall.

Hazards/Consequences of Drought

Periods of drought can cause significant environmental, agricultural, health, economic and social consequences. Subsistence farmers and populations dependent on water sources for food are more vulnerable to famine and diminished economic means. Drought can cause a reduction in overall water quality when reduced water flows increase contamination of remaining water sources. Other consequences of drought include:

- Reduced crop growth or yield productions and carrying capacity for livestock
- Dust bowls and landscape erosion
- Dust storms when drought reduces the water content of the soil
- Damage to terrestrial and aquatic life habitats
- Hunger and famine due to reduced food crops
- Malnutrition, dehydration and related diseases
- Mass migration of humans and wildlife resulting in displaced people and animals
- Reduced electricity as a result of low water flow through hydroelectric dams
- Water shortages for residential and industrial users
- Snake migration that results in increased snakebites
- Social unrest
- Wildfires are more common during periods of drought and often result in loss of life and widespread property damage
- Exposure and oxidation of acid sulfate soils due to falling surface and groundwater levels
- Navigable waters can become unsafe for navigation as a result of drought
- Degradation of the environment in the form of erosion and ecological damage may occur as the result of drought

II. Profile

According to NOAA, there have been 17 recorded drought events in Kentucky since 1996. Three of these droughts caused serious damage to agricultural crops. In 1996, drought affected 20 Western Kentucky Counties and crop damage was estimated at \$154 million. In 2002, 22 counties were affected by drought with damages estimated at \$70 million. The drought of 2012 was a Level 2 drought in 24 Kentucky Counties and a Level 1 drought in an additional 66 Counties. The entire State was at least abnormally dry. Total crop production for State was at 47% of the usual annual yield and crop damage was severe. There was widespread shortage of animal feed as well. There were no deaths attributed to these drought events, however, they did affect agriculture, tourism, wildlife, residential and commercial water use, recreation, wildlife habitat, increased wildfires, electric power generation and water quality.

The map below illustrates the widespread effect and severity of the 2012 drought in Kentucky.





North Rolling Fork at Bradfordsville, Summer 2008. *Source: LTADD Archive.*

The chart below outlines significant drought events in Kentucky since May of 1930. The Lincoln Trail Region lies within the Central region of the Commonwealth. The 2012 drought affected the entire State with PDSI ratings ranging from -0.5 to -3.99.

Table 3.3.2.9.2 - Significant Kentucky Drought Events				
Time Period	Location/Region	PDSI Rating	Crop Losses	
May 1930 -	Bluegrass, Central,	-4.73	NA	
December 1931	East, West			
Fall 1939 – Spring	Central, Bluegrass,	-3.97	NA	
1942	East			
Summer 1952 –	West, Bluegrass,	NA	NA	
Winter 1955	Central			
Summer 1996	West	NA	\$154 million	
Summer 2002	West	NA	\$70 million	
Summer 2007	Statewide	-2.75	Unknown	
Fall 2008	Statewide	-2.75	Unknown	
Spring/Summer	Statewide	-0.5 to -3.99	Unknown	
2012				
Source: NOAA, KY Er	ergy and Environment	t Cabinet		

III. Analysis

To analyze drought as a hazard threat to the Lincoln Trail Region, research was done to determine what constitutes a drought and the far-reaching effects that it has. Historical events were researched and documented as well. Resources for information gathered include NOAA, the National Weather Service, the National Climatic Data Center, the National Drought Mitigation Center and the Commonwealth of Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet.

One drought event was recorded for any part of the Lincoln Trail Region between May, 1930 and June, 2015 per the sources cited above.

While drought events are not easily captured and reported, the table above is evidence of their occurrence. The back-to-back droughts of 2007 and 2008 were a hardship on local farmers and the 2012 drought adversely impacted the entire State.

Heat in concert with lack of precipitation often exacerbates drought conditions. The Kentucky Mesonet data below tracks maximum temperatures for the region over the last five years.

Maximum Temperature Table for Lincoln Trail Region from 2010 to 2015							
Source: Kentu	cky Meson	et					
Mesonet Station	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 Jan. – March 3	Average Station 5 Year Average 2010 – 2014
Breckinridge Co. (MQDY)	100.1	98.6	103.1	94.2	93.5	61.9	97.9
Grayson Co. (BLRK)	96.3	98.3	102.5	92.1	92.9	63.5	96.42
Hardin Co. (CCLA)	99.6	98.1	103.0	93.3	92.3	61.1	97.26
LaRue Co. (HDGV)	NA	82.1 Sept. – Dec.	103.1	90.0	90.6	62.3	NA
Marion Co. (LRTO)	NA	100.8 May – Dec.	102.7	90.5	92.6	62.8	NA
Meade Co. (BRND)	NA	100.4 March – Dec.	103.6	93.1	90.7	61.9	NA
Average	98.67	99.24	103.0	92.2	92.1	62.25 Jan. – March 3	97.04 Annual 8-County Regional 5 Year Average 2010 – 2014

Table 3.3.2.9.3

Kentucky Mesonet data for local precipitation over the last five years is included below. The region will closely monitor and track Mesonet data to help track drought conditions specific to the eight-county area.

Table 3.3.2.9.4

Precipitation Data for Lincoln Trail Region from 2010 to 2015 Source: Kentucky Mesonet							
Mesonet Station	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 Jan. – March 3	Total
Breckinridge Co. (MQDY)	29.63"	72.20"	39.77"	47.44"	45.28"	5.11"	239.43"
Grayson Co. (BLRK)	44.34"	71.22"	39.69"	55.93"	40.85"	5.82"	257.86"
Hardin Co. (CCLA)	38.70"	71.21"	50.07"	46.20"	42.21"	4.95"	253.34
LaRue Co. (HDGV)	NA	16.72" Sept – Dec.	44.77"	51.02"	43.38"	6.22"	NA
Marion Co. (LRTO)	NA	27.53" May – Dec.	48.22"	54.38"	42.51"	5.44"	NA
Meade Co. (BRND)	NA	48.80" March – Dec.	47.56"	49.55"	48.07"	4.11"	NA
Average	37.557"	71.543"	45.013"	50.753"	43.717"	5.275"	49.7166" annual 8-county regional 5 year average

	Percentage of	Percentage of Area in each drought category, Jan 1, 2000 to July 12, 2016.				
		Abnormally	Moderate	Severe	Extreme	Exceptional
		Dry	Drought	Drought	Drought	Drought
	NONE	D0	D1	D2	D3	D4
Breckinridge	78.0	22.0	9.6	3.3	0.7	0.0
Grayson	77.1	22.9	11.1	3.3	0.5	0.0
Hardin	78.6	21.4	10.3	2.5	0.5	0.0
Larue	77.6	22.4	9.9	3.4	0.6	0.0
Marion	76.3	23.7	10.5	4.0	0.7	0.0
Meade	79.4	20.6	9.2	2.9	0.8	0.0
Nelson	77.7	22.3	10.5	3.0	0.6	0.0
Washington	77.0	23.0	11.3	3.8	0.7	0.0
Lincoln Trail Region	77.7	22.3	10.3	3.3	0.6	0.0

Table 3.3.2.9.6 Summary of Drought Index Data

Source: U.S. Drought Monitor (http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/MapsAndData/DataTables.aspx)

Note: This data is based on the "Traditional Statistics" and may include multiple data in each category. It is possible to have a higher percent area for a higher category. Thus it may exceed 100% for any given area. This data is over a very short time span so it has limited use at this time to predict drought probability or correlate with any loss data. However, in the future further monitoring and data collection may yield more robust analysis.

3.3.2.10 Earthquakes

I. Background

According to the United State Geological Survey (USGS), an earthquake is "what happens when two blocks of the earth suddenly slip past one another. The surface where they slip is called the fault or fault plane. The location below the earth's surface where the earthquake starts is called the hypocenter, and the location directly above it on the surface of the earth is called the epicenter." This phenomenon results in a shaking, trembling, or concussion of the earth, often accompanied by a rumbling noise. The seismicity, seismism, or seismic activity of an area refers to the frequency, type and size of earthquakes experienced over a period of time.

At times, an earthquake will be preceded by a foreshock. A foreshock is smaller than an actual earthquake and will occur in the same place as the larger earthquake that follows. A foreshock cannot be identified as such until the larger earthquake has happened. The larger earthquake is called the mainshock and may be followed by aftershocks. Aftershocks are smaller earthquakes that follow a mainshock and can continue for weeks or months after the mainshock.

USGS explains "the earth has four major layers: the inner core, outer core, mantle and crust. The crust and the top of the mantle make up a thin skin on the surface of our planet. However, this skin is not all in one piece, it is made up of many pieces like a puzzle covering the surface of the earth. These pieces are slowly moving around, sliding past one another and bumping into one another. These pieces are called tectonic plates, and the edges of the plates are called the plate boundaries. The plate boundaries are made up of many faults, and most of the earthquakes around the world occur in these faults. Since the edges of the plates are rough, they get stuck while the rest of the plate keeps moving. When the plate has moved far enough, the edges unstick on one of the faults and there is an earthquake."

When the edges of the fault are stuck together, the rest of the block keeps moving, and the energy that would normally allow the blocks to slide past one another is being stored up. The force of the moving blocks eventually overcomes the friction of the jagged edges of the faults and causes them to break apart. All of the stored up energy is released and radiates outward from the fault in all directions as seismic waves. The seismic waves shake the ground as they move through it and as the waves reach the earth's surface, they shake the ground and anything on it.

Measuring Earthquakes

There are three scales for measuring the intensity of an earthquake. The *Mercalli scale* was invented in 1902 by Guiseppe Mercalli and uses observations of the people who experience the earthquake to estimate its intensity. This scale was subjective and dependent on the opinions of witnesses.

In 1934, Charles Richter developed the *Richter scale*. The Richter scale measured the magnitude of an earthquake using a formula based on amplitude of the largest wave recorded on a specific type of seismometer and the distance between the earthquake and the seismometer. Richter's scale was specific to earthquakes in California, but other scales, based on wave amplitudes and total earthquake duration, were developed for use in other situations and were consistent with Richter's scale.

The following chart compares equivalents for the Mercalli scale to the Richter scale and identifies some of the hazards associated with earthquakes.

Table 3.3.2.10.1 - Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale			
Mercalli	Equivalent	Witness Observations	
Intensity	Richter		
	Magnitude		
Ι	1.0 to 2.0	Felt by very few people; barely noticeable.	
II	2.0 to 3.0	Felt by a few people, especially on upper floors.	
III	3.0 to 4.0	Noticeable indoors, especially on upper floors, but may	
		not be recognized as an earthquake.	
IV	4.0	Felt by many indoors. May feel like heavy truck	
		passing by.	
V	4.0 to 5.0	Felt by almost everyone, some people awakened. Small	
		objects moved, trees and poles may shake.	
VI	5.0 to 6.0	Felt by everyone. Difficult to stand. Some heavy	
		furniture moved, some plaster falls. Chimneys may be	
		slightly damaged.	
VII	6.0	Slight to moderate damage in well built ordinary	
		structures. Considerable damage to poorly built	
		structures. Some walls may fall.	
VIII	6.0 to 7.0	Little damage in specially built structures.	
		Considerable damage to ordinary buildings, severe	
		damage to poorly built structures. Same walls collapse.	
IX	7.0	Considerable damage to specially built structures,	
		buildings shifted off foundations. Ground cracked	
		noticeably. Wholesale destruction. Landslides.	
X	7.0 to 8.0	Most masonry and frame structures and their	
		foundations destroyed. Ground badly cracked.	
		Landslides. Wholesale destruction.	
XI	8.0	I otal damage. Few, if any structures standing. Bridges	
		destroyed. Wide cracks in ground. Waves seen on	
		ground.	
XII	8.0 or	Total damage. Waves seen on ground. Objects thrown	
	greater	up into the air.	
Source: Mich	iaan Technoloc	lical University	

As the chart below illustrates, earthquakes are also categorized ranging from minor to great, depending on magnitude.

Table 3.3.2.10.2 - Earthquake Magnitude Classes				
Class	Magnitude			
Great	8 or more			
Major	7.0 – 7.9			
Strong	6.0 - 6.9			
Moderate	5.0 – 5.9			
Light	4.0 - 4.9			
Minor	3.0 - 3.9			
Source: Michiaan Technological University				

Table 3.3.2.10.3 - Earthquake Magnitude Scale				
Magnitude	Earthquakes Effects	Estimated Number Each		
		Year		
2.5 or less	Usually not felt, but can be recorded by	900,000		
	seismograph.			
2.5 to 5.4	Often felt, but only causes minor damage.	30,000		
5.5 to 6.0	Slight damage to buildings and other structures.	500		
6.1 to 6.9	May cause a lot of damage in very populated	100		
	areas.			
7.0 to 7.9	Major earthquake. Serious Damage.	20		
8.0 or	Great earthquake. Can totally destroy	One every 50 to 10 years		
greater	communities near the epicenter.			
Source: Mich	igan Technological University			

The newest scale for measuring the magnitude of an earthquake is the **Moment Magnitude Scale**. The moment magnitude scale is based on the total moment release of the earthquake. Moment is a product of the distance a fault moved and force required to move it. The moment magnitude scale estimates are about the same as Richter magnitudes for small and large earthquakes, but only the moment magnitude scale is capable of measuring M8 (read 'magnitude 8') and greater events accurately.

Causes/Prevention of Earthquakes

Earthquakes occur naturally due to the makeup of the earth and the constant movement that takes place between its tectonic plates. These quakes cannot be predicted ahead of time. However, scientists have mapped the major fault lines in the world and know where the greatest likelihood of an earthquake will occur.

While we cannot prevent natural earthquakes from occurring, we can significantly mitigate their effects by identifying hazards, avoid building structures in hazardous areas, building safer structures, and educating the public on earthquake safety. Earthquakes caused by human activity have been documented in the United States and various locations around the world. Earthquakes resulting from human activity include impoundment of reservoirs,

surface and underground mining, withdrawal of fluids and gas from the subsurface, and the injection of fluids into underground formations. Most man-made earthquakes are small and present little hazard, larger and potentially damaging man-made earthquakes have occurred in the past.

Hazards, resulting from man-made earthquakes, can be mitigated by minimizing or eliminating the human activity that causes them.

Effects of Earthquakes

The effects of earthquakes include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Shaking and ground rupture are the main effects of an earthquakes. This will result in damage to buildings and other rigid structures. The severity of the local effect will depend on the complex combination of the earthquake magnitude, the distance of the site from the epicenter, and the local geological and geomorphological conditions, which may amplify or reduce wave propagation. The degree of ground shaking is measured by ground acceleration. Ground rupture is a major risk for large engineering structures such as dams, bridges, and nuclear power stations.
- Fires can result from earthquakes when shaking or ground rupture damages electrical power or gas lines. When water mains rupture as the result of an earthquake, it becomes very difficult to stop the spread of fire once it is started.
- Landslide and avalanches can be the effect of an earthquake when the quake results in slope instability.
- Soil liquefaction occurs when shaking, water-saturated granular material (such as sand) temporarily loses its strength and changes from a solid form into a liquid. This can cause structures to sink into the ground and collapse upon themselves.
- Tsunamis are long-wavelength, long-period sea waves produced by the sudden or abrupt movement of large volumes of water. This can occur when an earthquake takes place under a sea or other large body of water. Large waves produced by an earthquake can overrun nearby coastal areas in a matter of minutes. Tsunamis can also travel thousands of kilometers across open-ocean and wreak destruction on far shores hours after the earthquake that generated them.
- Floods may be a secondary effect of earthquakes, if dams are damaged or destroyed. Earthquakes may also cause landslips to dam rivers, which collapse and cause floods.
- Human impacts as a result of an earthquake include injury and loss of life, road and bridge damage, general property damage, and collapse or destabilization of buildings. The aftermath of an earthquake may bring disease, lack of basic necessities and higher insurance premiums.

II. Profile

Kentucky Earthquake History

Most earthquake activity in Kentucky has occurred in the western portion of the State near the New Madrid seismic zone. As early as 1779, 1791 and 1792 earthquake activity was recorded in the northern and eastern portions of Kentucky. Between 1811 and 1812, about 2,000 to 3,000 tremors were felt in Kentucky as a result of an initial shock on December 16, 1811.

Over the next 100 years, a number of moderate earthquakes occurred in the State. A shock at Columbus, Kentucky on March 12, 1878 caused a section of bluff on the Mississippi River



to cave in. On October 26, 1915, an earthquake at Mayfield was reported to have shaken pictures from walls. A sharp earthquake, with an epicenter near the mouth of the Ohio River, occurred on December 7, 1915 and shook western Kentucky and adjoining regions. It was an intensity V to VI and was felt over an area of 60,000 square miles.

Other earthquake events were recorded in 1841, 1916, 1915 and 1924. About 75,000 square miles of land in Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, and Tennessee were affected by an earthquake, on September 2, 1925. The epicenter of the quake was near Henderson and

landslides were noted in the damage reports. At Louisville, about 100 miles away, a chimney fell and a house reportedly sank.



Slight damage was reported near Middlesboro Kentucky as the result of an intensity V earthquake on January 1, 1954. The earthquake that occurred on November 9, 1968 was measured as an intensity VII and did considerable masonry damage at the City Building in Henderson, Kentucky which was about 50 miles east, southeast of the epicenter.

III. Analysis

The data below is from the United States Geological Survey and depicts the chance of a major earthquake (5.0 to 9.2) in each of the Lincoln Trail Region's eight counties within a 50km area, within the next 50 years.

Table 3.3.2.10.4 - Earthquake Chance for the Lincoln Trail Region Counties			
County Chance of Major Earthquake			
	Next 50 years		
Breckinridge	1.09%		
Grayson	0.73%		
Hardin	0.50%		
LaRue	0.30%		
Marion	0.30%		

Meade	0.83%
Nelson	0.39%
Washington	0.41%
Source: USGS Database	

There is little likelihood that any part of the Lincoln Trail Region will experience a disaster as a result of an earthquake. However, the region must plan preparedness measures, and mitigate hazards by educating the public on earthquakes, using wise land use guidelines and by avoiding activities that increase the chance of creating a man-made earthquake.

There have been no recorded earthquakes with its epicenter in the region since the 2010 update was published per USGS. Source: earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/search.

The following maps illustrate the few documented historic earthquakes that have had their epicenter in the Lincoln Trail Region. It also shows the potential for future events by portraying the Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) values in shades of yellow and alluvial soils that have a higher potential for liquefaction. The PGA in the region decreases from west to east. The faults that exist in the Region are very old and inactive but are portrayed on the map by the black, ticked lines for reference.

BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, E-1

GRAYSON COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, E-2

HARDIN COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, E-3



MARION COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, E-5

MEADE COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



NELSON COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, E-7

WASHINGTON COUNTY EARTHQUAKE



3.3.2.11 Hurricane

I. Background

Merriam-Webster defines a hurricane as "an extremely large, powerful and destructive storm with very strong winds that occurs especially in the western part of the Atlantic Ocean." Typically, a hurricane is considered a tropical storm with winds of 74 miles per hour or greater. The storm or cyclone is accompanied by rain, thunder, and lightning.

Hurricanes are unique. Unlike any other storms on earth, hurricanes can be viewed from space as powerful, tightly coiled weather systems. Also called cyclones; the general term for all circulating weather systems, the storms move counterclockwise in the Northern Hemisphere over tropical waters. There are three classes of tropical cyclones:

- 1. **Tropical Depression** A tropical depression is an organized system of clouds and thunderstorms with a defined circulation and maximum sustained winds of 38 mph or less.
- 2. **Tropical Storm** A tropical storm is an organized system of strong thunderstorms with a defined circulation and maximum sustained wind of 39 to 73 mph.
- 3. **Hurricane** A hurricane is an intense tropical storm with a well-defined circulation and maximum sustained winds of 74 mph or greater. In the western Pacific, hurricanes are called typhoons," and similar storms in the Indian Ocean are called cyclones.

The National Atmospheric and Oceanic Agency (NOAA) further defines the attributes of a hurricane. "Hurricanes are products of the tropical oceans and atmosphere. Powered by heat from the sea, they are steered by the easterly trade winds and the temperate westerlies, as well as by their own ferocious energy. Around their core, winds grow with great velocity, generating violent seas. Moving ashore, they sweep the ocean inward while spawning tornadoes and producing torrential rains and floods. Each year on average, ten tropical storms (of which six become hurricanes) develop over the Atlantic Ocean, Caribbean Sea, or Gulf of Mexico. Many of these remain over the ocean. However, about five hurricanes strike the United States coastline every 3 years. Of these five, two will be major hurricanes (category 3 or greater on the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale)."

Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale and Associated Damages

The intensity of a hurricane is measured by 5 categories. The following scale provides examples of the impacts and damages associated with each category in the United States.

Table 3.3.2.1	Table 3.3.2.11.1 - Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale			
Category	Wind	Damage		
	Speed			
	(mph)			
1	74 - 95	Very dangerous winds will produce some damage		
2	96 - 110	Extremely dangerous winds will cause extensive		
		damage		
3	111 - 129	Devastating damage will occur		
4	130 - 156	Catastrophic damage will occur		
5	> 156	Catastrophic damage will occur		
Source: NOA	A - National Hu	rricane Center		

II. Analysis

Hurricanes in Kentucky

Although catastrophic damage can result from hurricanes, the geographic location of the Lincoln Trail Region and Kentucky precludes the State from experiencing this level of damage.

However, in September of 2008, Hurricane Ike caused widespread damage across eleven states including Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Although the storm made landfall in Texas and Louisiana, the effects were even felt in parts of Ontario due to the incredible strength and size of Ike.

In Kentucky, the Louisville area declared a state of emergency due to major damage, and the Louisville International Airport was temporarily closed. A utility spokesperson indicated that the area suffered its worst power outage in 30 years as a result of Ike. Near Covington, the Cincinnati – Northern Kentucky International Airport was also temporarily closed and the control tower evacuated. An apartment building in Covington also lost its entire roof. The Kentucky Governor declared a statewide state of emergency and many schools were closed or delayed in the first 3 days following the storm. Statewide, over 600,000 customers lost electricity as a result of the winds, and a boy was struck and killed by a blown tree limb in Simpsonville.

3.3.2.12 Tsunami

I. Background

The Nation Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration defines a tsunami as "a series of ocean waves generated by sudden displacements in the sea floor, landslides, or volcanic activity. In the deep ocean, the tsunami wave may come gently ashore or may increase in height to become a fast moving wall of turbulent water several meters high."

While a tsunami cannot be mitigated, the impact of a tsunami can be mitigated through public education, community preparedness, timely warnings, and effective response efforts.

Tsunami waves do not resemble normal sea waves. Instead of appearing as a normal breaking wave, a tsunami may initially resemble a rapidly rising tide. Tsunamis usually consist of a series of waves with periods ranging from minutes to hours, arriving in a "wave train." The height of waves can be tens of meters in large events. The impact of tsunamis is limited to coastal areas, but their destructive force can be disastrous and may affect entire ocean basins.

II. Analysis

Due to the geographic location of Kentucky and the eight-county Lincoln Trail Region, tsunamis do not pose a threat to Kentucky jurisdictions.

3.3.3 Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets

Summary: The geographic location of the Lincoln Trail Region, lends itself to some risk from most natural hazards. Therefore no community facility or location should be considered "safe" from any type of natural hazard event that could occur in the region. The following section summarizes the physical assets in the Lincoln Trail Region. This portion of the plan identifies information such as critical facilities, transportation infrastructure, and population patterns. In part, the definition of an "asset" is its value. When possible, an effort was made to determine a dollar value for each asset. Because parcel data originates from numerous, diverse sources, this information is difficult to quantify and summarize. The cumulative product of this research, is a "snapshot" of the vulnerabilities to the natural hazard events, that exist in the Lincoln Trail Region. In conjunction with the economic value of regional assets, risk has been evaluated as part of the section 3.3.4; "Estimating Potential Losses."





Various Critical Facilities in Lincoln Trail Region. Source: LTADD Archive.

Name	Population	Total	Median	Total	Median	Per Capita	Individuals Below	Percent Below
		Households	Household	Families	Family Income	Income	Poverty Level	Poverty Level
BRECKINRIDGE	20,040	7,213	\$38,907	5,178	\$46,870	\$18,101	3,928	19.6
Cloverport	1.285	509	\$24.637	, 327	\$32.039	\$15.014	438	34.1
Hardinsburg	2,477	929	\$30,673	548	\$38,056	\$16,534	473	19.1
Irvington	1,220	482	\$27,361	312	\$31,667	\$22,421	338	27.7
GRAYSON	25,997	9,897	\$32,339	6,803	\$41,216	\$19,115	6,057	23.3
Caneyville	564	509	\$25,417	140	\$39,375	\$18,084	129	22.9
Clarkson	1,105	929	\$24,464	267	\$35,938	\$12,651	349	31.6
Leitchfield	6,750	482	\$26,325	1,685	\$29,832	\$15,386	2,059	30.5
HARDIN	108,191	39,401	\$48,687	28,277	\$58,565	\$24,147	17,094	15.8
Elizabethtown	28,940	11,394	\$42,904	7200	\$56,650	\$24,834	5,354	18.5
Radcliff	22,552	8,856	\$45,550	5993	\$52,968	\$22,777	4,533	20.1
Sonora	552	197	\$42,386	141	\$56,250	\$28,711	52	9.5
Upton	1,131	314	\$32,593	231	\$34,076	\$12,348	543	48
Vine Grove	5,043	2,036	\$54,125	1430	\$62,391	\$26,193	545	10.8
West Point	914	380	\$28,547	248	\$34,583	\$16,120	265	29
LARUE	14,064	5,221	\$39,753	3,866	\$50,559	\$19,611	2,377	16.9
Hodgenville	3,223	1,283	\$29,464	857	\$33,306	\$16,836	774	24
MARION	20,045	7,368	\$38,719	4,755	\$47,360	\$28,865	3,408	17
Bradfordsville	424	171	\$27,083	112	\$33,810	\$12,610	63	14.9
Lebanon	5,587	2,464	\$21,983	1288	\$32,197	\$18,595	1,631	29.2
Loretto	668	280	\$29,231	173	\$41,458	\$15,868	197	29.5
Raywick	282	122	\$43,636	98	\$44,318	\$20,876	45	16
MEADE	29,210	10,342	\$46,756	7,872	\$50,574	\$20,898	4,936	16.9
Brandenburg	2,759	1,007	\$36,020	671	\$43,935	\$18,114	775	28.1
Ekron	129	36	\$31,667	28	\$30,833	\$14,005	46	35.7
Muldraugh	1,100	448	\$28,676	228	\$29,412	\$13,914	399	36.3
NELSON	44,540	16,571	\$43,833	12,171	\$54,708	\$23,545	8,106	18.2
Bardstown	12,568	4,949	\$30,354	3219	\$34,803	\$21,665	3,821	30.4
Bloomfield	1,124	439	\$37,344	317	\$43,036	\$20,888	155	13.8
Fairfield	128	48	\$52,500	36	\$53,750	\$24,514	4	3.1
New Haven	849	377	\$27,604	250	\$38,438	\$19,424	213	25.1
WASHINGTON	11,875	4,480	\$40,845	3,322	\$48,578	\$19,837	1,686	14.2
Mackville	249	105	\$55,288	80	\$62,500	\$23,005	44	17.7
Springfield	2,553	1,061	\$34,542	647	\$41,642	\$18,896	585	22.9
Willisburg	329	106	\$41,875	77	\$48,482	\$16,235	64	19.6
LTADD	273,962	100,493		171,091			47,593	17.4

Table 3.3.3.1 - Population Characteristics

Source US Census, American Community Survey - 2013, 5 Year.

Residential: Housing is an integral component of the social and physical environment of any community. The provision of adequate housing should be regarded as an element of the overall planning process. Although a challenging goal, the provision of sound and affordable housing for all citizens of the region can be aided by comprehensive planning programs as well as, sensible hazard mitigation strategies. The table below details selected housing characteristics across the region. As illustrated, the median value of homes ranged from the mid \$50,000s to the upper \$90,000s, in 2013. The exception is in the Cities of Cloverport and Ekron, where the median home value was below \$51,000, in 2013. The counties within the Lincoln Trail region have continued to realize an increase in the median

housing value since 1990. The increase over the last eight-year period was not as significant as in the period between 1990 and 2000. However, compared to the state housing increase, all eight counties surpass the state's 37% increase.

Name	Population	Total Housing	Occupied	% Mobile	Vacant	Owner	Renter	Ν	/ledian Hor	using Value	¹ و
		Units	Housing Units	Homes	Housing Units	Occupied	Occupied				
								1990	2000	2008	2013
BRECKINRIDGE	20,040	10,592	7,213	28	3,379	5,769	1,444	\$37,700	\$64,600	\$93,000	\$82,700
Cloverport	1,285	566	509	19	57	339	170	\$24,400	\$39,000	\$56,000	\$44,100
Hardinsburg	2,477	1,058	929	8	129	554	375	\$45,800	\$72,700	\$104,800	\$82,500
Irvington	1,220	596	482	7	114	318	164	\$37,500	\$58,100	\$84,100	\$71,800
GRAYSON	25,997	13,506	9,897	23	3,609	7,158	2,739	\$35,700	\$65,600	\$95,800	\$87,700
Caneyville	564	336	258	14	78	157	101	\$29,800	\$56,900	\$76,800	\$65,900
Clarkson	1,105	498	444	26	54	212	232	\$26,000	\$50,600	\$72,100	\$71,700
Leitchfield	6,750	2,956	2,674	6	282	1,289	1,385	\$40,100	\$66,900	\$95,200	\$83,000
HARDIN	108,191	44,211	39,401	11	4,810	24,780	14,621	\$58,300	\$88,300	\$143,500	\$140,600
Elizabethtown	28,940	12,757	11,394	3	1,363	6,020	5,374	\$59,500	\$98,300	\$162,800	\$155,400
Radcliff	22,552	10,222	8,856	13	1,366	4,063	4,793	\$65,000	\$83,500	\$134,400	\$121,400
Sonora	552	207	197	2	10	171	26	\$30,600	\$62,500	\$101,500	\$106,500
Upton	1,131	398	314	13	84	226	88	\$32,100	\$56,900	\$97,100	\$70,500
Vine Grove	5,043	2,230	2,036	6	194	1,569	467	\$52,200	\$81,100	\$136,000	\$121,200
West Point	914	441	380	14	61	202	178	\$25,600	\$54,500	\$79,900	\$81,100
LARUE	14,064	6,198	5,221	14	977	3,984	1,237	\$39,500	\$72,100	\$111,000	\$101,000
Hodgenville	3,223	1,457	1,283	3	174	567	716	\$39,300	\$65,900	\$93,000	\$79,900
MARION	20,045	8,164	7,368	13	796	5,664	1,704	\$39,500	\$70,300	\$105,000	\$100,200
Bradfordsville	424	193	171	46	22	103	68	\$16,100	\$27,500	\$37,500	\$54,200
Lebanon	5,587	2,720	2,464	6	256	1,427	1,037	\$39,600	\$64,100	\$93,900	\$95,400
Loretto	668	315	280	14	35	230	50	\$33,600	\$65,400	\$97,700	\$93,900
Raywick	282	124	122	13	2	113	9	\$24,200	\$68,500	\$109,000	\$111,800
MEADE	29,210	11,891	10,342	18	1,549	7,426	2,916	\$49,700	\$85,500	\$114,000	\$120,500
Brandenburg	2,759	1,165	1,007	5	158	511	496	\$47,900	\$75,400	\$108,000	\$106,800
Ekron	129	39	36	28	3	31	5	\$28,300	\$50,300	\$52,000	\$50,600
Muldraugh	1,100	513	448	18	65	130	318	\$37,000	\$54,900	\$58,000	\$70,000
NELSON	44,540	18,189	16,571	9	1,618	12,491	4,080	\$45,800	\$87,100	\$129,700	\$124,500
Bardstown	12,568	5,651	4,949	3	702	2,695	2,254	\$46,900	\$76,000	\$107,600	\$102,500
Bloomfield	1,124	509	439	10	70	328	111	\$37,700	\$72,900	\$108,000	\$95,500
Fairfield	128	52	48	8	4	41	7	\$40,400	\$49,121	\$52,000	\$82,500
New Haven	849	467	377	2	90	231	146	\$36,800	\$68,600	\$105,300	\$94,300
WASHINGTON	11,875	5,034	4,480	16	554	3,713	767	\$40,700	\$72,000	\$112,000	\$107,300
Mackville	249	109	105	0	4	85	20	\$32,200	\$56,700	\$85,800	\$88,300
Springfield	2,553	1,247	1,061	1	186	692	369	\$40,900	\$74,900	\$114,200	\$86,300
Willisburg	329	123	106	8	17	72	34	\$38,800	\$66,300	\$73,000	\$96,700
LTADD	273,962	117,785	100,493	15	17,292	70,985	29,508				\$121,802
Source US Censu	is, American Co	mmunity Survey -	2013, 5 Year.								
1 - This includes	values of owne	r occupied housi	ng only. It doe	s not inclu	de Mobile Home	es or home	s on lots ov	er 10 acres	s.		

Table 3.3.3.2 - Housing Characteristics

The data for 1990 and 2000 are Decennial Census, 2008 and 2013 are US Census Estimates.

Commercial: Currently, there is no inventory of commercial structures in the Lincoln Trail Region or for any of its eight counties or twenty-seven cities.

Industrial: The 2015 Kentucky Directory of Manufacturers, from the Economic Development Cabinet, reports that there are 172 industries in the Lincoln Trail Region. An estimated 18,960 people are employed by these industries. Due to rapid industrial growth, the dynamic nature of employment and the absence of individual dollar values, no further analysis for potential loss can be completed at this time. However, the number of companies and employees can't be disregarded when considering the economic impact of any hazard event.

Table 3.3.3.3 - Lincoln Trail Region Indu	stries	
Jurisdiction	Number of	Number of
	Industries	Employees
Breckinridge County	6	260
Garfield	1	5
Hardinsburg	5	255
Grayson County	31	3,270
Clarkson	2	142
Leitchfield	28	3,092
Millwood	1	36
Hardin County	46	5,871
Cecilia	3	147
Elizabethtown	38	5,466
Radcliff	4	155
Sonora	1	103
LaRue County	8	426
Hodgenville	7	424
Magnolia	1	2
Marion County	26	3,812
Lebanon	24	3,681
Loretto	2	131
Meade County	3	88
Brandenburg	3	88
Nelson County	42	4,318
Bardstown	32	4,104
Boston	2	79
Cox's Creek	5	86
New Haven	2	40
New Hope	1	10
Washington County	10	915
Springfield	10	915
Lincoln Trail Region	172	18,960
Source: Report: 2015 Kentucky Director	y of Manufacturers	

Agricultural: Agricultural lands are particularly susceptible to damage from drought, flooding, hail, and storms. The frequency and magnitude of events during a growing season is a major determinant of the impact of any natural hazard on crops. The natural hazards listed above, as well as lightning, could also affect livestock. The total estimated value of livestock, crops and agricultural related lands at risk in the region, is detailed in the following table.

Location	Number of Farms	Farmland	Cropland	Cropland in	Harvested	Estimated Market	Estimated Market
				Floodplain ¹	Cropland	Value Cropland and	Value Crop &
						Structures Per Acre	Livestock Production
			Acr	es		Do	llars
BRECKINRIDGE	1,304	259,774	116,614	1,614	94,705	\$2,399	\$79,537,000
GRAYSON	1,407	200,895	87,091	2,260	65,408	\$2,270	\$45,663,000
HARDIN	1,357	202,970	114,429	4,145	100,480	\$3,505	\$57,949,000
LARUE	720	111,975	66,947	3,600	60,759	\$3,422	\$41,877,000
MARION	1,016	166,417	70,227	6,101	61,911	\$2,693	\$56,491,000
MEADE	754	119,495	63,718	2,121	57,249	\$3,244	\$36,571,000
NELSON	1,326	187,755	97,168	9,325	87,325	\$3,363	\$64,439,000
WASHINGTON	1,011	140,948	55,949	2,368	48,388	\$2,560	\$33,770,000
LTADD	8,895	1,390,229	672,143	31,534	576,225	\$23,456	\$416,297,000
Source: USDA 2012 Ag	Census						

Table 3.3.3.4 - Agricultural Assets

1 - Comparison using USGS National Gap Analysis Program Landcover data, version 2, May 2011 and FEMA DFIRM Flood Elevation data 2007-2012. This comparision reflects more the current landuse for agriculture versus the potential landuse as with previous plans.

In addition unlike past plan data it focuses on land used for crops and excludes pasture lands.









Agriculture is an important economic factor in Lincoln Trail Region. Source: LTADD Archive.

Transportation: Each County has a fully equipped road department to maintain county roads and bridges. The Kentucky Transportation Cabinet is responsible for the remaining state roads.

Location	Road Miles ¹	Bridges ²	Airports ³	Rail Miles ⁴
BRECKINRIDGE	873	90	1	30
Cloverport	11			
Hardinsburg	19		1	
Irvington	11			
GRAYSON	934	117	2	34
Caneyville	4			
Clarkson	6			
Leitchfield	48			
HARDIN	1,152	221	2	70
Elizabethtown	169		1	
Radcliff	102			
Sonora	5			
Upton	7			
Vine Grove	34			
West Point	9			
LARUE	450	57		3
Hodgenville	14			
MARION	475	142		0
Bradfordsville	2			
Lebanon	32			
Loretto	2			
Raywick	1			
MEADE	554	22		24
Brandenburg	13			
Ekron	2			
Muldraugh	7			
NELSON	699	145	1	30
Bardstown	78			
Bloomfield	7			
Fairfield	1			
New Haven	4			
WASHINGTON	449	102	1	0
Mackville	1			
Springfield	16			
Willisburg	1			
LTADD	5,586	896	7	191
1. County Mileage inc	ludes Federal,	state and Cou	unty roads, K	YTC July 2015.
2. Bridges are on Fede Statistics, 2015	eral and State i	oads only, Bu	ıreau of Tran	sportatin
3. Paved Runways with	h some services	s, Bureau of T	ransportatin	Statistics, 2015

 Table 3.3.3.5
 - Transportation Assets



Transportation Facilities in Lincoln Trail Region. Source: LTADD Archive.

Utilities: The Lincoln Trail Region is served by numerous utilities, provided by various sources. The following tables detail the wastewater and water treatment systems currently operating in the Lincoln Trail Region. Due to future growth projections, there are several projects currently being considered by the regional water management council that pertain to line expansions and/or system upgrades.

County	Owner	Treatment Plant	Max Hydraulic	Ave Daily	Population
			Capacity (MGD)	Flow (MG)	Served
Breckinridge	Cloverport	Cloverport Stp	0.20	0.10	1,071
	Hardinsburg	Hardinsburg Stp	0.73	0.56	2,169
	Irvington	Irvington Wwtp	0.15	0.05	1,181
Grayson	Caneyville	Caneyville Wwtp	0.12	0.05	609
	Clarkson	Clarkson Wwtp	0.04	0.03	899
	Leitchfield	Leitchfield Wwtp	2.00	1.22	5,666
Hardin	Airview Estates Subdivision	Airview Estates Wwtp	Design - 0.055	na	na
	Elizabethtown	Valley Creek Wwtp	29.00	6.09	28,475
	Hardin County Water Dist #1	Radcliff	4.00	1.98	23,296
	Hardin County Water Dist #1	Ft Knox	6.00	3.30	included in above
	Vine Grove	Vine Grove Wwtp	0.71	0.35	4,226
	West Point	West Point Wwtp	0.10	0.78	839
Larue	Hodgenville	Hodgenville Wwtp	0.78	0.56	3,558
Marion	Bradfordsville	Bradfordsville Wwtp	0.04	0.01	305
	Lebanon	Lebanon Wwtp	3.00	1.20	6,011
	Loretto	Collection System Only	with Lebanon	with Lebanon	803
Meade	Brandenburg	Brandenburg Wwtp	0.91	0.25	2,782
	Doe Valley Association, Inc.	Doe Valley Wwtp	Design - 0.15	na	1,878
	Muldraugh	Collection System Only	with Ft Knox	with Ft Knox	936
Nelson	Bardstown	Bardstown	3.00	2.50	17,207
	Bardstown	Jerry L. Riley	4.00	0.75	0
	Bloomfield	Collection System Only	with Bardstown	with Bardstown	978
	New Haven	New Haven Wwtp	0.16	0.10	935
Washington	Springfield Water & Sew er Commission	Springfield Stp	0.88	0.47	2,705
Source: Kontucky I	nfrastructure Authority Water Resourc	oc Information System Sont 2015			

 Table 3.3.3.6
 - Wastewater Utility Assets

Source: Kentucky infrastructure Authority, water Resources information System, Sept. 2015

County	Owner	Water Treatment Plant Name	Design Capacity (MGD)	Total Population	Useage (Million Gallons)
Breckinridge	Hardinsburg Water	Hardinsburg Water	2.00	. 14,015	455.62
Grayson	Leitchfield Utilities Commission	Leitchfield	2.88	20,656	588.50
Grayson	Grayson County Water District	Grayson County	2.30	15,787	371.12
Hardin	Hardin County Water District #1	Pirtle Springs	2.70	124,996	964.44
Hardin County Water District #1		Muldraugh Plant	7.00	20.044	677.00
Hardin	Fort Knox System	Fort Knox/Central	3.50	38,241	677.09
		City Springs	3.00	75,922 1,579.	
Hardin	Hardin County Water District #2	Freeman Lake	3.00		1,579.22
		White Mills	8.10		
Hardin	West Point Water Dept	West Point	0.35	898	21.95
LaRue	Hodgenville Water Works	Hodgenville	0.50	11,161	192.76
Marion	Lebanon Water Works County Inc	Lebanon	5.20	20,820	786.28
Meade	Brandenburg Water Works	Barney Johnson	1.00	19,254	246.76
Nelson	Bardstown Municipal Water Dept	Sympson Lake	6.00	51,304	1,348.02
Washington	Springfield Water Works	Springfield	3.00	10,413	394.29
Source: Kentuck	v Infrastructure Authority. Water Resources	Information System. Sea	t. 2015		

Table 3.3.3.7 - Water Utility Assets





Critical Facilities are exposed to many hazards in the Lincoln Trail Region. Source: LTADD Archive.

Critical Facilities: These facilities may be public or private: they are critical components of effective loss mitigation and recovery efforts during and after any hazard event. When planning for and implementing hazard mitigation strategies, communities should give top priority to their critical facilities. For the purposes of this document the Lincoln Trail Region has identified their critical facilities to be those listed. The list identifies the location of each facility, not a specific government authority. The number of critical facilities remained constant with the only changes, as noted below, in the categories of government buildings, water storage tanks and wells.

Jurisdiction	Communications – Pubic Radio	Fire Stations(2)	Police Stations(2)	Government Buildings(2)	Hospitals(2)	Waste Water Treatment	Water Treatment	Pumping Stations(3)	Storage Tanks(3)	Wells(3)	Schools(4)
	Transmitters (1)					Plants(3)	Plants(3)				
Breckinridge	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	6	7	3	4
Cloverport	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	3
Hardinsburg	2	1	2	5	1	1	0	0	2	0	2
Irvington	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Grayson	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	8	10	0	1
Caneyville	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clarkson	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Leitchfield	2	2	2	5	1	1	0	2	4	0	5
Hardin	1	8	0	0	1	2	3	8	11	0	10
Elizabethtown	3	5	2	7	1	0	2	2	10	0	12
Radcliff	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	3	2	0	9
Sonora	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Upton	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Vine Grove	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	5
West Point	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1
Larue	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	0	2
Hodgenville	0	2	2	4	0	1	1	1	3	0	4
Marion	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	0	1
Bradfordsville	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Lebanon	2	2	2	8	1	1	0	1	1	0	7
Loretto	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Raywick	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meade	0	5	0	0	0	1	1	7	7	0	3
Brandenburg	2	1	2	5	0	0	1	0	1	3	5
Ekron	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Muldraugh	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nelson	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	9	17	0	3
Bardstown	1	2	2	8	1	1	0	3	4	0	12
Bloomfield	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Fairfield	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Haven	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0	1
Mackville	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Springfield	0	2	2	5	0	1	1	1	4	0	4
Willisburg	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total in Region	18	65	26	69	6	19	15	66	115	8	108

Table 3.3.3.8 Critical Facilities

Source: 1 - FCC

2 - LTADD Structure Inventory

3 - Kentucky Infrastructure Authority, Water Resources Information System, Sept. 2015

4 - KY Dept. of Education, Sept 2015

Special Critical Facilities: Dams represent a unique critical facility situation where the loss of the facility itself due to any disaster can lead to an additional disaster in the form of flooding.

Within the Lincoln Trail, eight-county region, there are 112 dams. Of the 112 dams in the region, 16 are owned by local municipalities, 67 are privately owned, 4 are federal, 3 belong to the Dept. of Transportation, 19 are owned by conservation districts, 2 by the Department of Parks and 1 by Fish and Wildlife.

The following chart lists all of the 112 dams in the Lincoln Trail Region by county, and shows each dam's current hazard class. The information below, was provided by the Department for Environmental Protection of the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet. The various dams listed are portrayed on the maps in section 3.3.2.1 Flooding.

Breckinridge Coun				
Name of Dam	County	Hazard	Owner Type	ТОРО
		Class		
Hardinsburg Dam	Breckinridge	Low	Municipality	Hardinsburg
Hardinsburg FFA			Dept. of	
Camp Lake Dam	Breckinridge	Moderate	Transportation	Hardinsburg
Dry fork Lake	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Glen Dean
Dam				
N. Fork Rough			Conservation	
River FRS #2	Breckinridge	Low	District	Custer
N. Fork Rough			Conservation	
River	Breckinridge	Low	District	Kingswood
FRS #1				
Honey Locust	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Cloverport
Dam				
John Smith	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Mattingly
Dam				
GE Bennette	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Mattingly
Dam				
Norfleet Dam	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Glen Dean
Blancehtte Dam	Breckinridge	High	Private	Hardinsburg
Breckinridge	Breckinridge	Moderate	Private	Hardinsburg
Walter Stennett				
Lake	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Kingswood
Dam				
Lee Thomas	Breckinridge	Moderate	Private	Cloverport
Lake Dam				
Mattingly Dam	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Garfield
Pape Dam	Breckinridge	Low	Private	Fordsville

 Table 3.3.3.9.-. Lincoln Trail Region Dams

Grayson County Dams							
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО			

Caney Creek FRS #1	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Caneyville
Short Creek FRS #7	Grayson	Low	Conservation District	Falls of Rough
Leitchfield Reservoir Dam	Grayson	Moderate	Municipality	Leitchfield
Pine Knob Dam	Grayson	Low	Private	Spring Lick
Caney Creek FRS #13	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Caneyville
Big Reedy Creek FRS #8	Grayson	Low	Conservation District	Ready
Caney Creek FRS #6	Grayson	High	Conservation District	Caneyville
Caney Creek FRS #9	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Spring Lick
Caney Creek MPS #2	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Caneyville
Short Creek FRS #8A	Grayson	Low	Conservation District	Spring Lick
Caney Creek FRS #5	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Caneyville
Caney Creek FRS #12	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Spring Lick
Wolford Lake Dam	Grayson	Low	Private	Millerstown
Caney Creek FRS #10	Grayson	Low	Conservation District	Spring Lick
Caney Creek FRS #3	Grayson	Low	Conservation District	Caneyville
Caney Creek FRS #7	Grayson	Moderate	Conservation District	Spring Lick
Ray Carter Farm Lake Dam	Grayson	Low	Private	Ready
Hickory Hollow Lake Dam	Grayson	Low	Private	Welch's Creek

Hardin County Dams									
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО					
Valley Creek	Hardin	High	Conservation	Elizabethtown					
MPS #4			District						
Valley Creek	Hardin	High	Conservation	Elizabethtown					
FRS #8		_	District						
Hardin Co.									
Sportsman	Hardin	Moderate	Private	Elizabethtown					
Lake Dam									
----------------	--------	----------	--------------	---------------					
Sanders Spring	Hardin	Low	Federal	Vine Grove					
Branch FRS #5									
Vallev Creek	Hardin	High	Conservation	Elizabethtown					
FRS #12		0	District						
Valley Creek	Hardin	High	Conservation	Elizabethtown					
FRS #3		-	District						
Sanders Spring	Hardin	Low	Municipality	Vine Grove					
Reservoir									
CL Ratcliff	Hardin	Low	Private	Constantine					
Dam									
Paradise Dam	Hardin	Low	Private	Lebanon					
				Junction					
Tom Murphy	Hardin	Low	Private	Colesburg					
Dam									
Douglas Dam	Hardin	Low	Federal	Colesburg					
(Upper) #1									
Douglas Dam	Hardin	Moderate	Federal	Vine Grove					
(Lower) #2									
Russell Knight	Hardin	Low	Private	Flaherty					
Lake Dam									

LaRue County Dams				
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО
Hodgenville RES (NF Nolin River)	LaRue	Low	Municipality	Hodgenville
LaRue Co. Sportsman's Lake Dam	LaRue	Low	Private	Hodgenville
Dixie Stock Farm Dam	LaRue	Low	Private	Tonieville
N. Fork Nolin River MPS 3	LaRue	High	Municipality	Hodgenville
Doug Sprowls Lake Dam	LaRue	Low	Private	Magnolia
Walter Smith Lake Dam	LaRue	Moderate	Private	New Haven
N. Fork Nolin River MPS # 15	LaRue	High	Municipality	Hodgenville

Marion County Dams				
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО
Marion Co.			Dept. of Fish	
Sportsman's	Marion	Moderate	and Wildlife	Lebanon East
Dam				

Lebanon	Marion	Low	Municipality	Lebanon West
Impound #1				
Lebanon	Marion	Moderate	Municipality	Spurlington
Impound #2				
Langford Dam	Marion	High	Private	Bradfordsville
Montgomery	Marion	Moderate	Private	Lebanon West
Dam				
George Dam	Marion	Low	Private	Lebanon West
Nerinx Dam	Marion	Low	Private	Loretto
Coon Hollow	Marion	Low	Private	Loretto
Hereford Dam				
Star Hill	Marion	Low	Private	Saint Catherine
Distillery Dam				
John Angel	Marion	Moderate	Private	Lebanon East
Lake Dam				
Lebanon Water	Marion	High	Municipality	Lebanon East
Works				

Meade County Dams					
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО	
Doe Valley	Meade	Moderate	Private	Rock Haven	
Lake Dam					
Grayhamton	Meade	Low	Federal	Rock Haven	
Lake Dam					
Hayes Dam	Meade	Low	Private	New	
				Amsterdam	

Nelson County I	Nelson County Dams					
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	ТОРО		
Maywood Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown		
Lake Sympson	Nelson	Moderate	Dept. of	Cravens		
Dam			Transportation			
Spooky Hollow	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Cravens		
(Lower) Dam						
Hurricane Lake	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Lebanon		
Dam				Junction		
JW Dant	Nelson	Low	Private	New Haven		
Distillery Dam						
Lake Johnson	Nelson	Low	Private	Nelsonville		
Dam						
Eagle Lake	Nelson	Low	Private	Lebanon		
Dam				Junction		
TW Samuels						
Distillery Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Samuels		
#3						

Melody Lake Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Loretto
Ballard Lake Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Loretto
Timber Trails Lake Dam	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Lebanon Junction
Heaven Hill Distillery Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown
Holt Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown
Barton Dam	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Bardstown
Bardstown Dam (Upper)	Nelson	Moderate	Municipality	Bardstown
Bardstown Dam (Lower)	Nelson	High	Municipality	Bardstown
TW Samuels Distillery Dam #1	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Samuels
TW Samuels Distillery Dam #2	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Samuels
Bloomfield Dam (Upper)	Nelson	Moderate	Municipality	Bloomfield
Bloomfield Dam (Lower)	Nelson	Moderate	Municipality	Bloomfield
Edwin Hagen Lake Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown
Daffodil Hills Farm Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown
Gobins Lake Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Fairfield
Spooky Hollow Upper Lake Dam	Nelson	Moderate	Private	Cravens
Bloomfield City Park Dam	Nelson	High	Municipality	Bloomfield
Jim Beam #3	Nelson	Low	Private	Lebanon Junction
Monterra Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Lebanon Junction
Stone Creek Farm Dam	Nelson	Low	Private	Bardstown

Washington County Dams				
Name of Dam	County	Hazard Class	Owner Type	TOPO
Springfield				
Reservoir (Old)	Washington	High	Municipality	Springfield

			•	
Springfield Reservoir (New)	Washington	Low	Municipality	Saint Catherine
Willisburg	Washington	High	Dept. of	Brush Grove
Lake Dam	C		Transportation	
Sam Nally Dam	Washington	Low	Private	Saint Catherine
Hays Farm	Washington	Low	Private	Brush Grove
Dam				
John Barber	Washington	Low	Private	Maud
Dam				
Hugh L.				
Grundy Farm	Washington	Low	Private	Maud
Dam				
St. Rose Lake	Washington	Low	Private	Saint Catherine
(Upper)				
St. Rose Lake	Washington	Low	Private	Saint Catherine
(Lower)				
Morrison Onan	Washington	Low	Private	Springfield
Farm Dam	***		.	
Goode Pay	Washington	Low	Private	Mackville
Lake	*** 1 •			D 1 G
Willis Walker	Washington	Low	Private	Brush Grove
Farm Dam				
Lincoln	XX 7 1 ·	T		
Homestead	Washington	Low	Department of	Brush Grove
State Park Dam	XX7 1 4	TT' 1	Parks	0
Sam Smith	Washington	High	Private	Springfield
Dam AW Tata Daw	W/1	T	Duinesta	Curring Co.1.1
AW Tate Dam	Washington	Low	Private	Springfield
I ruman	wasnington	Low	Private	Springfield
Lawson Dam				
Lincoln	Washington	Low	Doportmont of	Prush Grove
Dam #2	vv asinington	LUW	Department of Dertes	Diusii Olove
Daill $\# \angle$		1	1 a1K5	

Source: Kentucky Division of Water

3.3.4 Assessing Vulnerability: Potential Losses

I. Estimating Potential Losses:

The following section provides a compilation of potential economic and human losses that could occur as a result of a natural hazard in the Lincoln Trail Region. To determine this potential loss, the vulnerability (or risk) of a natural hazard must be determined and combined with the value of the assets, both human and economic. The resulting values are to be considered estimates, only due to an overall lack of comprehensive and complete data (event data and asset value data) and the limited sophistication of the methodology. While considered restricted in their use, the values do allow for the identification of various scenarios and should be helpful in the relative evaluation and prioritization of any proposed mitigation strategies.

Vulnerability Methodology: A review of the profiled hazards and the assets inventory, leads to a need to determine which physical areas are the most vulnerable to risk, in order to best devise solutions and allocate resources to mitigate potential hazard events. This results in a two phase approach. The first phase is the determination of the total potential economic and human cost of a particular event. In the second phase, these potential losses are allocated to the geographic areas that are identified with the highest risk for any particular natural hazard event.

A. Social Vulnerability: The published literature regarding natural hazard risk assessment notes that there is a definite social factor associated with each level of vulnerability of any particular area.¹ To incorporate this factor into the study the following methodology was used.

To establish a geographic area for the data used in this analysis, the basic US Census Bureau *Block* was used since it ensures the finest possible granularity. The statistical information used was readily available at this level for the entire LTADD region. The block boundaries used were from the 2010 Census.

Table 5.5.4.1 - Social Vuller ability Source offics				
Social Vulnerability Category	Basic Unit	Source		
Female Population	Block	2010 Census		
Under 18 Population	Block	2010 Census		
Over 65 Population	Block	2010 Census		
Minority Population	Block	2010 Census		
Total Population	Block	2010 Census		
Family Poverty Population	Block Group	2013 5 Year ACS		
Housing Units	Block	2010 Census		
Housing Type, Mobile Homes	Block	2010 Census		

Table 3.3.4.1 - Social Vulnerability Source Units

¹ See Cutter & Odeh.

In addition, the 2013 American Community Survey 5-Year cycle for family units below poverty level by Block Group was used, and included an economic standing risk in the calculations. This value was assigned to each Block that makes up the Block Group since the data is not available at a lower level. The values for each block were analyzed, according to its county as a whole, to generate a *Social Vulnerability (SV)*score². For example, the minority population score for an individual block is determined as follows:

 $SV(minority \, pop) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{minority pop in block}}{\text{minority pop in county}} \right) / \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{minority pop in block} \left[\max \text{value} \right]}{\text{minority pop in county}} \right)$

This calculation resulted in a SV score for each value in each block. The sum of these scores yields the *Total Social Vulnerability (TSV)* score for the block as a whole.

Below is a table that reflects the range and mean of the block scores for each county and for the region as a whole.

	ionity beer	C 5	
Social Vulnerability Scores	High	Low	Mean
Breckinridge	6.4188	0.1116	0.6908
Grayson	4.7429	0.0416	0.7122
Hardin	6.4849	0.0000	0.5165
Larue	7.6549	0.0000	0.6933
Marion	6.6224	0.0000	0.6697
Meade	6.4976	0.0000	0.6699
Nelson	8.5105	0.0000	0.6178
Washington	7.7614	0.1121	0.8838
Lincoln Trail	8.5105	0.0000	0.6372
Note: Zero values are generally the	result of no po	pulation in a	block. This

 Table 3.3.4.2
 - Social Vulnerability Scores

Note: Zero values are generally the result of no population in a block. This is typical for areas of open water but may occur in other areas of unused land.

² Each block's score was determined on a county basis and not as regional value to better reflect the highest risk areas in the individual county. The following maps of the vulnerability are scaled based on region-wide values.

Chart 3.3.4.1 - Social Vulnerability



B. Geographic Vulnerability

Several of the natural hazard events determined to be a significant threat (section 3.3, table 3.3.1.1) to the Lincoln Trail Region, are not typically bound by geography. The risk potential is therefore assumed to be the same throughout the Region for all natural hazards except for ones that generally have a specific geographic component such as karst (sinkholes), landslides / subsidence, earthquakes and especially flooding. Flooding (except for some flash flooding and Dam failure related flooding) is considered to be confined to the predetermined flood zones as defined by the FEMA DFIRM mapping data.

Because of its significant threat to the region, flooding is used for mapping and analysis. This version also includes a value score for data that is available for karst terrain, earthquakes and landslides.

To determine the *Geographic Vulnerability (GV)* score, the US Census block polygons from the 2010 Census were used in conjunction with the social vulnerability calculations. The historic frequencies for each county from the "Hazard Frequency Table" 3.3.2.1 were assigned to the individual blocks for non-geographic specific events. For events that are geographically based blocks, values were assigned based on the referenced geographic type as a percentage of each block area, for karst and landslide (slopes greater than 5%.) For earthquakes it was based on the Peak Ground Acceleration zone the centroid of the block was located in. For flooding it was allocated based on the presence of a 100 or 500-year flood zones in each block.

Geographic Vulnerablity Category	Basic Unit	Range	Source
Hail	Block	county wide	NEIC, NCDC & SHELDUS
Lightning	Block	county wide	Cumulative as reported in
Snow / Ice	Block	county wide	tables in previous sections.
Tornado	Block	county wide	
Thunderstorm	Block	county wide	
Flooding	Block	100 & 500 Year Flood Plains	FEMA DFIRM 2007 - 2011
Karst	Block	Mapped Karst based on 1:24K USGS	KY Geological Survey, 2003
		Topographic Maps	
Landslide / Subsidence	Block	Areas of Slope Greater than 5% based on	US Geological Survey, 2000
		30ft Digital Elevation Models	
Earthquake	Block	Peak Ground Acceleration - 2% probability	US Geological Survey, 2014
		of exceedance in 50 years.	

Table 3.3.4.3 - Geographic Vulnerability Source Units

Table 3.3.4.4 - Geographic Specific Event Factors

		· F · · · F · · ·			
	County Wide				
	Hail	Lightning	Snow_Ice	Tornado	Thunderstorm
Breckinridge	1.4951	0.4587	0.7339	0.2936	3.7798
Grayson	1.6634	0.5688	0.7706	0.2703	3.469
Hardin	1.8447	0.6239	0.8257	0.4404	5.1282
Larue	1.0085	0.6055	0.6972	0.176	3.5413
Marion	1.0841	0.6422	0.5872	0.2018	3.3028
Meade	1.1429	0.5138	0.7339	0.2202	3.7477
Nelson	1.3271	0.7523	0.7523	0.2385	4.1835
Washington	0.9533	0.6606	0.7706	0.2018	3.0826

Table 3.3.4.4 - Geographic Specific Event Factors (cont.)

	Geographic Specific			
	Flooding	Karst	Landslide/Subsidence	Earthquake
Breckinridge	1 for 100	County-wide	County-wide score value	PGA Value from
Grayson	year, 0.2	score value	calced against Slope	USGS:
Hardin	for 500	calced against	acres per block as a %	
Larue	year	Karst acres per		6% *g = 0.589
Marion		block as a %		8% *g = 0.785
Meade				10% *g = 0.981
Nelson				12% *g = 1.177
Washington				14% *g = 1.373

The total GV score *(TGV)* is determined by the sum of the GV score for each of the hazard event types used.

Tuble bloring deographic ramerability beores				
High	Low	Mean		
11.3707	7.7421	8.5487		
10.4976	7.7231	8.3619		
12.9113	9.6479	10.0125		
10.2072	6.8135	7.3392		
9.6250	6.4071	7.1887		
10.9476	7.3395	7.7633		
12.0387	7.8427	8.5284		
8.5911	6.2579	6.9921		
12.9113	6.2579	8.6130		
	High 11.3707 10.4976 12.9113 10.2072 9.6250 10.9476 12.0387 8.5911 12.9113	HighLow11.37077.742110.49767.723112.91139.647910.20726.81359.62506.407110.94767.339512.03877.84278.59116.257912.91136.2579		

 Table 3.3.4.5
 - Geographic Vulnerability Scores

Chart 3.3.4.2 - Geographic Vulnerability



C. Total Vulnerability Score

The factor of the SV and GV yields the *Total Vulnerability (TV)* score for each Census block.

Total Vulnerability Scores	High	Low	Mean
Breckinridge	14.3569	7.9044	9.2394
Grayson	13.4313	7.8062	9.0741
Hardin	17.8846	9.6479	10.5290
Larue	15.4703	6.8135	8.0326
Marion	13.8898	6.5767	7.8585
Meade	14.2297	7.3395	8.4332
Nelson	16.5869	8.0387	9.1462
Washington	14.0193	6.3700	7.8759
Lincoln Trail	17.8846	6.370007	9.2502

Table 3.3.4.6 - Total vulnerability Scores

Chart 3.3.4.3 - Total Vulnerability



This score allows a determination of the relative vulnerability of each block to a hazard event. The scores were classified using a natural breaks method of four categories. The classification ranges are as follows:

Low	6.3700 – 7.9306
Moderate	7.9307 – 9.1590
High	9.1591 – 10.4813
Extreme	10.4814– 17.8846 (maximum value)

The results of this analysis aids in providing focus areas for the evaluation of current mitigation strategies and implementation of future strategies. The following table and individual entity maps illustrate the block vulnerability for each county and plot, and the critical structures that currently fall within the extreme or high zones.

Table 3.3.4.7 - Critical Facilities located in combined Socially and Geographically Vulnerable Area by County.

Breckinridge County

MAP NUMBER	FACILITY NAME	COUNTY	FUNCTION
1	CLOVERPORT POLICE	BRECKINRIDGE	POLICE
2	CLOVERPORT VED	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
3	CUSTER FIRE DEPT	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
4	BRECKINRIDGE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	BRECKINRIDGE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
5	HARDINSBURG VED	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
6	HARDINSBURG POLICE	BRECKINRIDGE	POLICE
7	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY JAIL	BRECKINRIDGE	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
8	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY SHERIFF	BRECKINRIDGE	POLICE
9	HARNED VFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
10	IRVINGTON POLICE	BRECKINRIDGE	POLICE
11	IRVINGTON AREA EMERGENCY MEDICAL HQ	BRECKINRIDGE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
12	MCDANIELS EMS FIRE & RESCUE SERVICE	BRECKINRIDGE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
13	MCQUADYVFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
14	STEPHENSPORT VFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
15	WEBSTER VFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
105	IRVINGTON AREA AMBULANCE SERVICE	BRECKINRIDGE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
106	MCDANIELS FIRE-RESCUE, INC.	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
113	CLOVERPORT CITY HALL	BRECKINRIDGE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
130	IRVINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
131		BRECKINRIDGE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
136	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
137	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY AREA TECHNOLOGY CENTER	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
138	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
139	MEDCO CENTER	BRECKINRIDGE	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
140	HARDINSBURG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
169	ST. ROMUALD ELEMEMTARY SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
170	HARDINSBURG CITY HALL	BRECKINRIDGE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
171	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE	BRECKINRIDGE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
172	IRVINGTON VFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
189	FREDERICK FRAIZE HIGH SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
190	WILLIAM H. NATCHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	BRECKINRIDGE	SCHOOL
281	BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	BRECKINRIDGE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
287	MCDANIELS VFD	BRECKINRIDGE	FIRE RESPONSE
305	BEN WRIGHT	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
333	HUDSON	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
334	STINNETTSVILLE TANK	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
344	FAIRGROUNDS RD.	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
345	PERSIMMON FLATS TANK	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
347	IRVINGTON	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
378	IRVINGTON WATER TANK	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
380	AXTEL	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
387	ELEVATED TANK	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
393	DOWNTOWN	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
402	STANDPIPE TANK	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
421	SAM DOWELL RD	BRECKINRIDGE	WT
423	HARDINSBURG REVERSE OSMOSIS WATER TREATMENT FACIL*	BRECKINRIDGE	WTP
449	CLOVERPORT STP	BRECKINRIDGE	STP
450	HARDINSBURG STP	BRECKINRIDGE	STP
455	IRVINGTON WWTP	BRECKINRIDGE	STP



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-1

CLOVERPORT RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-2

HARDINSBURG RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-3

IRVINGTON RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-4

Grayson County

16	EAST GRAYSON COUNTY FIRE DEPT	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
17	CANEYVILLE VFD	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
18	CANEYVILLE POLICE	GRAYSON	POLICE
19	WAX FIRE DEPT	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
20	CLARKSON POLICE	GRAYSON	POLICE
21	CLARKSON VFD	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
22	LEITCHFIELD PD	GRAYSON	POLICE
23	ANETA FIRE DEPT	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
24	GRAYSON COUNTY SHERIFF	GRAYSON	POLICE
25	GRAYSON COUNTY JAIL	GRAYSON	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
26	LEITCHFIELD VFD	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
27	TWIN LAKES REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER	GRAYSON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
28	FALLS OF ROUGH VFD	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
112	CLARKSON ELEMENTRY SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
200	ORAN P LAWLER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
201	GRAYSON COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
202	H W WILKEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
203	GRAYSON COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
210	GRAYSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE	GRAYSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
214	CANEYVILLE CITY HALL	GRAYSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
215	CANEYVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
216	CLARKSON CITY HALL	GRAYSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
217	LEITCHFIELD CITY HALL	GRAYSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
218	GRAYSON COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	GRAYSON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
247	GRAYSON MANOR NURSING HOME	GRAYSON	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
260	ST. PAUL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	GRAYSON	SCHOOL
276	GRAYSON COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY	GRAYSON	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
290	LEITCHFIELD VFD	GRAYSON	FIRE RESPONSE
313	185 TANK	GRAYSON	WT
320	WINDY RIDGE	GRAYSON	WT
330	CLARKSON	GRAYSON	WT
331	SCHOOL ST.	GRAYSON	WT
341	ORCHARD ST.	GRAYSON	WT
354	LONE HILL	GRAYSON	WT
360	POST TOUSEY	GRAYSON	WT
367	DETENTION CENTER	GRAYSON	WT
372	BIG CLIFTY	GRAYSON	WT
384	BLACK ROCK	GRAYSON	WT
401	SHREWSBURY	GRAYSON	WT
403	SUNBEAM RD.	GRAYSON	WT
416	DUFF RD	GRAYSON	WT
417	CONKLIN TANK	GRAYSON	WT
422	HWY 62 EAST	GRAYSON	WT
424	LEITCHFIELD	GRAYSON	WTP
425	GRAYSON COUNTY	GRAYSON	WTP
445	LEITCHFIELD WWTP	GRAYSON	STP
452	CANEYVILLE WWTP	GRAYSON	STP
453	CLARKSON WWTP	GRAYSON	STP

GRAYSON COUNTY RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-5

CANEYVILLE RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-6

CLARKSON RISK



LEITCHFIELD RISK



Hardin County

29	WEST 84 FIRE DEPT	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
30	STEPHENSBURG VFD	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
31	KENTUCKY 86 FIRE DEPT	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
32	HARDIN COUNTY JAIL	HARDIN	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
33	VALLEY CREEK FIRE DEPT	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
34	HARDIN COUNTY AMBULANCE SERVICE, NORTH HARDIN	HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
35	ELIZABETHTOWN POLICE	HARDIN	POLICE
36	ELIZABETHTOWN FIRE STATION #1	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
37		HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
38		HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
39		HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
40			
40		HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
12			
42			
43			
44			
40			
40			
47			
48			
49		HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
50	WEST POINT FIRE DEPT	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
51	WEST POINT POLICE	HARDIN	POLICE
52	WHITE MILLS FIRE DEPT	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
53	LINCOLN TRAIL HOSPITAL	HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
119	NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
120	ELIZABETHTOWN FIRE STATION #3	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
121	RADCLIFF MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
122	NORTH HARDIN HIGH SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
123	MEADOW VIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
124	PARKWAY ELEMETARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
141	VINE GROVE CITY HALL	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
142	VALLEY VIEW EDUCATION CENTER	HARDIN	SCHOOL
143	ELIZABETHTOWN FIRE STATION #2	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
144	ELIZABETHTOWN CITY HALL	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
145	HARDIN COUNTY JUSTICE CENTER	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
163	UPTON CITY HALL	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
164	UPTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
165	SONORA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
166	ELIZABETHTOWN HIGH SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
167	MORNINGSIDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
168		HARDIN	SCHOOL
209	FAST HARDIN MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
200	WEST POINT CITY HALL		GENERAL GOVENMENT
210			GENERAL GOVENMENT
210			
220			
220			SCHOOL
229			SCHOOL
230			SCHOOL
231			SCHOOL
232			
233		HARDIN	SCHOOL
234		HARDIN	SCHOOL
235		HARDIN	SCHOOL
236		HARDIN	SCHOOL
237	WOODLAND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
238	HOWEVALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
239	HARDIN ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
240	BROWN STREET CENTER	HARDIN	SCHOOL
241	CENTRAL HARDIN HIGH SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
242	LINCOLN TRAIL DISTRICT HEALTH DEPARTMENT	HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
246	RADCLIFF FD #2	HARDIN	FIRE RESPONSE
252	SCOTT MIDDLE SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
254	MACDONALD INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
255	FORT KNOX HIGH SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
258	ELIZABETHTOWN CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	HARDIN	SCHOOL
262	ST. CHRISTOPHER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
267	HELMWOOD HEALTHCARE CENTER	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
268	KENNSINGTON MANOR	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
269	NORTH HARDIN HEALTH & REHAB CENTER	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING

Hardin County (cont.)

271	HEALTHSOUTH REHAB CENTER	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
272	ATRIA ASSISTED LIVING	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
273	MORNINGSIDE GARDENS ASSISTED LIVING	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
274	TAYLOR MADE ASSISTED LIVING	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
275	HARDIN CO. HEALTH CENTER	HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
277	WEST POINT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
284	HARDIN COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	HARDIN	MEDICAL RESPONSE
286		HARDIN	SCHOOL
200			SCHOOL
200			SCHOOL
209			
291			FIRE RESPONSE
293		HARDIN	SCHOOL
295	STJAMES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	HARDIN	SCHOOL
296	HARDIN COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT	HARDIN	EMERGENCYMANAGEMENT
297	HARDIN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER	HARDIN	GENERAL GOVENMENT
298	ELIZABETHTOWN POLICE DEPT	HARDIN	POLICE
299	COMMUNICARE	HARDIN	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
300	ELIZABETHTOWN COMMUNITY & TECHNICAL COLLEGE	HARDIN	SCHOOL
301	HARDIN COUNTY EARLY COLEGE & CAREER CENTER	HARDIN	SCHOOL
306	PETERSON DRIVE TOWER	HARDIN	WT
307	ELEVATED TANK	HARDIN	WT
312	PEAR ORCHARD TANK	HARDIN	WT
314		HARDIN	WT
310	PIRTLE SPRINGS OF EAR WELL	HARDIN	WT
326			
320			
327			
330			
338		HARDIN	
342		HARDIN	VV I
348	CITY SPRINGS WATER PLANT CLEARWELL	HARDIN	VVI
349	WEST POINT WATER STORAGE TANK	HARDIN	WI
353	VALLEY CREEK TANK	HARDIN	WT
358	VALLEY CREEK TANK	HARDIN	WT
361	BRIZENDINE	HARDIN	WT
364	SOUTH END TANK	HARDIN	WT
369	CLEARWELL #1	HARDIN	WT
375	PRITCHARD	HARDIN	WT
377	STANDPIPE TANK	HARDIN	WT
381	HELMWOOD	HARDIN	WT
386	EASTVIEW TANK	HARDIN	WT
389		HARDIN	WT
397		HARDIN	WT
400	SONORA TANK	HARDIN	WT
400			
409			
410			
413			
414		HARDIN	
415		HARDIN	VVI
426	CITYSPRINGS	HARDIN	WIP
427	WHITE MILLS	HARDIN	WTP
428	PIRTLE SPRINGS	HARDIN	WTP
429	WEST POINT	HARDIN	WTP
430	MULDRAUGH PLANT	HARDIN	WTP
436	FREEMAN LAKE	HARDIN	WTP
437	FORT KNOX/CENTRAL WTP	HARDIN	WTP
441	VALLEY CREEK WWTP	HARDIN	STP
442	WEST POINT WWTP	HARDIN	STP
443	FT KNOX WWTP	HARDIN	STP
444	RADCLIFF WWTF	HARDIN	STP
446	VINE GROVE WWTP	HARDIN	STP
			911

HARDIN COUNTY RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-9

ELIZABETHTOWN RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-10

RADCLIFF RISK









2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-14

WEST POINT RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-15

LaRue County

54	BUFFALO FIRE DEPT	LARUE	FIRE RESPONSE
55	LARUE COUNTY SHERIFF	LARUE	POLICE
56	HODGENVILLE FIRE DEPT	LARUE	FIRE RESPONSE
57	LARUE COUNTY JAIL	LARUE	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
58	HODGENVILLE POLICE	LARUE	POLICE
59	LARUE COUNTY FIRE DEPT	LARUE	FIRE RESPONSE
60	MAGNOLIA FIRE DEPT	LARUE	FIRE RESPONSE
61	LARUE COUNTY EMS	LARUE	SCHOOL
157	HODGENVILLE CITY HALL	LARUE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
158	HODGENVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	LARUE	SCHOOL
159	LARUE COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL	LARUE	SCHOOL
160	LARUE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	LARUE	SCHOOL
161	MAGNOLIA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	LARUE	SCHOOL
162	BUFFALO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	LARUE	SCHOOL
211	LARUE COUNTY COURTHOUSE	LARUE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
270	SUNRISE MANOR	LARUE	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
282	LARUE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	LARUE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
310	FAIRGROUNDS TANK	LARUE	WT
318	NAT RODGERS	LARUE	WT
323	HIGH SCHOOL TANK	LARUE	WT
332	BUFFALO WATER TOWER	LARUE	WT
362	INDUSTRIAL TANK	LARUE	WT
379	WONDERLAND WATER TOWER	LARUE	WT
383	ATTILLA TANK	LARUE	WT
390	WHITE CITY WATER TOWER	LARUE	WT
394	NORTH TANK	LARUE	WT
404	TENNISON RD TANK	LARUE	WT
405	EASTLEAFDALE	LARUE	WT
418	ROANOKE WATER TOWER	LARUE	WT
431	HODGENVILLE	LARUE	WTP
447	HODGENVILLE WWTP	LARUE	STP



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-16

HODGENVILLE RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-17

Marion County

62	BRADFORDSVILLE FIRE DEPT	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
63	GRAVEL SWITCH FIRE DEPT	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
64	MARION COUNTY SHERIFF	MARION	POLICE
65	MARION COUNTY JAIL	MARION	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
66	NORTON SPRING VIEW HOSPITAL	MARION	MEDICAL RESPONSE
67	LEBANON FIRE DEPT	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
68	MARION COUNTY FIRE & RESCUE	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
69	LIFE FIRST EMERGENCY SERVICE	MARION	MEDICAL RESPONSE
70	NORTON RESTHOME	MARION	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
71	LORETTO FIRE DEPT	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
72	RAYWICK FIRE DEPT	MARION	FIRE RESPONSE
132	LORETTO CITY HALL	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
133	ST. FRANCIS OF ASSISI ELEMEMTARY SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
176	LEBANON CITY POLICE STATION	MARION	POLICE
177	MARION COUNTY COURT HOUSE	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
178	MARION COUNTY DISASTER & EMERGENCY SERVICES	MARION	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT
179	MARION COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	MARION	MEDICAL RESPONSE
180	LEBANON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
181	ST AUGUSTINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
182	LEBANON CITY HALL	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
183	LEBANON MIDDLE SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
184	MARION COUNTY AREA TECH CENTER	MARION	SCHOOL
185	MARION COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
186	GLASSCOCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MARION	SCHOOL
187	MARION COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION	MARION	SCHOOL
191	BRADFORDSVILLE CITY HALL	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
192	CEDARS OF LEBANON NURSING HOME	MARION	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
278	JUSTICE CENTER	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
280	WEST MARION ES	MARION	SCHOOL
304	RAYWICK CITY HALL	MARION	GENERAL GOVENMENT
316	HWY 49 (NARROWS)	MARION	WT
325	LORETTO	MARION	WT
335	ST. CHARLES	MARION	WT
340	HOLYCROSS	MARION	WT
356	SPRINGFIELD RD	MARION	WT
359	ST. ROSE TANK	MARION	WT
370	OLD CALVARY RD #2	MARION	WT
373	BRADFORDSVILLE	MARION	WT
388	HWY 84 (GAP KNOB)	MARION	WT
408	RILEY	MARION	WT
412	OLD CALVARY RD #1	MARION	WT
432	LEBANON	MARION	WTP
448	LEBANON WWTP	MARION	STP
454	BRADFORDSVILLE WWTP	MARION	STP

MARION COUNTY RISK



BRADFORDSVILLE RISK



LEBANON RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-20
LORETTO RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-21

RAYWICK RISK



Meade County

73	BATTLETOWN FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
74	WOLF CREEK FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
75	MEADE COUNTY SHERIFF	MEADE	POLICE
76	MEADE COUNTY JAIL	MEADE	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
77	BRANDENBURG POLICE	MEADE	POLICE
78	MEADE COUNTY FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
79	EKRON FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
80	MULDRAUGH FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
81	MULDRAUGH POLICE	MEADE	POLICE
82	PAYNEVILLE FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
83	RHODELIA FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
84	FLAHERTY FIRE DEPT	MEADE	FIRE RESPONSE
85	MEADE COUNTY EMS	MEADE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
107	BRANDENBURG CENTRAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
108	BRANDENBURG CITY HALL	MEADE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
109	MEADE COUNTY VOCATIONAL SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
110	JAMES R. ALLEN ELEMENTRY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
111	MEADE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
114	STUART PEPPER MIDDLE SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
115	MEADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE	MEADE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
116	BRANDENBURG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
198	MEADE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT	MEADE	MEDICAL RESPONSE
221	MULDRAUGH STORM SHELTER	MEADE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
222	NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY	MEADE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
223	EKRON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
224	PAYNEVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
225	FLAHERTY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
226	MULDRAUGH CITY HALL	MEADE	GENERAL GOVENMENT
227	BATTLETOWN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
250	VAN VOORHIS ELEMENTARY	MEADE	SCHOOL
257	ST. JOHN THE APOSTLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
292	FLAHERY PRIMARY SCHOOL	MEADE	SCHOOL
308	HIGH PRESSURE TANK	MEADE	WT
311	WATER TANK #1	MEADE	WT
322	FLAHERTY TANK	MEADE	WT
350	GARRETT	MEADE	WT
366	STANDPIPE TANK	MEADE	WT
395	PAYNEVILLE	MEADE	WT
411	WATER TANK #2	MEADE	WT
433	BARNEY JOHNSON	MEADE	WTP
440	BRANDENBURG WWTP	MEADE	STP
457	ALL ABOUT HOME ASSISTED LIVING	MEADE	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
458	BEEHIVE ASSISTED LIVING	MEADE	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING

MEADE COUNTY RISK





2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-24



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-25

MULDRAUGH RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-26

Nelson County

86	NELSON COUNTY SHERIFF	NELSON	POLICE
87	FLAGET MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	NELSON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
88	BARDSTOWN POLICE	NELSON	POLICE
89	BARDSTOWN VFD	NELSON	FIRE RESPONSE
90	BARDSTOWN-NELSON COUNTY FIRE DEPT	NELSON	FIRE RESPONSE
91		NELSON	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
92		NELSON	POLICE
93	NORTHEAST NELSON VED	NELSON	FIRE RESPONSE
94		NELSON	POLICE
05	BOSTONIVED	NELSON	
90		NELSON	
90		NELSON	
97		NELSON	
104		NELSON	
135		NELSON	SCHOOL
146		NELSON	SCHOOL
147		NELSON	SCHOOL
148		NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
149	BETHLEHEM HIGH SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
150	FOSTER HEIGHTS ES	NELSON	SCHOOL
151	ST. MONICKS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
152	BARDSTOWN HIGH SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
153	NELSON COUNTY VOCATIONAL SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
154	NELSON COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
155	SPALDING COLLEGE EXTENSION CENTER	NELSON	SCHOOL
156	NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY	NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
174	BARDSTOWN CITY HALL	NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
175	NEW HAVEN FIRE DEPARTMENT	NELSON	FIRE RESPONSE
193	CHAPLIN ES	NELSON	SCHOOL
100		NELSON	SCHOOL
105		NELSON	SCHOOL
195		NELSON	SCHOOL
190		NELSON	SCHOOL
197	BUSTON ES	NELSON	SCHOOL
199	NELSON COUNTY GOVENMENT	NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
204	SAINT JOSEPH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
205	BARDSTOWN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
206	BARDSTOWN MIDDLE SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
207	NELSON COUNTY NATIONAL GUARD	NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
208	NELSON COUNTY JUSTICE CENTER	NELSON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
248	FLAGET MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	NELSON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
259	ST. ANN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
261	ST. CATHERINE TRI-PARISH SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
263	ST. GREGORY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	NELSON	SCHOOL
264	COLONIAL HOUSE NURSING HOME	NELSON	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
265		NELSON	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
266	WINDSOR GARDENS ASSISTED LIVING	NELSON	SENIOR GROUP HOUSING
200	BOSTONES	NELSON	SCHOOL
213		NELSON	
205		NELSON	
200		NELSON	RCHOOL
294			
302		INELSUN	
309		NELSON	VV I
317		NELSON	
324	KY 1604	NELSON	WI
328	245 TANK	NELSON	WT
329	WIRE LN	NELSON	WT
337	BALLTOWN TANK	NELSON	WT
339	CLEARWELL TANK	NELSON	WT
343	CHAPLIN	NELSON	WT
351	VITTITOW	NELSON	WT
352	EAST BARDSTOWN TANK	NELSON	WT
355	WELLER LOOP	NELSON	WT
357	BOTLAND TANK	NELSON	WT
365	LUTHERAN CHURCH RD	NELSON	WT
368	FAIRGROUNDS TANK	NELSON	WT
371	INDUSTRIAL PARK	NELSON	WT
37/			WT
202		NELSON	
302		NELSON	
300			
396		NELSON	
398	CULVERIOWN	NELSON	VV I

Nelson County (cont.)

399	HOWARDSTOWN TANK	NELSON	WT
407	BOSTON TANK	NELSON	WT
419	BLOOMFIELD	NELSON	WT
434	SYMPSON LAKE	NELSON	WTP
439	BARDSTOWN WWTP	NELSON	STP
451	NEW HAVEN WWTP	NELSON	STP
456	JERRYL RILEY WWTP	NELSON	STP

NELSON COUNTY RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-27



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-28

BLOOMFIELD RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-29

FAIRFIELD RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-30



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-31

Washington County

98	MACKVILLE FIRE DEPT	WASHINGTON	FIRE RESPONSE
99	WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF	WASHINGTON	POLICE
100	SPRINGFIELD FIRE DEPT	WASHINGTON	FIRE RESPONSE
101	WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE DEPT	WASHINGTON	FIRE RESPONSE
102	SPRINGFIELD POLICE	WASHINGTON	POLICE
103	WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL	WASHINGTON	PRISON GROUP HOUSING
104	WILLISBURG FIRE DEPT	WASHINGTON	FIRE RESPONSE
117	MACKVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
118	CENTRAL KENTUCKY YOUTH ACADEMY	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
125	WASHINGTON COUNTY EMS	WASHINGTON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
126	SPRINGFIELD CITY HALL	WASHINGTON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
127	ST. DOMINIC SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
128	SPRINGFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
129	WASHINGTON COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
173	ST. CATHERINE COLLEGE	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
188	NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY	WASHINGTON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
212	WASHINGTON COUNTY COURTHOUSE	WASHINGTON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
243	NORTH WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
244	FREDERICKTOWN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	SCHOOL
245	WASHINGTON COUNTY HEALTH OFFICE	WASHINGTON	MEDICAL RESPONSE
303	WILLSIBURG CITY HALL	WASHINGTON	GENERAL GOVENMENT
315	INDUSTRIAL PARK	WASHINGTON	WT
321	HIGH SCHOOL	WASHINGTON	WT
346	SIMMSTOWN	WASHINGTON	WT
363	MACKVILLE	WASHINGTON	WT
376	OLD ELIZABETHTOWN RD	WASHINGTON	WT
391	WTP TANK	WASHINGTON	WT
392	WILLISBURG	WASHINGTON	WT
406	ARMORY HILL	WASHINGTON	WT
420	WESLEYCHAPEL	WASHINGTON	WT
435	SPRINGFIELD	WASHINGTON	WTP
438	SPRINGFIELD WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION	WASHINGTON	STP



MACKVILLE RISK



SPRINGFIELD RISK



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-34



2015 Update - Section 3.3 Risk Assessment, R-35

II. Loss Estimation: Potential and Actual Losses

Losses can be reviewed and evaluated in terms of actual past losses and potential future losses. They can include not only human life, physical structures, crops, etc. but also many other economic factors such as lost rents, wages and production. The overall lack of data pertaining to structures/assets and their values does not allow us to generate a comprehensive loss analysis. In order to evaluate and run scenarios on a "typical" disaster for a specific area and review the data on a micro level, this information would have to be converted into block or zip code level data.

Therefore the loss estimation will be based on past event losses and compiled at a higher level than might be desired. The economic and human costs are given for each of the six primary focus hazards and broken out by county with a regional summary. The averages for any particular event or year are assumed to be the estimated loss. The factor of population and economic growth over time, would cause the loss estimates to continue to rise without implementation of any mitigation measures. A review of the data available is contained in the following tables.

Potential losses takes into account the known values of structures in relation to their vulnerability to type and extent of a specific event.

The data in the tables below is gathered from numerous sources and defined as follows:

The structure sources for each county vary. LTADD maintains a critical structure GIS layer of various facilities including, EOC's, Police & Fire Stations, Government Buildings, Hospitals, Water and Wastewater Utility infrastructure, Schools, Airports, and many other miscellaneous buildings. In addition, LTADD has access to point structure data from many of the individual county's 911 systems. This includes Breckinridge, Hardin (partial), LaRue, Marion, Meade and Washington. The data was collected between 2008 and 2015, depending on the county. The LTADD staff also used GPS to map structures located in floodplains for Grayson, Hardin, Meade and Nelson Counties in 2010. All this data was compared against the landslide and flood plain polygon datasets in a GIS program to generate an approximate count of residences within each of the county's landslide and flood plain hazard areas. This data is used for estimate purposes only and should not be considered definitive or complete counts.

For consistency, Residential and Mobile Home facilities counts are based on US Census, American Community Survey 2013 5-year estimates for Total Housing Units. Data represents the total Housing Unit count minus the percentage figure of Mobile Homes to reach the values for each.

Replacement value for homes is from the US Census "Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2009-1013" ACS data.

Replacement value for other features was not updated due to the lack of available information.

Table 3.3.4.8 - Current inventory of existing structures and their potential lossestimate by County/City

Jurisdiction # of Type of Facility Estimated Existing Structures # of Existing Replacement Value Per Unit # of Total Potential Loss in Floodplain Potential Loss in Floodplain Potential Loss in Ploodplain Breckinridge County Residential Structures Structures \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Cloverport Hardinsburg Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 County Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 1 \$500,000 \$50 0 \$62 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$50 0 \$60 Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$60 Sewage								# of	
Jurisdiction # of Facility Estimated Existing Structures # of Replacement Name # of Replacement Total Brockind Structures in Potential Loss in Potential Loss in Breckindige County Residential (Coureport Hardinsburg Irvington 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Radio Station or Transmitter 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Potestation 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 Police Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 Hospital 1 \$3,330,000 \$3,430,000 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Existing</th> <th></th>								Existing	
Jurisdiction "# of Facility Facility # of Existing Structures Estimate Replacement Value Per Unit Total Potential Loss Potential Floodplain In Landslide Floodplain Potential Landslide County Residential 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Cloverport Hardinsburg Emergency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0						# of		Structures	
Jurisdiction Type of Facility # of Existing Estimated Replacement Structures Structures Potential Loss Potential In Floodplain Landslide Prone Loss in Landslide Breckinridge County Residential 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,122 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Poice Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Building1 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$1,372,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Existing</th><th></th><th>in</th><th>Potential</th></td<>						Existing		in	Potential
Type of Facility Existing Structures Replacement Value Per Unit Value Per Unit Total Potential Loss in Floodplain Loss in Floodplain Prone Area Landslide Prone Area Breckinridge County Residential 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,126 Emergency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 0 \$50 0 \$50 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 \$50 0 \$50 Gowernment Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,690,000 1 \$0 0 \$50 Sewage 1 \$3,430,000 \$33,430,000 \$33,750,000,000 \$50 0 \$50 Water 7			# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
Jurisdiction Facility Structures Value Per Unit Potential Loss Floodplain Floodplain Area Prone Area Breckinridge County Residential 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Irvington Poreations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Breckinridge County Residential 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Emergency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Police Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$60 \$60 Police Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$50 0 \$60 Government 0 5 \$648,000 1 \$50 0 \$60 Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 Water Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$33,750,000,000 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60	Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
County 7,610 \$82,700 \$629,347,000 302 \$24,975,400 230 \$19,021,000 Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,126 Emergency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 \$60 \$60 \$60 Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$60 Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$60 Water 0 0 \$60 \$0 \$0 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60	Breckinridge	Residential							
Cloverport Hardinsburg Irvington Mobile Homes 2,982 \$23,464 \$69,969,648 9 \$211,176 52 \$1,220,128 Brington Imagency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 \$50 0 \$50 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 \$50 0 \$50 Police Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$60 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$6,690,000 1 \$100 \$60 \$60 Government Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,690,000 1 \$0 0 \$60 Sewage Treatment Plant 3 \$6,2268,000 \$186,804,000 0 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60 \$60	County		7,610	\$82,700	\$629,347,000	302	\$24,975,400	230	\$19,021,000
Hardinsburg Irvington Emergency Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Fire Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Government \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <t< th=""><th>Cloverport</th><th>Mobile Homes</th><th>2,982</th><th>\$23,464</th><th>\$69,969,648</th><th>9</th><th>\$211,176</th><th>52</th><th>\$1,220,128</th></t<>	Cloverport	Mobile Homes	2,982	\$23,464	\$69,969,648	9	\$211,176	52	\$1,220,128
Irvington Operations Center 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$50 0 \$50 Fire Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 \$60 \$50 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50	Hardinsburg	Emergency							
Radio Station or Transmitter 1 \$100,000 \$100,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Fire Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 0 \$0 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 0 \$0 Government 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$0 Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Sewage 7reatment Plant 3 \$62,268,000 \$186,804,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Irvington	Operations Center							
Radio Station or Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Fire Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 0 \$0 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 0 \$0 Government			1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Transmitter 2 \$98,000 \$196,000 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		Radio Station or							
Fire Station 9 \$500,000 \$4,500,000 1 \$500,000 0 \$000 Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 0 \$00 Government		Transmitter	2	\$98,000	\$196,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Police Station 4 \$1,372,000 \$5,488,000 1 \$1,372,000 0 \$0 Government Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$0 Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Sewage		Fire Station	9	\$500,000	\$4,500,000	1	\$500,000	0	\$0
Government Government Building ¹ 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 \$0 Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Sewage		Police Station	4	\$1,372,000	\$5,488,000	1	\$1,372,000	0	\$0
Building1 8 \$0 \$6,900,000 1 \$0 0 \$0 Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Sewage		Government							
Hospital 1 \$3,430,000 \$3,430,000 0 \$0 0 \$00 Sewage Treatment Plant 3 \$62,268,000 \$186,804,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 Water Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 Pumping Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		Building ¹	8	\$0	\$6,900,000	1	\$0	0	\$0
Sewage Treatment Plant 3 \$62,268,000 \$186,804,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 Water Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		Hospital	1	\$3,430,000	\$3,430,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Treatment Plant 3 \$62,268,000 \$186,804,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Water Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Pumping Stations 6 \$75,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$0 Wells 3 \$150,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$0 Stations 6 \$77,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$0 Wells 3 \$150,000 \$450,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Storage Tanks 12 \$750,000 \$9,000,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Air Ports 10 \$3,825,000 \$38,250,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Natural Gas		Sewage							
Water Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 Pumping Stations 6 \$75,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		Treatment Plant	3	\$62,268,000	\$186,804,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Treatment Plant 1 \$32,634,000 \$32,634,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Pumping Stations 6 \$75,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 </th <th></th> <th>Water</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		Water							
Pumping Stations 6 \$75,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$00 Wells 3 \$150,000 \$450,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00		Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Stations 6 \$75,000 \$450,000 0 \$33,750,000,000 0 \$00 Wells 3 \$150,000 \$450,000 0 \$00 0 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00<		Pumping							
Wells 3 \$150,000 \$450,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Storage Tanks 12 \$750,000 \$9,000,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Stations	6	\$75,000	\$450,000	0	\$33,750,000,000	0	\$0
Storage Tanks 12 \$750,000 \$9,000,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Schools 10 \$3,825,000 \$38,250,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0		Wells	3	\$150,000	\$450,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Schools 10 \$3,825,000 \$38,250,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Air Ports 1 \$10,651,000 \$10,651,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Storage Tanks	12	\$750,000	\$9,000,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Air Ports 1 \$10,651,000 \$10,651,000 0 \$0 0 \$0 Natural Gas Facilities 1 \$1,068,200 \$1,068,200 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Schools	10	\$3,825,000	\$38,250,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Natural Gas Facilities 1 \$1,068,200 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		Air Ports	1	\$10,651,000	\$10,651,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
Facilities 1 \$1,068,200 0 \$0 \$0 Power Plants/Oil Refineries 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 County Total 10,654 \$117,027,364 \$999,237,848 314 \$33,777,058,576 282 \$20,241,128		Natural Gas							
Power Plants/Oil Refineries 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 County Total 10,654 \$117,027,364 \$999,237,848 314 \$33,777,058,576 282 \$20,241,128		Facilities	1	\$1,068,200	\$1,068,200	0	\$0	0	\$0
Refineries 0 \$0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 County Total 10,654 \$117,027,364 \$999,237,848 314 \$33,777,058,576 282 \$20,241,128		Power Plants/Oil							
County Total 10,654 \$117,027,364 \$999,237,848 314 \$33,777,058,576 282 \$20,241,128		Refineries	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
	County Total		10,654	\$117,027,364	\$999,237,848	314	\$33,777,058,576	282	\$20,241,128

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Grayson	Residential ¹							
County		10,380	\$87,700	\$910,326,000	65	\$5,700,500	1	0
Caneyville	Mobile Homes	3,126	\$14,747	\$46,099,122	NA	0	NA	0
Clarkson	Emergency							
Leitchfield	Operations Center							
		1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	2	\$98,000	\$196,000	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	8	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	0	0	0	0
	Police Station	4	\$1,372,000	\$5,488,000	0	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ²	7	\$0	\$6,900,000	0	0	0	0
	Hospital	1	\$6,860,000	\$6,860,000	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	3	\$62,268,000	\$186,804,000	0	0	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	2	\$32,634,000	\$65,268,000	0	0	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	10	\$75,000	\$750,000	1	\$75,000	0	0
	Wells	0	\$150,000	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Storage Tanks	15	\$750,000	\$11,250,000	0	0	0	0
	Schools	8	\$7,883,000	\$63,064,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	2	\$10,651,000	\$21,302,000	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
County Totals		13,569	\$123,443,447	\$1,328,407,122	66	\$5,775,500		

Limited data. Only Floodplain structures are mapped.
 Due to disparity in building sizes unit cost not available

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Hardin	Residential ¹							
County		39,262	\$140,600	\$5,520,237,200	863	\$121,337,800	22	\$3,093,200
	Mobile Homes ²	4,949	\$17,519	\$86,701,531	101	\$1,769,419	NA	0
Elizabethtown	Emergency							
Radcliff	Operations Center							
Sonora		3	\$100,000	\$300,000	0	0	0	0
Upton	Radio Station or							
Vine Grove	Transmitter	8	\$98,000	\$784,000	0	0	0	0
West Point	Fire Station	19	\$500,000	\$9,500,000	1	\$500,000	0	0
	Police Station	5	\$1,372,000	\$6,860,000	2	\$2,744,000	0	0
	Government							
	Building*	14	\$0	\$15,100,000	2	\$0	0	0
	Hospital	2	\$10,290,000	\$20,580,000	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	6	\$62,268,000	\$373,608,000	2	\$124,536,000	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	4	\$32,634,000	\$130,536,000	2	\$65,268,000	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	14	\$75,000	\$1,050,000	0	\$0	0	0
	Wells	2	\$150,000	\$300,000	2	\$300,000	0	0
	Storage Tanks	26	\$750,000	\$19,500,000	1	\$750,000	0	0
	Schools	39	\$6,441,000	\$251,199,000	0	\$0	0	0
	Air Ports	2	\$10,651,000	\$21,302,000	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	1	\$98,000	\$98,000	0	0	0	0
County Total		44,356	\$125,585,119	\$6,457,655,731	976	\$317,205,219	22	\$3,093,200

Residential Structure mapping data for Cities of Elizabethtown & Radcliff not available.
 Mobile Home in Flood Plain and Landslide Areas is not updated.

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
LaRue	Residential							
County		5,317	\$101,000	\$537,017,000	78	\$7,878,000	7	\$707,000
Hodgenville	Mobile Homes ¹	881	\$21,414	\$18,865,734	NA	0	NA	0
	Emergency							
	Operations Center							
		1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	1	\$98,000	\$98,000	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	4	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	1	\$500,000	0	0
	Police Station	2	\$1,372,000	\$2,744,000	0	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ²	4	\$0	\$3,500,000	0	0	0	0
	Hospital	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$62,268,000	\$62,268,000	1	\$62,268,000	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	1	\$32,634,000	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	7	\$75,000	\$525,000	0	\$0	0	0
	Wells	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Storage Tanks	11	\$750,000	\$8,250,000	0	0	0	0
	Schools	6	\$4,806,000	\$28,836,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	1	\$107,800,000	\$107,800,000	0	0	0	0
County Totals		6,236	\$210,525,414	\$804,637,734	81	\$103,280,000	7	\$707,000

1 Mobile Home in Flood Plain and Landslide Areas is not updated.

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Marion	Residential							
County		7,141	\$100,200	\$715,528,200	185	0	19	0
	Mobile Homes	1,023	\$13,827	\$14,145,021	NA	0	1	0
Bradfordsville	Emergency							
Lebanon	Operations Center							
Loretto		1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
Raywick	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	2	\$98,000	\$196,000	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	6	\$500,000	\$3,000,000	0	0	0	0
	Police Station	2	\$1,372,000	\$2,744,000	0	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ²	12	\$0	\$12,100,000	0	0	0	0
	Hospital	1	\$6,860,000	\$6,860,000	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	2	\$62,268,000	\$124,536,000	1	\$62,268,000	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	1	\$32,634,000	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	4	\$75,000	\$300,000	0	\$0	0	0
	Wells	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Storage Tanks	11	\$750,000	\$8,250,000	0	0	0	0
	Schools	9	\$5,706,000	\$51,354,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
County Total		8,215	\$110,477,027	\$971,747,221	187	\$94,902,000	0	0

1 Mobile Home in Flood Plain is not updated.

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Meade	Residential							
County		9,714	\$120,500	\$1,170,537,000	141	\$16,990,500	39	0
Brandenburg	Mobile Homes	2,177	\$11,776	\$25,636,352	3	0	1	0
Ekron	Emergency							
Muldraugh	Operations Center	1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	2	\$98,000	\$196,000	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	8	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	1	0	0	0
	Police Station	3	\$1,372,000	\$4,116,000	0	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ¹	7	\$0	\$6,900,000	0	0	0	0
	Hospital	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	3	\$62,268,000	\$186,804,000	0	0	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	0	\$0	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	7	\$75,000	\$525,000	0	\$0	0	\$0
	Wells	3	\$150,000	\$450,000	3	\$450,000	0	0
	Storage Tanks	8	\$750,000	\$6,000,000	0	0	0	\$0
	Schools	9	\$7,409,000	\$66,681,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	1	\$107,800,000	\$107,800,000	0	0	0	0
County Total		11,944	\$213,288,276	\$1,612,379,352	148	\$17,440,500	40	\$0

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Nelson	Residential ¹							
County		16,627	\$124,500	\$2,070,061,500	92	\$11,454,000	0	0
Bardstown	Mobile Homes	1,562	\$17,519	\$27,364,678	NA	0	NA	0
Bloomfield	Emergency							
Fairfield	Operations Center							
New Haven		1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	1	\$98,000	\$98,000	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	7	\$500,000	\$3,500,000	1	0	0	0
	Police Station	4	\$1,372,000	\$5,488,000	2	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ²	11	\$0	\$15,300,000	1	\$0	0	0
	Hospital	1	\$6,860,000	\$6,860,000	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	4	\$62,268,000	\$249,072,000	1	\$62,268,000	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	0	0	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	13	\$75,000	\$975,000	1	\$75,000	0	\$0
	Wells	0	\$150,000	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Storage Tanks	22	\$750,000	\$16,500,000	0	0	2	\$1,500,000
	Schools	19	\$5,674,000	\$107,806,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	1	\$10,651,000	\$10,651,000	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
County Total		18,274	\$121,274,019	\$2,546,410,178	98	\$73,797,000	2	\$1,500,000

Limited data. Only Floodplain structures are mapped.
 Due to disparity in building sizes unit cost not available

							# of	
							Existing	
					# of		Structures	
					Existing		in	Potential
		# of	Estimated		Structures	Potential	Landslide	Loss in
	Type of	Existing	Replacement	Total	in	Loss in	Prone	Landslide
Jurisdiction	Facility	Structures	Value Per Unit	Potential Loss	Floodplain	Floodplain	Area	Prone Area
Washington	Residential							
County		4,243	\$107,300	\$455,273,900	87	\$9,335,100	10	\$1,073,000
Mackville	Mobile Homes	791	\$13,827	\$10,937,157	19	\$262,713	3	\$41,481
Springfield	Emergency							
Willisburg	Operations Center							
		1	\$100,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0
	Radio Station or							
	Transmitter	0	\$98,000	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Fire Station	4	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	0	0	0	0
	Police Station	2	\$1,372,000	\$2,744,000	0	0	0	0
	Government							
	Building ¹	6	\$0	\$8,500,000	0	0	0	0
	Hospital	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Sewage							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$62,268,000	\$62,268,000	0	0	0	0
	Water							
	Treatment Plant	1	\$32,634,000	\$32,634,000	0	0	0	0
	Pumping							
	Stations	5	\$75,000	\$375,000	1	\$75,000	0	0
	Wells	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Storage Tanks	9	\$750,000	\$6,750,000	0	0	0	0
	Schools	7	\$4,392,000	\$30,744,000	0	0	0	0
	Air Ports	1	\$10,651,000	\$10,651,000	0	0	0	0
	Natural Gas							
	Facilities	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
	Power Plants/Oil							
	Refineries	0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0	0
County Total		5,071	\$112,961,127	\$622,977,057	107	\$9,672,813	13	\$1,114,481

THUNDERSTORMS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
WINDS		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$1,211,803	206	54.5	0.25	2.21	\$22,235	\$5,883	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01
GRAYSON	\$1,215,287	196	56.5	0.25	6.62	\$21,510	\$6,200	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.03
HARDIN	\$64,735,949	300	58.5	4.45	133.17	\$1,106,597	\$215,786	0.08	0.01	2.28	0.44
LARUE	\$1,509,787	193	54.5	1.32	11.6	\$27,703	\$7,823	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.06
MARION	\$1,247,735	180	54.5	0.24	1.63	\$22,894	\$6,932	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01
MEADE	\$1,679,733	208	55.5	3.45	46.26	\$30,265	\$8,076	0.06	0.02	0.83	0.22
NELSON	\$1,404,130	228	54.5	0.3	12.58	\$25,764	\$6,158	0.01	0.00	0.23	0.06
WASHINGTON	\$1,453,572	168	54.5	0.22	3.58	\$26,671	\$8,652	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02
LTADD	\$74,457,996	1679	58.5	10.48	217.65	\$1,272,786	\$44,347	0.18	0.01	3.72	0.13
FLOODS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$7,801,684	53	48.5	2.09	0.11	\$160,859	\$147,202	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00
GRAYSON	\$8,185,065	46	48.5	0.04	0.11	\$168,764	\$177,936	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HARDIN	\$47,893,889	69	48.5	2.17	0.11	\$987,503	\$694,114	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00
LARUE	\$8,067,971	37	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$166,350	\$218,053	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARION	\$9,740,835	44	48.5	0.31	2.54	\$200,842	\$221,383	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06
MEADE	\$7,284,005	42	48.5	1.14	0.11	\$150,186	\$173,429	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00
NELSON	\$35,033,005	93	48.5	3.17	2.11	\$722,330	\$376,699	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02
WASHINGTON	\$8,984,658	39	48.5	0.17	0.11	\$185,251	\$230,376	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
LTADD	\$132,991,112	423	48.5	9.26	5.31	\$2,742,085	\$314,400	0.19	0.02	0.11	0.01
HAIL	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$4,925,750	77	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$95,646	\$63,971	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
GRAYSON	\$2,438,935	84	50.5	0.01	0.5	\$48,296	\$29,035	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
HARDIN	\$26,768,252	95	51.5	0.01	0.52	\$519,772	\$281,771	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
LARUE	\$1,969,355	59	58.5	0.06	0.56	\$33,664	\$33,379	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
MARION	\$35,497,179	58	53.5	0.06	2.56	\$663,499	\$612,020	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.04
MEADE	\$25,032,572	68	59.5	0.01	2.52	\$420,715	\$368,126	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04
NELSON	\$22,857,556	71	53.5	0.06	1.56	\$427,244	\$321,937	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
WASHINGTON	\$10,875,034	51	53.5	0.06	3.56	\$203,272	\$213,236	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07
LTADD	\$130,364,632	563	59.5	0.28	12.3	\$2,191,002	\$231,554	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.02

Table 3.3.4.9 - Actual Losses Based on Past Events

LIGHTNING	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$289,285	25	54.5	0.04	0.36	\$5,308	\$11,571	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
GRAYSON	\$423,574	31	54.5	0.04	2.36	\$7,772	\$13,664	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.08
HARDIN	\$869,962	34	54.5	1.11	2.36	\$15,963	\$25,587	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.07
LARUE	\$61,022	33	54.5	0	0	\$1,120	\$1,849	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MARION	\$154,253	35	54.5	0.14	0.39	\$2,830	\$4,407	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
MEADE	\$129,715	28	54.5	0	0	\$2,380	\$4,633	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NELSON	\$907,717	41	54.5	2.12	2.34	\$16,655	\$22,139	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06
WASHINGTON	\$223,179	36	54.5	0.12	0.34	\$4,095	\$6,199	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
LTADD	\$3,058,707	263	54.5	3.57	8.15	\$56,123	\$11,630	0.07	0.01	0.15	0.03
SNOW & ICE	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$1,411,082	40	54.5	0.31	1.83	\$25,891	\$35,277	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
GRAYSON	\$1,981,398	42	54.5	0.29	3.41	\$36,356	\$47,176	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
HARDIN	\$2,792,155	45	54.5	0.29	3.47	\$51,232	\$62,048	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
LARUE	\$1,050,662	38	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$19,278	\$27,649	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.09
MARION	\$2,681,555	32	54.5	0.29	3.36	\$49,203	\$83,799	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.11
MEADE	\$1,420,840	40	54.5	0.29	1.81	\$26,070	\$35,521	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05
NELSON	\$2,307,155	41	54.5	1.29	3.47	\$42,333	\$56,272	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.08
WASHINGTON	\$2,697,743	42	54.5	0.37	3.48	\$49,500	\$64,232	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.08
LTADD	\$16,342,589	320	54.5	3.42	24.19	\$299,864	\$51,071	0.06	0.01	0.44	0.08
TORNADOS	Total Cost	Number	Number	Total	Total	Average Cost	Average Cost	Average	Average	Average	Average
		Events	Years	Loss of	Injuries	Per Year	Per Event	Loss of Life	Loss of Life	Injuries	Injuries
				Life				Per Year	Per Event	Per Year	Per Event
BRECKINRIDGE	\$5,185,260	16	54.5	1.09	20.00	\$95,142	\$324,079	0.02	0.07	0.37	1.25
GRAYSON	\$56,483,213	15	55.5	3.00	23.09	\$1,017,716	\$3,765,548	0.05	0.20	0.42	1.54
HARDIN	\$16,118,723	24	54.5	2.00	73.09	\$295,756	\$671,613	0.04	0.08	1.34	3.05
LARUE	\$5,110,111	11	62.5	0.00	19.12	\$81,762	\$464,556	0.00	0.00	0.31	1.74
MARION	\$735,833	11	54.5	0.00	4.15	\$13,502	\$66,894	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.38
MEADE	\$6,142,325	12	54.5	31.00	267.09	\$112,703	\$511,860	0.57	2.58	4.90	22.26
NELSON	\$2,033,978	13	54.5	1.00	28.15	\$37,321	\$156,460	0.02	0.08	0.52	2.17
WASHINGTON	\$1,840,007	11	54.5	0.00	5.15	\$33,762	\$167,273	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.47
LTADD	\$93.649.450	113	56	38.09	439.84	\$1.683.586	\$828.756	0.68	0.34	7.91	3.89

Table 3.3.4.9 - Actual Losses Based on Past Events (cont.)

NOTE: The historic frequency of a hazard event over a given period of time determines the historic recurrence interval. For example: If there have been 10 Thunderstorm events in the County in the past 5 years, statistically you could expect that there will be 2 events a year.

Realize that from a statistical standpoint, there are several variables to consider. 1) Accurate hazard history data and collection are crucial to an accurate recurrence interval and frequency. 2) Data collection and accuracy has been much better in the past 10-20 years (NCDC weather records). 3) It is important to include all significant recorded hazard events which will include periodic updates to this table.

By updating and reviewing this table over time, it may be possible to see if certain types of hazard events are increasing in the past 10-20 years.

These values should be considered low. More events that have occurred than are documented by the sources used in this table.

All data is compiled at the county level due to extremely limited city specific data, therefore all data and analysis represents incorporated and unincorporated areas inclusively.

Compilation of SHELDUS, NCDC & NCEI. 1967- June 30 2015.



Chart 3.3.4.4 - Lincoln Trail Region - Average Cost Per Year by Event

Chart 3.3.4.5 - Lincoln Trail Region - Average Cost Per Event







Chart 3.3.4.7 - Lincoln Trail Region - Average Injuries Per Year





Chart 3.3.4.8 - Lincoln Trail Region – Total Events of Documented Period

Chart 3.3.4.9 - Lincoln Trail Region – Average Events Per Year



3.3.5 <u>Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Develop Trends</u>

Population Growth

The Kentucky State Data Center at the University of Louisville, projects population growth based on the most recent 2010 census data. Table 3.3.5.1 illustrates the population growth for each of the eight counties in the Lincoln Trail Region as well as the entire region. Population figures for 2010 are actual census figures.

Every county within the region is expected to grow over the next fifteen years. Nelson County is expected to see the largest percentage increase of 29.63%, while the entire region sees a population increase of 21.11%.

Tracking population growth offers the region the opportunity to assess how efficiently its first response and preparedness capabilities will effectively serve the population.

Table 3.3.5.1 Population Growth Projections for the Lincoln Trail Region										
Jurisdiction	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	Projected				
	(census)					Gain				
Breckinridge Co.	20,059	20,819	21,489	22,065	22,485	12.09%				
Grayson Co.	25,746	26,476	27,048	27,465	27,703	07.60%				
Hardin Co.	105,543	111,225	116,612	121,541	125,896	19.28%				
LaRue Co.	14,193	14,596	14,961	15,265	15,512	09.29%				
Marion Co.	19,820	20,637	21,424	22,152	22,757	14.82%				
Meade Co.	28,602	29,819	30,901	31,801	32,481	13.56%				
Nelson Co.	43,437	46,791	50,119	53,337	56,309	29.63%				
Washington Co.	11,717	12,118	12,486	12,813	13,086	11.68%				
LTADD Region	261,117	282,481	295,040	306,439	316,231	21.11%				
Source: Kentucky St	ate Data Ce	enter, Unive	ersity of Lou	isville, 201	1					

Housing

In addition to an increase in population, each county in the Lincoln Trail Region has realized an increase in housing units and number of households. With these increases, each county must assess its level of resiliency and preparedness to meet the needs and responsibility of additional residents and property. Table 3.3.5.2 summarizes the population, number of households and number of housing units in each county in 2000. Table 3.3.5.3 immediately below it, shows the number of households and housing units in each county in 2010, and the increase over the 2000 census data.

Table 3.3.5.2 - Households and Housing Units in Lincoln Trail Region - 2000									
County	Population	Housing Units	Households						
Breckinridge	18,648	9,890	7,324						
Grayson	24,053	12,802	9,596						
Hardin	94,174	37,673	34,497						
LaRue	13,373	5,860	5,275						
Marion	18,212	7,277	6,613						
Meade	26,349	10,293	9,470						
Nelson	37,477	14,934	13,953						
Washington	10,916	4,542	4,121						
Source: U.S. Census Bureau – 2000 Census									

Table 3.3.5.3 - Households and Households in the Lincoln Trail Region - 2010										
County	Housing Units	% change	Households	% change						
		from 2000 to		from 2000 to						
		2010		2010						
Breckinridge	10,592	+7.10%	7,213	-1.52%						
Grayson	13,506	+5.50%	9,897	+3.13%						
Hardin	44,211	+17.35%	39,401	+14.22%						
LaRue	6,198	+5.77%	5,221	-1.02%						
Marion	8,164	+12.19%	7,368	+11.42%						
Meade	11,891	+15.53%	10,342	+9.21%						
Nelson	18,189	+21.80%	16,571	+18.76%						
Washington	5,034	+10.90%	4,480	+8.71%						
Source: U.S. Cen	Source: U.S. Census Bureau – 2010 Census									

Housing Growth

Table 3.3.5.4 shows data from the U.S. Census Bureau database concerning new, privately-owned, residential building permits reported by each of the eight counties in the Lincoln Trail Region. Most counties have seen a significant decline in residential construction, due in part, to the decline in the economy and the reduction of troops and programs at Fort Knox.

Table 3.3.5.4 - Residential Building Permits									
Jurisdiction	2004	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014			
Residence Type									
Breckinridge Co.									
Single Family	18	12	10	4	5	2			
Two Family	1	0	0	1	0	0			
Three/Four Family	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Five/More Family	2	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	21	12	10	5	5	2			

Grayson Co.							
Single Family	0	0	0	4	3	1	
Two Family	0	0	0	7	2	0	
Three/Four Family	1	0	1	1	1	1	
Five/More Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1	0	1	12	6	2	
Hardin Co.							
Single Family	904	804	378	674	248	188	
Two Family	14	30	24	12	0	5	
Three/Four Family	5	26	18	3	16	0	
Five/More Family	13	12	13	25	9	19	
Total	936	872	433	714	273	212	
LaRue Co.							
Single Family	83	55	25	33	35	29	
Two Family	5	1	0	0	3	7	
Three/Four Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Five/More Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	88	56	25	33	38	36	
Marion Co.							
Single Family	14	5	7	6	8	9	
Two Family	0	1	1	0	1	0	
Three/Four Family	0	0	0	1	1	1	
Five/More Family	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	15	6	8	7	10	10	
Meade Co.							
Single Family	133	142	100	135	75	75	
Two Family	6	2	5	2	3	3	
Three/Four Family	1	3	4	4	1	1	
Five/More Family	0	0	4	4	0	0	
Total	140	147	113	145	79	79	
Nelson Co.		r	1	1	1	1	
Single Family	406	325	180	146	112	168	
Two Family	5	0	0	0	0	3	
Three/Four Family	4	3	0	0	0	5	
Five/More Family	1	0	3	0	0	0	
Total	416	328	183	146	112	176	
Washington Co.		r	1	1	1	1	
Single Family	5	6	3	2	1	1	
Two Family	0	1	0	0	4	5	
Three/Four Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Five/More Family	0	0	0	0	2	2	
Total	5	7	3	2	7	8	
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census							
Land Use

There has been no significant change in land use within the Lincoln Trail Region over the last five years. The growth areas of Hardin and Nelson Counties have continued to realize some population growth, and several counties have completed work on highway bypass projects. However, the region still remains largely rural in nature and has a strong agricultural presence. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Agriculture Statistics Services (NASS), there were 1,390,229 acres of farmland in the Region in 2012. Of that acreage, approximately 48.94% was used for cropland, 5.20% for other purposes, 24.06% as pastureland, and 21.46% was woodland.

The economic impact of agricultural activities in the region is significant. In 2012, NASS estimated the value of agricultural products sold was \$416.297,000.00. Of that amount, about \$216,189,000.00 was in crop sales and \$200,108,000.00 in the sale of livestock.

Changes and development in the region are guided and controlled by the comprehensive plans of each jurisdiction, and development in hazard prone areas is restricted.

Table 3.3.5.5 summarizes agricultural land use and data for each of the eight counties in the region. The data illustrates that between 1997 and 2012, on a regional level, there was an approximate 13.44% decrease in the number of farms; a decrease of 7.70% in the number of acres of land used for farmland; and an overall increase of about 62.67% in the estimated market value of farm products sold

Table 3.3.5.5 - Lincoln Trail Region Agricultural Statistics: 1997 to 2012					
Jurisdiction	Year	Number of	Number of	Estimated	
		Farms	Acres in	Market Value of	
			Farmland	Farm Products	
				Sold	
Breckinridge County	1997	1501	281,261	\$32,712,000	
	2002	1443	276,456	\$28,714,000	
	2007	1509	274,473	\$56,081,000	
	2012	1304	259,774	\$79,537,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-13.12%	-7.64%	+143.14%	
Grayson County	1997	1568	221,081	\$34,860,000	
	2002	1650	233,136	\$31,642,000	
	2007	1530	216,492	\$41,192,000	
	2012	1357	202,970	\$45,663,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-13.46%	-8.19%	+30.99%	

Hardin County	1997	1854	236,346	\$40,418,000	
	2002	1732	239,740	\$35,898,000	
	2007	1588	222,267	\$46,907,000	
	2012	1357	202,970	\$57,949,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-26.81%	-14.21%	+43.37%	
LaRue County	1997	896	122,658	\$25,272,000	
	2002	888	134,410	\$21,057,000	
	2007	811	125,432	\$26,579,000	
	2012	720	111,975	\$41,877,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-19.64%	-8.71%	+65.71%	
Marion County	1997	1072	172,011	\$34,336,000	
	2002	1054	171,252	\$28,754,000	
	2007	1055	160,684	\$39,653,000	
	2012	1016	166,417	\$56,491,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-5.22%	-3.25	+64.52%	
Meade County	1997	964	129,046	\$19,480,000	
	2002	955	134,771	\$16,171,000	
	2007	887	121,448	\$28,712,000	
	2012	754	119,495	\$36,571,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-21.18%	-7.40%	+87.74%	
Nelson County	1997	1401	185,507	\$39,525,000	
	2002	1407	189,104	\$33,242,000	
	2007	1406	196,225	\$54,803,000	
	2012	1326	187,755	\$64,439,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-5.35	1.21%	+63.03%	
Washington County	1997	1142	162,741	\$32,833,000	
	2002	1119	149,739	\$27,060,000	
	2007	1119	162,993	\$33,000,000	
	2012	1011	140,948	\$33,770,000	
% Change from 1997 to					
2012		-2.71%	-13.39%	+2.85%	
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Agriculture Statistics Service					

Economic and Social Growth

According to the *Lincoln Trail Occupational Outlook to 2020*, published by the Education and Workforce Development Cabinet, the Department of Workforce Investment and the Kentucky Office of Employment and Training, employment in

the Lincoln Trail Region is projected to grow from a 2010 level of 96,368 to 110,015 by 2020. This is a projected gain of 14.2%.

Total annual job openings are expected to be about 3,683, with an annual growth in job openings of approximately 1,445. About 2,238 of the annual job openings are expected to result from separations from the labor force due to retirements or job transfers. Thirty-nine percent of job openings will result from growth, with the remaining 61% resulting from separations from the labor force.

The greatest number of annual job openings is expected to be in Office and Administrative Support Occupations, followed by Sales and Related Occupations and Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations. Two occupations with the greatest number of job openings are Registered Nurses and Combined Food Prep. & Serving Workers, Including Fast Food.

The highest growth rate occupations for the decade are most likely to be: Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations (61.1%) Healthcare Support Occupations (58.9%) Community and Social Service Occupations (34.3%)

Table 3.3.5.6 - Lincoln Trail Region Industrial Sites by County				
Breckinridge County	Meade County			
Breckinridge County Commerce Park	Bill Corum Commerce Park 163-001			
	Buttermilk Fall Industrial Site 163-002			
Grayson County	Nelson County			
Judge K.H. Goff Industrial Park 085-001	Wilson Industrial Park 179-003			
Leitchfield Industrial Site 085-008	Bardstown Industrial Site 179-007			
	Bardstown Industrial Site 179-004			
	Bardstown TEBCO 179-009			
Hardin County	Washington County			
Hughes Center of Commerce & Industry	Springfield Industrial Site 229-005			
T.J. Patterson Industrial Park 093-003	Springfield-Washington			
Millpond Business Center 093-004	County Commerce Center 229-004			
Glendale Site 093-005				
Marion County				
Crossroads Industrial Park 155-004				
KY 208 Industrial Park 155-005				
Source: Kentucky Cabinet for Economic De	velopment 2015			

Types of Employment	Br	eckinrid	ge		Grayson			Hardin			LaRue	
Year	2003	2008	2013	2003	2008	2013	2003	2008	2013	2003	2008	2013
Agriculture,	24	11	15	0	0	0	0	49	37	0	44	32
Forestry,												
Fishing,												
Hunting												
Mining	22	25	23	0	0	0	0	43	61	0	0	NA
Construction	185	277	220	434	386	349	1,557	1,754	1,133	152	234	159
Manufacturing	220	345	304	2,596	2,116	1,669	6,258	5,572	5,662	745	717	496
Trade,	676	663	724	1,563	1,493	1,398	7,275	8,679	8,087	329	340	285
Transportation,												
Utilities												
Information	27	33	22	37	45	38	749	1,004	943	0	0	0
Financial	170	174	165	224	257	291	1,669	2,104	1,955	145	148	151
Activities												
Services	821	386	460	1,719	1,596	1,087	10,594	16,174	17,227	641	776	744
Public	227	222	184	331	347	376	3,962	3,385	5,140	116	102	114
Administration												
Other	0	0	4	0	8	1	19	46	10	0	0	0
Total	2,372	2,136	2,121	6,904	6,248	5,209	32,083	38,810	40,255	2,734	2,361	1,981
Types of		Marion			Meade			Nelson		w	ashingto	n
Types of Employment		Marion			Meade			Nelson		w	ashingto	n
Types of Employment Year	2003	Marion 2008	2013	2003	Meade 2008	2013	2003	Nelson 2008	2013	W 2003	ashingto	n 2013
Types of Employment Year Agriculture,	2003 26	Marion 2008 32	2013 0	2003 8	Meade 2008 9	2013 17	2003 0	Nelson 2008 0	2013 0	W 2003 0	ashingto 2008 0	n 2013 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry,	2003 26	Marion 2008 32	2013 0	2003 8	Meade 2008 9	2013 17	2003 0	Nelson 2008 0	2013 0	W 2003 0	ashingto 2008 0	on 2013 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing,	2003 26	Marion 2008 32	2013 0	2003 8	Meade 2008 9	2013 17	2003 0	Nelson 2008 0	2013 0	W 2003 0	2008 0	on 2013 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting	2003 26	Marion 2008 32	2013	2003 8	Meade 2008 9	2013 17	2003	Nelson 2008 0	2013	W 2003 0	2008 0	on 2013 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining	2003 26 0	Marion 2008 32 0	2013 0 0	2003 8 76	Meade 2008 9 98	2013 17 103 254	2003 0 0	Nelson 2008 0 0	2013 0 0	W 2003 0 0	2008 0 0	0 2013 0 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction	2003 26 0 229 2.472	Marion 2008 32 0 221	2013 0 0 163 2 120	2003 8 76 270 240	Meade 2008 9 9 98 448	2013 17 103 354	2003 0 0 1,222 2,000	Nelson 2008 0 0 1,240 2,008	2013 0 0 1,043 2,814	0 2003 0 0 256	ashingto 2008 0 0 310	on 2013 0 0 165
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing	2003 26 0 229 2,473	Marion 2008 32 0 221 3,322	2013 0 0 163 3,130	2003 8 76 270 319	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317	2013 17 103 354 327	2003 0 0 1,222 3,900	Nelson 2008 0 0 1,240 3,998	2013 0 0 1,043 3,814	2003 0 0 256 893	2008 2008 0 0 310 1,029	2013 0 0 165 949
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade,	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821	2013 0 0 163 3,130 915	2003 8 76 270 319 892	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851	2013 17 103 354 327 651	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670	2003 0 0 256 893 552	2008 2008 0 0 310 1,029 363	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Fore stry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation,	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821	2013 0 0 163 3,130 915	2003 8 76 270 319 892	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851	2013 17 103 354 327 651	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670	W 2003 0 256 893 552	2008 0 0 310 1,029 363	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 28	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26	2003 8 76 270 319 892	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851	2013 17 103 354 327 651	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670	W 2003 0 0 256 893 552 13	2008 2008 0 310 1,029 363	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 821 28 162	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110	2003 0 0 256 893 552 13	2008 2008 0 310 1,029 363 0 92	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155	Marion 2008 32 0 221 3,322 821 28 21 28 162	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409	W 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103	2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451 0 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities Services	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155 1,774	Marion 2008 32 0 221 3,322 821 28 162 1458	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162 1480	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195 847	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262 257	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217 766	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387 3,336	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458 3,609	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409 4,148	W 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103 615	2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92 157	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451 0 0 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities Services	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155 1,774 231	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 28 162 1,458 9	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162 1,480 6	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195 847 223	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262 257 228	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217 766 282	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387 3,336 418	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458 3,609 422	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409 4,148 4,26	w 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103 615 176	2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92 157 158	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451 0 0 0 0 0
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities Services Public Administration	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155 1,774 231	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 28 162 1,458 9	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162 1,480 6	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195 847 223	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262 2257 228	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217 766 282	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387 3,336 418	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458 3,609 422	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409 4,148 426	w 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103 615 176	2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92 157 158	n 2013 0 0 165 949 451 0 0 0 0 0 226 153
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities Services Public Administration Other	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155 1,774 231 2	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 28 162 1,458 9 2	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162 1,480 6 NA	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195 847 223 0	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262 257 228 9	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217 766 282 NA	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387 3,336 418 3	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458 3,609 422 8	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409 4,148 426 4	W 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103 615 176 0	2008 2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92 157 158 0	n 2013 0 165 949 451 0 0 226 153 NA
Types of Employment Year Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting Mining Construction Manufacturing Trade, Transportation, Utilities Information Financial Activities Services Public Administration Other Total	2003 26 0 229 2,473 753 31 155 1,774 231 2 5,763	Marion 2008 32 0 0 221 3,322 821 28 162 1,458 9 2 6,055	2013 0 163 3,130 915 26 162 1,480 6 NA 5,882	2003 8 76 270 319 892 0 195 847 223 0 2,830	Meade 2008 9 98 448 317 851 105 262 257 228 99 2,584	2013 17 103 354 327 651 102 217 766 282 NA 2,819	2003 0 1,222 3,900 2,285 116 387 3,336 418 3,336 418 3 11,667	Nelson 2008 0 1,240 3,998 2,705 1111 458 3,609 422 8 12,551	2013 0 1,043 3,814 2,670 110 409 4,148 426 4 12,624	W 2003 0 256 893 552 13 103 615 176 0 2,608	2008 2008 0 0 310 1,029 363 0 92 157 158 0 2,109	n 2013 0 165 949 451 0 0 0 226 153 NA 1,944

Table 3.3.5.7 - Employment by Type and County (U.S. Census Bureau)

3.3.6 Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

Overall Summary

As previously stated, most of the natural hazards documented to significantly affect the Lincoln Trail Region, do not adhere to geographic boundaries. The exceptions are floods, landslides and karst. That data enabled the LTHMC to determine that the entire region is at risk for those hazards identified in table 3.3.1.1. On a more definitive level, the tables below summarize the degree or level of risk each hazard poses to the individual counties and the region, as it pertains to the annual frequency chance and economic loss. With limited data at the city level for each hazard, any data that was gathered was merged to create a comprehensive county risk level. For county specific frequency percentages please refer to table 3.3.2.1, or for dollar loses, table 3.3.4.9

Risk Level	Frequency Chance Per year
High Risk Hazard	61%+
Moderate Risk Hazard	31%-60%
Low Risk Hazard	11%-30%
Negligible Risk Hazard	0%-10%

Table 3.3.6.1 - Risk Level based on Historical Frequency Chance Per Year

	THUNDERSTORMS /	FLOODS	HAII	LIGHTNING	SNOW & ICE	TORNADOS
	WINDS	1 20020				
BRECKINRIDGE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	LOW
GRAYSON	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	LOW
HARDIN	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE
LARUE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW
MARION	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	LOW
MEADE	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	LOW
NELSON	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW
WASHINGTON	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	LOW
LTADD	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	LOW

Economic Loss: In reviewing the economic loss table below, an annual loss of \$100,000 for an entire county may not seem to justify being categorized as "High Risk". For a large metropolitan area, it probably shouldn't be. However, six of the eight Lincoln Trail Region counties have less than 30,000 residents and three of the six have populations less than 20,000. The limited tax base and low-to- moderate-income (LMI) index* in most of these areas further exacerbates their circumstances and it is difficult for them to handle even the "Low Risk" hazards. Only five of the 35 Lincoln Trail jurisdictions have a LMI below 40% while ten have a LMI over 50%. In an area where 40%+ of the families have an income below 80% of the median, local units of government experience immense social and economic responsibilities that are compounded by the damages caused by annual weather events.

*Low to Moderate Income Index: Low or moderate income census tracts are considered to be those in which the median family income is below 80% of the median family income for the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or Primary Metropolitan Area (PMSA) in which they are located.

Risk Level	Economic Loss Per Year
High Risk Hazard	\$100,000+
Moderate Risk Hazard	\$50,000-\$99,999
Low Risk Hazard	\$25,000-\$49.999
Negligible Risk Hazard	0-\$24,999

Table 3.3.6.2 - Risk Level based on Annual Historical Economic Loss

	THUNDERSTORMS / WINDS	FLOODS	HAIL	LIGHTNING	SNOW & ICE	TORNADOS
BRECKINRIDGE	NEGLIGIBLE	HIGH	MODERATE	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	MODERATE
GRAYSON	NEGLIGIBLE	HIGH	LOW	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	HIGH
HARDIN	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	NEGLIGIBLE	MODERATE	HIGH
LARUE	LOW	HIGH	LOW	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	NEGLIGIBLE
MARION	NEGLIGIBLE	HIGH	HIGH	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	LOW
MEADE	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	HIGH
NELSON	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	LOW
WASHINGTON	LOW	HIGH	HIGH	NEGLIGIBLE	LOW	LOW
LTADD	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MODERATE	HIGH	HIGH

3.4 Mitigation Strategy

The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan includes mitigation strategies intended to reduce or eliminate the impacts of natural hazard events identified through the risk assessment process. The mitigation strategies developed for this plan are the result of gathering pertinent data from every jurisdiction, extensive research and analysis of hazard data and profiles, and careful assessment of regional vulnerability.

Process Summary: Integration of Hazard Mitigation Plan with Other Planning Mechanisms. The process of gathering hazard data, evaluating local resources and mitigation tools and updating the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has given all local units of government insight into the importance of incorporation hazard mitigation into local community planning efforts, emergency operations plans, capital improvement plans, local law, and public education outreach efforts whenever practical and applicable. An exhaustive list of these capabilities and planning mechanisms is contained in tables 3.4.1.1.1, 3.4.1.1.2 and 3.4.1.1.3.

This effort is exemplified throughout the migration strategy section of this plan and reflected in the regional goals, jurisdictional legal authorities, mitigation strategies, completed and proposed projects, and public education outreach efforts cited.

3.4.1 - Capabilities Assessment

The success of any mitigation strategy is incumbent on the resources and capabilities of the regional jurisdictions to implement action plans that successfully achieve mitigation goals. Local communities submitted information used to identify regional capabilities. Assessment of these capabilities will enable the region to identify disparities and capitalize on local resources as a means of accomplishing mitigation goals.

Since approval of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan in 2005, the Plan received dual approval under the multi-hazard and flood mitigation categories. All jurisdictions are now eligible to apply for Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) funds in addition to Pre-Disaster and Hazard Mitigation grant assistance.

A capabilities assessment illustrates the resources available to achieve goals, and identifies gaps where improvements can be made to improve regional resiliency and preparedness.

Legal Authority of Local Jurisdictions

Local units of government in Kentucky are empowered to implement mitigation programs, policies and actions. Local governments can utilize their powers of regulation, acquisition, taxation and spending to implement a successful hazard mitigation program within their respective jurisdictions. Utilization of all four is the best approach to formulating a comprehensive program that diminishes the propensity for oversights.

Regulation

Police Power: The Kentucky Revised Statutes bestow general police power to local units of government. This enables them to enact and enforce ordinances that define, prohibit, regulate or abate actions, omissions, or conditions deemed detrimental to the welfare of the public, and to abate nuisances.

Police power is an effective tool that allows local units of government to implement hazard mitigation programs to protect public health, safety and welfare through the use of local ordinances. Local ordinances may also be used to mitigate any circumstance that threatens the general health and safety of the public.

Each jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail Region has the authority to enact and enforce regulatory ordinances designed to protect the health, safety and general welfare of its citizens.

Building Codes and Building Inspection: Mitigation measures may regulate the standards for the construction and retrofit of structures within a community, in order to make buildings more resilient to the effects of natural hazards. State and Federal building codes and regulations are designed to help meet these standards.

When appropriate, local jurisdictions are encouraged to develop and enforce building codes that exceed the standards provided in State and Federal regulations. The ability of local units of government to develop codes specific to their geography and vulnerabilities is an essential tool to ensure that mitigation strategies are appropriate and successful.

Land Use: KRS 100 enables local units of government to create a comprehensive plan for land use. In counties with populations of 300,000 or more, KRS 100 mandates countywide planning; otherwise, it is an enabling statute. The Lincoln Trail Region does not have any

counties with a population of 300,000. KRS 100 allows local governments to utilize a powerful tool for controlling land use within each jurisdiction. Land use controls can determine the amount, timing, density, quality and location of all new development. These land use controls can help to determine the level of vulnerability each jurisdiction will experience as a result of a natural disaster. Land Use Plans become a chapter in each participating jurisdiction's comprehensive plan and can be enforced through planning, zoning ordinances, floodplain ordinances and subdivision regulations.

Planning: KRS 100 establishes three types of planning units: independent, joint and regional. The type of planning unit determines the land area within its purview. The Lincoln Trail

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan -



Region has two joint planning units with the remainder being independent planning units. All planning units have the authority to engage in planning activities such as conducting studies, developing goals and the objectives for attaining those goals, creating policies and ordinances, and implementing administrative means to facilitate plans.

Zoning: When local planning units have adopted all of the required elements of a comprehensive plan, then the legislative bodies and fiscal courts within the planning unit may enact zoning and other growth management regulations to promote public health, safety, morals and general welfare of the planning unit, in accordance with KRS 100.201. Specifically, zoning can be used to protect natural resources, specific areas of the planning unit that need special protection, and to prevent the loss of life, health, or property from fire, flood and other dangers.

Within the Lincoln Trail Region, FEMA has designated Flood Hazard Areas (FHA). Zoning can be effectively used to preclude development within proximity to these areas. Local



FIRM Breckinridge County 21027C0250C, Source: FEMA.

Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRM) are used to determine where these areas are. Zoning ordinances should prohibit development within these areas and protect the functional integrity of the floodplains. *Subdivision Regulations*: KRS 100 defines subdivision as "the division of a parcel of land into three (3) or more lots or parcels except in a county containing a city of the first, second or third class or in an urban-county government or consolidated local government where a subdivision means the division of a parcel of land into two (2) or more lots or parcels; for the purpose, whether immediate or future, of sale, lease, or building development, or if a new street is involved, any division of a parcel of land; provided that a division of land for agricultural use and not involving a new street shall not be deemed a subdivision." A planning unit may develop subdivision regulations for a number of purposes, to include: the protection and preservation of the value of the land, buildings and improvements upon the land, the protection and provision of public health, safety and general welfare of the jurisdiction, to minimize the pollution of air, streams and ponds, to assure that drainage facilities are adequate, safeguard the water table, preserve the natural features of the area, and to encourage wise use and management of natural resources.

Subdivision regulations, pertaining to flooding, usually require developers to install adequate drainage systems and design water and sewer facilities that minimize flood damage and avoid contamination.

Floodplain Ordinance: State and federal governments can play a significant role in assisting communities to develop and implement floodplain management programs and encourage the effective use of mitigation strategies. However, the final responsibility rests with local units of government. Flood plains are important water resource areas when left in their natural, undisturbed state. They are often very fertile areas for farming as well, and several exist within the Lincoln Trail Region. Flood plains serve three major purposes: as natural water storage and conveyance; water quality maintenance; and as a ground water recharge area. Unsuitable development in, or manipulation of a flood plain can destroy its value and have an adverse environmental impact on the area. An example of adverse impact would be the flooding of previously dry land when fill is added to any area of a flood plain.

All eight counties and eighteen of the twenty-seven cities within the Lincoln Trail Region participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), thus making flood insurance available to their citizens. These communities became eligible for participation in NFIP by adopting floodplain management regulations intended to reduce or eliminate future losses due to flooding. Only those property owners who purchase flood insurance are covered for losses due to flooding, since homeowner's insurance does not cover flood damage or losses. Floodplain management regulations, the creation and enforcement of floodplain ordinances and the use of digital floodplain maps are all valuable tools in mitigating damage and losses due to flooding.

Acquisition

KRS 104.030 empowers cities to extend flood control measures outside corporate boundaries through the acquisition of property. For the purpose of protecting property within and beyond their corporate limits, cities of all classes are authorized to extend a municipal flood control system beyond city limits by constructing, enlarging, extending, equipping, maintaining and operating walls or other barriers with necessary appurtenances and equipment, beyond the municipal corporate boundaries.

In 1997, Meade County utilized a FEMA Hazard Mitigation Program Grant to exercise the power of acquisition to buy out a portion of the Concordia Community in Meade County. The land was an area of repetitive flood damage, so the County cleared the land and now keeps it in perpetuity as green space. This action removed twelve homes and several area residents, from an area that was a repetitive hazard and eliminated the potential for future losses there.

Taxation

The Commonwealth of Kentucky empowers local units of government with the ability to levy taxes and impose special assessments. The power of taxation can be used to impact the pattern of development within a community and help fund mitigation programs such as storm water management. As a result of expanding regulations from the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), several of our local units of government have initiated a Storm Water Utility Fee to help fund mitigation projects that reduce losses and infrastructure damage as a result of flooding and pollution caused by storm water.

Spending

The Kentucky General Assembly has empowered local units of government with the ability to make expenditures in the public interest. The economic impact of natural disasters to each jurisdiction plays a role in spending decisions and annual budgets. Money must the allocated to cover the cost of capital improvement projects that mitigate the effects of natural hazards as well as expenses incurred for snow removal, debris pickup and the manpower necessary to respond to any kind of natural disaster.

Political Arena

While most residents of an area are aware of what natural hazards are prevalent in their locale and the potential impact that such events can have, there is a gap in knowledge regarding mitigation measures that can be initiated to mitigate those harmful impacts. Educating the general populace about mitigation measures and strategies that could potentially decrease or eliminate the adverse effects of any disaster, will be a key factor in the planning efforts of our region.

The devastating effects of recent natural hazard events and the profound impact they have had on the region, will certainly affect the political climate and put an emphasis on hazard mitigation planning and strategies.

3.4.1.1 <u>Community Capability Assessment</u>

Each of the thirty-five jurisdictions within the Lincoln Trail Region participated in the process of planning and reviewing the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. To be as thorough and inclusive as possible, each community was asked to complete a "Capability Assessment Worksheet" that evaluated many aspects of community resiliency and preparedness. The areas covered were planning and regulatory, administrative and technical, financial, and education and outreach. It should be noted that not every category surveyed was applicable to every community. The twenty-seven cities within the region range in size from populations of 113 to 28,531, and have greatly disparate tax bases and amenities. The eight counties range in size from populations of 11,717 to 105,543 and cover areas ranging from 263 square miles to 628 square miles. The table below summarizes the feedback that these 35 communities contributed. Individual jurisdictional responses are on file at the LTADD office.

Table 3.4.1.1.1 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary				
Planning and Regulatory A	ssessments			
Plans	Number of	Number of		
	Cities	Counties		
Comprehensive/Master Plan	15	5		
Capital Improvements Plan	2	4		
Economic Development Plan	3	4		
Local Emergency Operations Plan	27	8		
Continuity of Operations Plan	11	7		
Transportation Plan	27	8		
Storm Water Management Plan	9	4		
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	1	2		
Other Plans (e.g., brownfields, redevelopment,	0	0		
disaster)				
Building Code, Permitting, and	d Inspections			
Building Code	9	3		
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule	2	0		
Score				
Fire Department ISO Rating	17	4		
Site Plan Review Requirements	7	4		
Land Use Planning and Or	dinances			
Zoning Ordinance	14	4		
Subdivision Ordinance	13	4		
Floodplain Ordinance	12	4		
Natural Hazard (storm water, steep slope,	11	2		
wildfire)				
Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRM)	27	8		
Acquisition of Land for Open Space and Public	7	0		
Recreation Areas				
Lincoln Trail Region Capability Ass	essment Summary	У		
Administrative and Tec	hnical			
Administration	Number of	Number of		

	Cities	Counties
Planning Commission	15	5
Mitigation Planning Commission	27	8
Maintenance Programs to Reduce Risk (e.g., tree	13	7
trimming, clearing drainage systems)		
Mutual Aid Agreements	27	8
Staff		
Chief Building Official	5	4
Floodplain Administrator	10	5
Emergency Manager	27	8
Community Planner	2	2
Civil Engineer	4	1
GIS Coordinator	3	2
Technical		
Warning Systems/Services (Reverse 911,	27	7
outdoor warning sirens)		
Hazard Data and Information	27	8
Grant Writing	27	8

All of the Lincoln Trail Region cities and counties have access to services provided by the LTADD. ADD staff write and administer grants for any jurisdiction in need of assistance. Every jurisdiction is included in the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, and the process and activities necessary to keep it relevant and informative. That includes access to hazard data, information, and risk assessments. There are regional mutual aid agreements in place that include first response personnel and resources from all jurisdictions, as part of NIMS compliance. All jurisdictions have access to DFIRM maps, GIS/GPS assistance, hazard data information, transportation planning, and community planning help, through the Lincoln Trail Area Develop District as well.

Almost the entire region is covered by an outdoor warning system and many have enhanced warning systems such as reverse 911 or Code Red.

The Lincoln Trail Region has two joint planning commissions in LaRue County and Nelson County that provide comprehensive land use plans and regulations for both counties, and the five cities within their respective jurisdictions. Hardin, Meade and Washington Counties have their own planning commissions, as do an additional thirteen cities. All twenty-seven cities are covered by the eight county emergency managers and are included in the EOP of their county. LTADD staff provides land use planning assistance and training on an individual and regional basis. City and County ISO ratings ranged from 4 to 9, with an average of 5.809. The City of West Point is located at the confluence of the Ohio and Salt Rivers and has taken steps to mitigate flood hazards with a *Flood Damage Prevention Plan Ordinance* that requires all new construction to be in compliance with base flood elevations.

Table 3.4.1.1.2 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary

Financial					
Funding Resource	Number of	Number of			
	Cities	Counties			
Capital Improvements Project Funding	9	1			
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	0	0			
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	19	1			
Impact Fees for New Development	1	1			
Storm Water Utility Fee	3	0			
Incur Debt Through Private Activities	4	0			
Incur Debt Through General Obligation Bonds	27	8			
Community Development Block Grant	27	8			
Other Federal Funding Programs	27	8			
State Funding Programs	27	8			

All of the jurisdictions in the Lincoln Trail Region, with the exception of Elizabethtown, which receives entitlement funding through HUD, are eligible for Community Development Block Grants as well as other State and Federally funded programs. Many communities would like to enhance their storm water management capabilities and are considering a storm water utility fee as a means for funding those activities and projects.

Table 3.4.1.1.3 - Lincoln Trail Region Capability Assessment Summary					
Education and Outreach					
Programs/Organization	Number of	Number of			
	Cities	Counties			
Local Citizen Groups or Non-profit Organizations					
Focused on Environmental Protection,	27	8			
Emergency Preparedness, Access and Functional					
Needs Populations, Etc.					
Ongoing Public Education or Information					
Program (e.g., Fire Safety, Household	27	8			
Preparedness, Environmental Education)					
Natural Disaster or Safety Related School	All Cities with	8			
Programs	Schools				
StormReady Certification		1			
Firewise Communities Certification	0	0			
Public-private Partnership Initiatives Addressing	2	2			
Disaster Related Issues					

All communities throughout the region have access to local citizens groups or non-profit organizations such as the American Red Cross, the Medical Reserve Corps, Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) groups, Amateur Ham Radio Operators, and the Kentuckiana Volunteer Aviators; these groups are available to assist in emergency situations and in response to a natural hazard event.

Every city and county with a school has a safety education program to inform families about safety and preparedness in the event of a natural disaster or fire. A component of these programs is the dissemination of information about natural disasters and individual and family preparedness. Each of these schools conducts fire and severe weather drills each year. In addition, all communities have access to information through LTADD and from websites such as FEMA's.

Planning to make this eight-county region more resilient and prepared, has been incorporated into many projects and regional trainings over the last five years, and during this update process. All communities have received training and information on the importance of proactive land use planning, and the need to avoid development in hazard prone areas. In addition, every city and county has received information on making their existing infrastructure and critical facilities more resilient to the effects of hazard events.

As part of the Lincoln Trail Region's planning process for updating the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan, each of the eight counties was allocated some regional funding to work toward "StormReady" Certification. Those projects are currently under way and will be completed before the end of 2015. While Hardin County is currently the only "StormReady" certified county, Meade County will be eligible for that certification once their projects are completed. In addition, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration has recognized the Meade County Emergency Management Agency as a "Weather-Ready Nation Ambassador." Over the last 5 years, this region has made great strides in resiliency and preparedness due to the planning efforts of the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee, and the coordinated efforts of the twenty-seven cities and eight counties.

3.4.1.2 Existing Governmental Structures

The table below briefly outlines the jurisdictions that are included in the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan as well as, the characteristics of each governing body.

Table 3.4.1.2.1 - Lincoln Trail Regional Units of Government			
Jurisdiction	Population Type of Government		
	2010		
	Census		
Breckinridge County enco	mpasses 567.1	7 square miles with a population density	
of approximately 35.4 peop	le per square n	nile. Elevations in the County range from	
383 to 920 feet above sea level.			
Breckinridge County	20,059	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal	
	18,888	Court Magistrates	
	(2014		
	estimate)		
City of Cloverport	1,152	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Hardinsburg	2,343	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	
City of Irvington	1,181	One Mayor & Six City Council Members	

Grayson County encompasses 496.7 square miles with a population density of approximately 51.8 people per square mile. Elevations in the County range from 395 to 963 feet above sea level.

25,746	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal		
26,194	Court Magistrates		
(2014			
estimate)			
608	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
875	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners		
6,699	One Mayor & Six City Council Members		
	25,746 26,194 (2014 estimate) 608 875 6,699		

Hardin County encompasses 623.28 square miles with a population density of approximately 169.3 people per square mile. Elevations in the County range from 383 to 1,017 feet above sea level.

Hardin County	105,543	One County Judge/Executive & Eight
	108,266	Fiscal Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Elizabethtown	28,531	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Radcliff	21,688	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Sonora	513	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Upton	683	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Vine Grove	4,520	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of West Point	797	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
LaRue County encompasse	s 261.52 squar	e miles of land with a population density
of approximately 54.3 peop	le per square n	nile.
LaRue County	14,193	One County Judge/Executive & Four
	14,180	Fiscal Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Hodgenville	3,206	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
Marion County encompasses 343.01 square miles of land with a population densit		
of approximately 57.8 peop	le per square n	nile.
Marion County	19,820	One County Judge/Executive & Five
	20,007	Fiscal Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Bradfordsville	294	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Lebanon	5,539	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Loretto	713	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Raywick	134	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
Meade County encompasse	es 305.42 squa	re miles of land with a population density
of approximately 93.6 people per square mile.		
Meade County	28,602	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal
	29,139	Court Magistrates

	(2014 estimate)	
City of Brandenburg	2,643	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Ekron	135	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Muldraugh	947	One Mayor & Six City Council Members

Nelson County encompasses 417.51 square miles of land with a population density of approximately 104.0 people per square mile.

Nelson County	43,437	One County Judge/Executive & Five
	44,812	Fiscal Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Bardstown	11,700	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Bloomfield	838	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Fairfield	113	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of New Haven	855	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
Washington County encompasses 297.27 s		square miles of land with a population
density of approximately 39	.4 people per s	square mile.
Washington County	11,717	One County Judge/Executive & Six Fiscal
	11,959	Court Magistrates
	(2014	
	estimate)	
City of Mackville	222	One Mayor & Four City Commissioners
City of Springfield	2,519	One Mayor & Six City Council Members
City of Willisburg	282	One Mayor & Four City commissioners

3.4.1.3 Local Jurisdiction's "Professional Staff" Assessment

The following table lists the professional staff departments that serve the jurisdictions within the Lincoln Trail Region. It should be noted that police, sheriff and public safety offices are identified in a separate table. Social services in the region are provided by a regional community mental health system. Every county has a presiding county judge/executive and county clerk, and every city has a mayor and city clerk. Every county also has a PVA and a county board of education. Cities with independent boards of education include: Cloverport, Elizabethtown, and West Point.

Table 3.4.1.3.1 - Local Jurisdiction's- Professional Staff					
Jurisdiction	PVA	Road	Health Dept.	Emergency	Building
	(Tax Assessment)	Dept.		Management	Inspections
Breckinridge	Y	Y	Independent	Y	Y
County			Department		
Cloverport	*	PW	*	*	Y
Hardinsburg	*	PW	*	*	Y
Irvington	*	PW	*	*	Y
Grayson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Caneyville	*	*	*	*	*
Clarkson	*	*	*	*	*
Leitchfield	*	PW	*	*	Y

Hardin	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Elizabethtown	*	PW	*	Y	Y
Radcliff	*	PW	*	Y	*
Sonora	*	*	*	Y	*
Upton	*	*	*	Y	*
Vine Grove	*	PW	*	Y	Y
West Point	*	PW	*	Y	Y
LaRue	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Hodgenville	*	PW	*	*	*
Marion	Y	Y	Y	Y	State
County					Inspector
Bradfordsville	*	No	*	*	*
Lebanon	*	PW	*	Y	Y
Loretto	*	No	*	*	*
Raywick	*	No	*	*	*
Meade	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Brandenburg	*	PW	*	*	*
Ekron	*	*	*	*	*
Muldraugh	*	PW	*	*	*
Nelson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					2 inspectors
Bardstown	*	PW	*	*	Y
Bloomfield	*	PW	*	*	*
Fairfield	*	*	*	*	*
New Haven	*	PW	*	*	*
Washington	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
County					
Mackville	*	*	*	*	*
Springfield	*	PW	*	*	Y
Willisburg	*	*	*	*	*

(NA – Not Applicable), (* - Covered by County), (Y – Yes), (PW – Public Works)

The duties and responsibilities of the professional city and county offices are outlined below.

Boards of Education: The Lincoln Trail Region has eight county boards of education and four independent districts. County boards are responsible for evaluating educational goals; providing financial resources for budgeting purposes; involving the public in the planning process; developing and maintaining a statement of mission, vision and values; and evaluating the effectiveness of school policies and their implementation. All members of a school board are elected to serve by the people of each district.

Building Inspector: A city or county building inspector enforces the State and Local Building Codes, the National Flood Insurance Program, the Community Rating System, and other applicable codes through the process of inspections and permitting.

PVA, City/County Clerk, Sheriff: The Property Valuation Administrator (PVA) is responsible for the valuation of property for tax purposes. A city clerk is hired by the mayor and is responsible for the maintenance and safekeeping of the permanent records of the city, and is the official custodian of records under KRS 61.870 to 61.884. Per KRS 83A.085, the city clerk must submit a list of current city information to the Department for Local Government no later than January 31 of each year. The county clerk is elected by the citizens of the county every four years and performs the clerical duties of fiscal court such as issuing, registering, recording and keeping legal records; registering and purging voter rolls; conducting election duties; and conducting tax duties. A county clerk may serve as clerk of fiscal court. The county sheriff is elected every four years and operates on a budget annually approved by fiscal court. The sheriff is responsible for the collection of real property taxes and enforcement of state and local laws.

City Police: City Police Departments are responsible for the enforcement of local and state laws within their jurisdictions. KRS 95.440 requires cities of the second and third class as well as urban-county government to establish and maintain police and fire departments.

Road Departments: Road Departments are responsible for the repair and maintenance of all public roadways. This responsibility includes snow and debris removal.

Utility Departments: Local utility departments, in conjunction with utility commissions, local units of government and the Kentucky Utility Commission, work in concert to ensure that the entire region has access to safe and reliable water, gas, electric, communication, and sewer services. There could potentially be numerous service providers in any one county.

Emergency Management: Each county emergency management office is responsible for all operations that deal with both natural and man-made disasters. This responsibility extends to mitigation strategies, preparedness, response efforts and recovery operations. Kentucky Revised Statutes mandate that each county establish an emergency management office.

City and County Treasurer: City and County Treasurers are responsible for the oversight and management of either the city or county budget and fiscal programs.

Mayor and County Judge Executive: A mayor or a county judge/executive is elected every four years and is responsible for the oversight of the daily operations of either the city or county government, and the enforcement of city or county policies and regulations. According to the Kentucky Constitution, section 124, and KRS 67.040, a County Judge Executive serves as a member and presiding officer of the fiscal court and acts as the county's chief executive and administrative official.

Health Department/Social Services: In the Lincoln Trail Region, the Lincoln Trail District Health Department and Central Kentucky Community Action agencies provide individuals and communities with programs and services designed to protect public health, provide medical assistance and administer numerous social service programs.

3.4.1.4 First Responder Resources

First responder resources are critical components of the region's level of resiliency and preparedness. Homeland Security grants have enabled many of the Lincoln Trail Region's local jurisdictions to acquire communication and first responder equipment.

Table 3.4.1.4.1 - Lincoln Trail Region First Responder Resources			
Jurisdiction	Personnel Resources	Vehicle & Equipment Resources	
Breckinridge	Sheriff, 9 officers & 2 staff	36 vehicles and 13 portable	
County	Trained volunteer	generators	
	firefighters at		
	Stephensport, McQuady,		
	McDaniels, Custer, Webster,		
	Harned		
	County Emergency Mgr.		
City of	Police Chief	4 vehicles	
Cloverport	Trained volunteer		
	firefighters		
City of	Police Chief, 4 officers	36 vehicles and 40 pieces of	
Hardinsburg	7 trained volunteer	equipment	
	firefighters		
City of Irvington	Police Chief, 3 officers, Fire	10 vehicles and 4 pieces of equipment	
	Chief, 22 Trained volunteer		
	firefighters		
Grayson	Sheriff, 9 full-time & 3 part-	31 vehicles and 1 piece of equipment	
County	time officers & 6 staff		
	Trained volunteer		
	firefighters at Anneta Fire		
	District #792, Falls of the		
	Rough Fire & Rescue, Wax		
	Fire & Rescue, East Grayson		
	Fire & Rescue		
	County Emergency Mgr.		
City of	Police Chief	14 vehicles, utility trailer, 2	
Caneyville	Fire Chief, 15 trained	generators, firefighter gear, extrication	
	volunteers	hydraulic tools	
City of Clarkson	Police Chief	15 vehicles	
	Fire Chief and trained		
	volunteer firefighters		

City of	Police Chief & 14 Offi	cers	20 police vehicles, trailer & generator	
Leitchfield	Fire Chief & 2 trained		15 fire vehicles, boat w/ motor, trailer,	
	firefighters, trained		2 generators	
	volunteer firefighters			
Hardin County	Sheriff & 11 officers	8 pum	per/tanker vehicles, 3 rescue vehicles,	
	Trained volunteer	6 tank	ers, & 43 other vehicles	
	firefighters at			
	Stephensburg,			
	White Mills, West			
	84, Central Hardin,			
	& KY 86			
	County Emergency			
	Mgr.			
City of	Elizabethtown	40 pol	ice patrol vehicles & SWAT team	
Elizabethtown	Police has 49 sworn	equipr	nent for police dept.	
	officers and 18 staff			
	people	Fire de	ept. has 4 fire engines, 2 aerial ladder	
	Paid Fire Dept. has 3	trucks	, 3 brush trucks, hazardous material	
	stations & 50	equipr	nent, swift water rescue equipment, a	
	employees	trench equipment trailer		
City of Radcliff	Radcliff Police Dept.	Radcli	ff law enforcement has a fleet of	
	has chief, 41	respon	ise vehicles.	
	officers, 1 public	The fir	e dept. has 8 vehicles, 8 generators, a	
	relations officer &	mobile	e incident command center, portable	
	11 staff personnel	lightin	g and assorted equipment and gear.	
	Fire Dept. nas chief,			
	ZZ Iuli-time pu.			
	rifeligiters & /			
City of Sonora	Fire chief and	Fire de	opt has soveral fire trucks	
City of Soliola	trained voluntoor	rneue	ept. has several me trucks.	
	firefighters			
City of Unton	Fire chief and	6 fire t	rucks and an emergency generator	
	volunteer	omet	i deks and an emergency generator	
	firefighters			
City of Vine	Police chief & 7	Police	patrol vehicles and equipment	
Grove	officers	6 fire f	ighting vehicles and fire fighting gear	
	Fire chief, deputy	and eq	uipment.	
	chief, assistant chief			
	and volunteer			
	firefighters			

City of West Point	3 police officers Fire chief & 11 trained volunteer firefighters	Police patrol vehicles and equipment 3 fire fighting vehicles and fire fighting gear and equipment
LaRue County	Sheriff & 4 officers Trained volunteer firefighters at 4 county locations	Law enforcement patrol vehicles Firefighting vehicles and equipment
City of Hodgenville	City police dept. with 6 officers Fire chief & 11 trained volunteer firefighters	6 law enforcement vehicles 4 firefighting vehicles, a boat and portable pump
Marion County	Sheriff & 7 officers Marion Co. EMS Marion Co. Rescue	Law enforcement vehicles 5 ambulances EM vehicle EMS director vehicle Firefighting vehicles and equipment
City of Bradfordsville	Fire chief & 15 trained volunteer firefighters	Fire tanker and trucks Emergency generator at community center, sewer plant and fire station
City of Lebanon	Police chief & 16 officers Fire chief & 20 trained volunteer fire fighters	18 law enforcement vehicles Fire fighting vehicles and equipment
City of Loretto	Fire chief & trained volunteer firefighters	4 – class A pumpers 1 – class A pumper/tanker 2 – utility vehicles
City of Raywick	NA	NA
Meade County	Sheriff & 8 officers County-wide fire protection with trained volunteer firefighters at 10 stations throughout the county EMS, EM	Sheriff Dept. vehicles Earth moving equipment Water Dist. Trucks Fire District tankers, brush trucks and assorted firefighting equipment
City of Brandenburg	Police chief & 4 officers	6 police patrol vehicles 3 emergency generators Maintenance and road dept. vehicles
City of Ekron	NA	NA

City of	Dolico chief & 2	Low onforcement natrol webicles (4)
	Police chief & 5	Law enforcement patrol venicles (4)
Muldraugh	officers	2 pumpers
	Fire chief & 19	1 brush truck
	trained volunteer	1 ladder truck
	firefighters	4 diesel generators
	-	1 decontamination vehicle
		·
Nelson County	Sheriff, 5 command	Law enforcement vehicles
	officers, 7 patrol	Fire fighting vehicles and equipment
	officer, office staff	
	EM	
	FMS	
	Bardstown-Nelson	
	Co. Voluntoon Eino	
	Co. volunteer Fire	
Citry of	Dept.	25 lour onforcement uchieles
	Police chief & 24	25 law enforcement venicles
Bardstown	officers	2 fire truck pumpers and 1 aerial pumper
	Fire chief & 9	Backup emergency generators at city hall,
	trained volunteer	water treatment plant and wastewater
	firefighters	treatment plant
City of	Police chief & 1	2 law enforcement patrol vehicles
Bloomfield	officer	2 fire engines, 1 aerial truck, 2 fire tankers, 2
	Fire chief & 5	brush units & 1 support vehicle
	trained volunteer	2 water trucks, 1 dump truck, 1 portable
	firefighters	emergency generator
City of Fairfield	NA	NA
City of New	Police chief	1 law enforcement patrol vehicle
Haven	Fire chief & 11	2 fire truck numpers, 1 boat, 2 emergency
	trained firefighters	generators mutual aid rescue equipment
	trunica in engiteris	
Washington	Sheriff & 3 officers	5 Law enforcement vehicles
County	County Emergency	4 ambulances
5	Mgmt., County EMS	5 rescue trucks
	& County Fire Dept.	5 fire tankers
		6 fire engines
		1 command nost
City of Mackville	NA	NA
City of	Police chief & 7	Law enforcement vehicles
Springfield	officers	Fire Truck, number & assorted fire fighting
opringheiu	Fire chief 9 officers	equinment and gear
	and 15 trained	Dump truck
	voluntoor	Emorgoney generators
	firefighters	Paheat w/ bucket
Citra of	Fire chief	DUDCAL W/ DUCKEL
LITY OF	Fire chief	NA
Willisburg		

3.4.1.5 Utilities and Services

The following chart outline some of the critical utility and service providers in the eightcounty, Lincoln Trail Region.

Breckinridge County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp. Kenergy Corporation Meade Co. RECC East Kentucky Power Cooperative Nolin RECC	Breckinridge County
Natural/Propane Gas	Atmos Energy Corp. Valley Gas, Inc.	Breckinridge County
Potable Water	Cloverport Water & Sewer System (distribution only) Hardinsburg Water Dept.	City of Cloverport City of Hardinsburg and Rural Breckinridge Co.
	Irvington Water System (distribution only)	City of Irvington
Sewer Service	Cloverport Sewer System Hardinsburg Sewer System Irvington Sewer System USCOE Rough River Lake	City of Cloverport City of Hardinsburg City of Irvington Local Package Plant
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	Housing Authority of Irvington Weatherholt Hills Apartments	City of Irvington
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom, LLC AT&T Kentucky	Breckinridge County

Table 3.4.1.5.1 - Utility and Service Providers

Grayson County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East Kentucky Power	Grayson County
	Cooperative	
	Farmers RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Tennessee Valley	
	Authority	
	Warren RECC	
Natural/Propane Gas	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
Potable Water	Caneyville Water & Sewer	City of Caneyville
	System (distribution only)	
	Grayson Co. Water District	Grayson County
	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
Sewer Service	Caneyville Sewer System	City of Caneyville
	Clarkson Sewer System	City of Clarkson
	Leitchfield Utilities	City of Leitchfield
	Commission	
	Rough River Dam State	Falls of the Rough
	Resort Park (pkg. plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	NA	
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky	Grayson County
	East	
Hardin County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East Kentucky Power	Hardin County
	Cooperative	
	Nolin RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Louisville Gas & Electric	
	(a PPL company)	
Natural/Propane Gas	Elizabethtown Water &	City of Elizabethtown
	Gas (distribution only)	
	Louisville Gas & Electric	Hardin County
	(a PPL company)	

Potable Water	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	Fort Knox
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	City of Radcliff, Northern
		Hardin County
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #2	City of Elizabethtown
		Southern Hardin County
	Vine Grove Water Dept.	City of Vine Grove
	(distribution only)	
	West Point Water Dept.	City of West Point
Sewer Service	Airview Estates	Airview Estates
	Subdivision (pkg. plant)	Subdivision
	East Hardin Middle School	School in Glendale
	(package plant)	
	Elizabethtown	City of Elizabethtown
	Wastewater System	
	Glendale Auto Truck Plaza	Truck Plaza in Glendale
	(package plant)	
	Hardin Co. Water Dist. #1	City of Radcliff
	Radcliff Sewer System	
	Hardin Co. Water Dist., #2	Hardin County
	Heartland Mobile Home	Mobile Home Community
	Community (pkg. plant)	in Rineyville
	Lincoln Trail Elementary	Lincoln Trail Elementary
	School (pkg. plant)	School
	Sonora Auto Truck Plaza	Truck Plaza in Sonora
	(pkg. plant)	
	US Army Hardin Co. Water	City of Radcliff
	Dist. #1	
	Vine Grove Sewer System	City of Vine Grove
	West Point Sewer System	City of West Point
	Petro Stopping Centers	Petro in Glendale
	(pkg. plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Elizabethtown
Housing	Elizabethtown	
	Housing Authority of	City of Radcliff
Local Exchange Carriers	Rrandonburg Talacom	
Local Exchange Carriers		Hardin County
	LLC AT&T Kontucky	
	АТАТ Кенциску	

LaRue County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East Kentucky Power	
	Cooperative	
	Farmers RECC	
	Inter-County Energy	LaRue County
	Cooperative	
	Nolin RECC	
	Salt River Electric	
	Cooperative Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	LaRue County
	PPL company)	
Potable Water	Hodgenville Water Works	City of Hodgenville
	LaRue Co. Water District	LaRue County
	#1(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Hodgenville Sewer System	City of Hodgenville
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Hodgenville
Housing	Hodgenville	
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky	
	East	LaRue County
	South Central Rural	
	Telephone	
Marion County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East Kentucky Power	
	Cooperative	
	Inter-County Energy	
	Cooperative	Marion County
	Salt River Electric	
	Cooperative, Corp.	
	Taylor County RECC	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company	
Natural/Propane Gas	Atmos Energy	
	Aunos Energy	Marian County
	Louiguillo Coc & Electric (c	
	DDL company	
	1	1

Potable Water	Lebanon Water Works Co.,	City of Lebanon
	Inc.	
	Marion County Water Dist.	Rural Marion County
Sewer Service	Bradfordsville Sewer	City of Bradfordsville
	System	
	Lebanon Sewer System	City of Lebanon
	Loretto Wastewater	City of Loretto
	System (collection only)	
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Lebanon
Housing	Lebanon	
Local Exchange Carriers	Windstream Kentucky	Marion County
	East	
Meade County	1	1
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	Big Rivers Electric Coop	
	Meade Co. RECC	
	East KY Power Coop	Meade County
	Nolin RECC	
	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	
	PPL company)	M I C I
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	Meade County
Datable Water	PPL company)	City of Drandonhung
Potable water	Works	City of Brandenburg
	Doe Valley Utilities, Inc.	Doe Valley Development
	Meade Co. Water District	Rural Meade County
	Muldraugh Water Dept.	City of Muldruagh
	(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Brandenburg Sewer	City of Brandenburg
	System	
	Doe Valley Assoc., Inc.	Doe Valley Association
	(pkg. plant)	
	Muldraugh Sewer System	City of Muldraugh
	(collection only)	
Low-rent/Section 8 Housing	NA	NA
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom,	Meade County
	LLC	
	AT&T Kentucky	

Nelson County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East KY Power Coop	
	Inter-County Energy Coop	
	Salt River Electric Coop	Nelson County
	Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	
	Bardstown Municipal	
	Electric & Gas	
Natural/Propane Gas	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	Nelson County
	PPL company)	
Potable Water	Bardstown Municipal	Bardstown & adjacent
	Water Department	area
	Bloomfield Water & Sewer	Bloomfield &
	Department (distribution	Northeastern Nelson Co.
	only)	
	New Haven Municipal	City of New Haven &
	Water Works (distribution	adjacent areas
	only)	
	North Nelson Water Dist.	Northern Nelson County
	(distribution only)	
Sewer Service	Abbey of Gethsemani	Abbey at Gethsemani
	(pkg. plant)	City of Doudstown
	Cov's Crock Elementary	City of Barustowii
	School	COX S CIEER
	New Haven Sewer System	City of New Hayen
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Bardstown
Housing	Bardstown	City of Darustown
Local Exchange Carriers	Brandenburg Telecom	
Local Exchange Garriers	LLC	Nelson County
	AT&T Kentucky	iterson councy
Washington County		
Utility or Service	Utility or Service Provider	Area Served
Electricity	East KY Power Coop	
	Blue Grass Energy Coop	
	Corp.	
	Inter-County Energy Coop	Washington County
	Salt River Electric Coop	
	Corp.	
	Kentucky Utilities (a PPL	
	company)	

Natural/Propane Gas	Atmos Energy	
	Corporation	
	Louisville Gas & Electric (a	Washington County
	PPL company)	
Potable Water	Springfield Water & Sewer	Springfield and
	Commission	Washington County
Sewer Service	Springfield Water & Sewer	City of Springfield
	Commission	
	Washington County	School in Willisburg
	Elementary School (pkg.	
	plant)	
Low-rent/Section 8	Housing Authority of	City of Springfield
Housing	Springfield	
Local Exchange Carriers	AT&T Kentucky	Washington County

Findings Summary: The mission statement of the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Committee (LTHMC) is: *To Reduce or Eliminate the Negative Physical and Economic Impacts Natural Hazards have on our Communities*. All jurisdictions desire to accomplish this mission, but disparate resources hinder this effort. The capabilities assessment contained within this document would appear to indicate relative equality across all eight counties. However, each county is unique, with populations that range from more than 105,000 people to less than 12,000, and land mass areas ranging from 300 square miles to 600. Financial disparity is also prevalent due to each county's size and tax base. Many of our counties rely solely on volunteer first responders, while others can afford full-time, paid personnel, in addition to volunteer responders.

Kentucky Emergency Management's goal is to coordinate a system of mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery actions that protect the lives, environment and property of the people of Kentucky. Toward that end, Kentucky Emergency Management requires each county to prepare and update a countywide Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Each county EOP enumerates policies and provisions for a coordinated local, state and federal emergency response to any natural, technological or manmade emergency or disaster. Each county EOP details preparedness activities for each local government, prior to a disaster. These preparedness actions include, but are not limited to, the selection and training of staff and emergency response personnel, development of operation plans, equipping an emergency operations center (EOC), and the establishment of procedures that will effectively prepare the area to respond to emergencies and disasters. These county plans and the extensive coordination requirements they contain, ensure that the aftermath of any natural or manmade disaster will be minimized. These planning efforts will minimize the length of power outages, expedite efforts to keep roads open, and reduce the amount of time and number of residents who may be displaced from their homes.

In contrast to county emergency operations plans, the Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan is designed to define activities that local units of government can take to

decrease, eliminate or diffuse their vulnerability to disasters prior to an actual disaster event.

The LTHMC has worked with local units of government in the Lincoln Trail Region, during the planning phase of this plan update, to inventory completed mitigation projects, report on current actions and develop future activities that will minimize community vulnerability across the region and decrease adverse impacts that may occur as a result of any future disaster. The Lincoln Trail Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan Update will contain goals and objectives that pertain to the entire region, are county specific, and address single issues within individual cities. The plan exemplifies a planning effort that is pro-active in addressing actions that will effectively mitigate future natural hazards, as well as a documentation of mitigation initiatives that have solved some past vulnerability issues. To date, the region has accomplished several successful mitigation projects, some are still in progress and others are planned when sufficient funding can be secured.

3.4.1.6 Lincoln Trail Region Community Hazard Mitigation Projects

The hazard mitigation projects in the Lincoln Trail Region, fall into three categories:

- 1. Projects Completed
- 2. Projects Presently Underway
- 3. Future Projects

The Region has planned for projects that will mitigate loss of property and human life and eliminate repetitive losses when possible. The region has used local funds, State funding, FEMA funding, CDBG Funds, and Homeland Security funding to accomplish these projects.



3.4.1.6.1 Completed Projects (1997 through 2014)

Breckinridge County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Two bridges in the County located on Marks Ridge Road West (CR-1520) and Shot Pouch Creek Road (CR-1405) were replaced to mitigate flooding issues that previously closed the roads and stranded local residents in their homes, or prevented farmers from reaching their fields and livestock.

<u>Cloverport</u>

Flood Mitigation: Three Ohio Riverfront properties were acquired and relocated to different sites. Land was converted to recreational use in perpetuity.

Slope Stabilization: Bank stabilization measures were taken to prevent damage to a housing complex for the elderly.

Hardinsburg

Disaster Response: The City used grant funding and local funds to improve its



West Marks Ridge Rd Bridge, Breckinridge Co. Federal Disaster Declaration 1818 Source: LTADD Archive.

emergency response capability by purchasing a mobile command center for use during any kind of disaster response. In addition, a permanent generator was purchased for use in the City Hall and Fire Department so that critical services can be provided during a power outage. The City also purchased a swift water rescue motorized boat for use during flooding incidents.

<u>Irvington</u>

Early Warning System: The City purchased and installed two new early warning sirens to enhance its public warning system.

Grayson County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Grayson County built 3 new bridges to mitigate road flooding that stranded county citizens and prevented emergency response efforts from reaching them.



<u>Caneyville</u>

Early Warning System: The City joined the County's "One Call" service.

Public Safety: Caneyville subscribed to a service that would provide an emergency generator, laptop computer and communication system to the City, in the event of a power outage. This will enable continuity of operations.

Bloomington Rd Bridge, Grayson Co. Federal Disaster Declaration 1818. *Source: LTADD Archive.*

<u>Leitchfield</u>

Public Safety: The City purchased an emergency generator for the water treatment plant. Potable water can be supplied to residents even during a power outage.

Hardin County

<u>County</u>

Early Warning System: Hardin County enhanced its public early warning system by purchasing and installing an outdoor siren in the unincorporated community of Stephensburg. The County also implemented a reverse 911 early warning system, countywide.



E. Poplar St. Bridge, Elizabethtown. Source: City of Elizabethtown.

Elizabethtown and surrounding area

Early Warning System: Hardin County initiated a study to determine the placement of 16 audible warning sirens in Elizabethtown and the surrounding area. The project provided an early warning system where there had been none. The City also replaced 7 aging, outdoor sirens.

Flood Mitigation: The City purchased 3 repetitive loss properties to eliminate future flooding issues. Land was preserved as green space and a storm water retention basin. The City also mitigated flood issues by replacing a bridge on East Poplar St. to facilitate floodwater flow.



<u>Radcliff</u>

Public Safety: Radcliff purchased generators to facilitate power to the community center, which also acts as a shelter, and to power city hall so that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

Early Warning System: The City purchased and installed 3 new outdoor warning sirens and upgraded the current system.

Radcliff generator, 2010 Flooding Declaration, Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>Upton</u>

Early Warning System: Upton purchased and installed an outdoor siren.

Vine Grove

Flood Mitigation: The City repaired the banks of a creek to eliminate road flooding. Vine Grove also replaced sewer lines lying within a waterway to eliminate the potential for sewage to spill into storm water runoff.

<u>West Point</u>

Flood Mitigation: Repetitive loss properties were acquired and their respective structures demolished. Land was preserved as community green space. Four houses, two mobile home parks and three vacant lots were purchased. The City also relocated 5 lift station electrical panels from a floodway to higher points and initiated a local storm water fee to fund future flood mitigation projects.

Public Safety: The City purchased an emergency generator for the wastewater treatment plant so that service could continue in the event of a power outage.

LaRue County

West Point Lift Station. Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: The County purchased an emergency generator for both the County Courthouse and Hodgenville City Hall to ensure that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

<u>Hodgenville</u>

Public Safety: Emergency generators were purchased for the water plant and sewer plants.

Flood Mitigation: Storm water management improvements completed to address flooding issues on 3 city streets.

Marion County

<u>County</u>

The County built a replacement bridge over Scuffle Creek that frequently flooded and cut off access to 32 residences and farms.



Scuffle Creek Bridge, Marion Co., Federal Disaster Declaration 1818. Source: LTADD Archive.
Bradfordsville

Public Safety: The City completed a safe room to serve the entire community. Signage was also installed to identify and locate the facility.

Early Warning System: An outdoor siren was installed in the City and weather radios were distributed to the elderly, disabled and rural residents.

<u>Lebanon</u>

Flood Mitigation: A storm water management project was completed to mitigate flooding in the Derring Ct. neighborhood. Three hundred feet of an existing storm drainage channel was relocated, improved and straightened to mitigate repetitive flooding issues. Additional repairs were made to a storm water channel that was causing local flooding.

<u>Loretto</u>

Public Safety: The City purchased and installed an emergency generator for the City Hall/Community Center so that critical services can be provided in the event of a power outage.

Meade County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Meade County purchased and equipped a mobile trailer to use wherever a long-term shelter needs to be established for displaced persons. The trailer carries supplies for a 100 person shelter.



Mobile Trailer, Meade Co., 2010 KOHS Grant. Source: Meade Co EM.

Concordia Community

Flood Mitigation: Meade County acquired land in the unincorporated community of Concordia to mitigate repetitive flood damage. Ten residential housing units and the land on which they were built was acquired. Structures were demolished and the land preserved as green space in perpetuity.

<u>Muldraugh</u>

Public Safety: Muldraugh built Kentucky's largest, above ground safe room to mitigate loss of life and injury to the community's entire population. The facility can also serve as a long-term shelter for displaced residents.

The City also purchased a used decontamination trailer for use in the event of a hazardous material incident.



Nelson County

Muldraugh Storm Shelter Dedication 24 Oct. 2013. Source: LTADD Archive.

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: County purchased emergency backup generators for the County EOC, the 911 center and law enforcement facilities.

Flood Mitigation: Improvements made at the county landfill to address flooding issues.

<u>Bardstown</u>

Public Safety: Bardstown relocated and buried power lines in an area that served 120 residences and 10 commercial facilities to eliminate power outages due to severe weather events.

<u>Bloomfield</u>

Public Safety: Bloomfield wired a smaller lift station to accept an emergency portable generator.

Flood Mitigation: The City completed storm drain and sewer improvement projects to mitigate flooding issues.

Washington County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Washington County completed an emergency notification system for the County.

Flood Mitigation: The County completed the following bridge projects to mitigate flooding issues: Tick Creek, Walker Lane, Froman Lane, Grundy Home Road, Tatum Ridge Road, Roye Lane, and Willis Lane.

Bank Stabilizations: Washington County completed bank stabilization projects on Sulphur Lick Road, Glenns Creek Road, Coulter Lane, Hardesty Road, Trent Lane, Gregory Lane, and Hardesty Road.

Fredericktown Community

Flood Mitigation: Washington County acquired land in the unincorporated community of Fredericktown to mitigate repetitive flood losses. Twelve structures were either purchased and demolished, or elevated, depending on the preference of owners, to mitigate flood damage.

<u>Mackville</u>

Public Safety: The City has installed an emergency generator at the fire department and has set up a safe room at the local community center. Mackville also installed a siren to notify residents of potential bad weather.

3.4.1.6.2 Projects Presently Underway

Hardin County

Vine Grove

Public Safety: Vine Grove is in the process of constructing a community safe room.

Meade County

<u>Ekron</u>

Public Safety: Ekron is clearing land for the construction of a community safe room.

Regional

Public Safety: All eight, Lincoln Trail Counties, are in the process of enhancing their respective preparedness capabilities. The following list outlines what projects each is

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.4 Mitigation Strategy

undertaking to be better prepared according to the criteria established in the "StormReady" National Weather Service Program.

Breckinridge Co.: Purchasing equipment to establish a County Emergency Operations Center (EOC).

Grayson Co.: Purchasing communication equipment for use among first responders and the County Emergency Operations Center to be used in a disaster response situation. Also purchasing weather radios for distribution to all County critical facilities.

Hardin Co.: Purchasing computer equipment for use in the County's mobile Emergency Operations Center.

LaRue Co.: Purchasing a "Code Red" public warning system.

Marion Co.: Purchasing materials to construct a new mobile Emergency Operations Center.

Meade Co.: Purchasing an emergency generator to power County's Emergency Operations Center. Purchasing equipment to measure weather events.

Nelson Co.: Purchasing a "Code Red" public warning system.

Washington Co.: Purchasing emergency generators to power first responder communication equipment during a power outage.

Regional

All eight Lincoln Trail Counties are in the process of distributing 180 weather radios to critical facilities and to vulnerable citizens such as those who are elderly, disabled, or live in rural areas where outdoor warning systems don't reach.

3.4.1.6.3 Future Projects (Pending Funding)

Breckinridge County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Breckinridge County would like to raise a bridge on Tar Springs Rd. that regularly floods, making six family residences inaccessible during high water. Estimated cost = \$400,000

Flood Mitigation: The County would like to raise the roadbed of the Stonehill-Ford Rd. approximately 4 foot on both sides and install new drainage tiles to eliminate reoccurring flooding. This is a moderately traveled, connecting road; it is completely blocked during times of flooding. Estimated cost = \$150,000

Public Safety: The County has radio repeaters located on a water tower on Highway 259 in Harned. During a power outage, the repeaters are down and communication capability for EMS, EMA and fire departments is compromised. An on-site generator would resolve this issue. Estimated cost = \$8,400

Public Safety: The County needs to purchase a portable emergency generator capable of providing backup electricity to a public housing complex during a power outage. Estimated cost = \$30,000

Public Safety: The unincorporated community of Garfield needs an outdoor siren to alert citizens of impending severe weather. Estimated cost = \$30,000



HWY 259 Water Tower Repeater Application. *Source: LTADD.*

Landslide Mitigation: The County has a landslide problem on Tar Springs Rd. The road is sliding

downhill. The purchase of additional land would enable the County to move the road and eliminate the hazard. Estimated cost = \$175,000

<u>Hardinsburg</u>

Public Safety: Hardinsburg would like to construct a community safe room that could also serve as an alternate emergency operations center. Estimated cost = \$2 million

The City would like to purchase and install 3 new outdoor warning sirens. Estimated cost = \$90,000

Purchase and install permanent generators for the water and sewer plant. Estimated cost = \$100,000 each

Flood Mitigation: Hardinsburg wants in install culverts to mitigate flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$40,000 each

Grayson County

<u>County</u>

Public Safety: Grayson County would like to construct safe rooms throughout the County. They would also like to install an outdoor siren system and purchase emergency generators to maintain critical public services during a widespread power outage. Estimated cost = \$180,500 (generators), \$2 million (safe room)

<u>Caneyville</u>

Public Safety: Caneyville would like to construct a FEMA compliant safe room and purchase emergency generators for city facilities such as city hall, fire dept., sewer plant, lift stations and the community center. Estimated cost = \$166,500 (generators), \$1.2 million (safe room)

Flood Mitigation: Caneyville would like to dredge out the creek that flows through town and often floods the area. Estimated cost = \$200,000

<u>Leitchfield</u>

Public Safety: Leitchfield would like to purchase a generator for City Hall so that critical public services can be provided in the event of a power outage. The City would also like to construct a community safe room and install outdoor warning sirens on the south and west sides of town. Estimated cost = \$40,000 (generator), \$1.2 million (safe room), \$6,000 (2 outdoor sirens)

Hardin County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Hardin County would like to construct 2 new bridges and culverts to mitigate flooding issues in the County. Estimated cost = \$95,000

Public Safety: The County would like to construct 2 tornado safe rooms in the County and upgrade the current outdoor warning siren system with the addition of 4 new sirens. They would also like to purchase 10 emergency generators to power critical facilities in the event of a power outage. It would also like to upgrade its mobile command post with new communication equipment. Estimated cost = \$2 million (safe rooms), \$80,000 (outdoor warning sirens), \$65,000 (generators), \$15,000 (mobile command post communications upgrades)

<u>Elizabethtown</u>

Public Safety: Elizabethtown would like to make upgrades to the Pritchard Community Center that serves as the area's long-term shelter for people displaced by local disasters. It would also like to upgrade its current outdoor warning sirens and purchase 6 portable generators for use at critical facilities in the event of a power outage. Estimated cost = \$500,000 (Pritchard upgrades), \$360,00 (warning sirens), \$180,00 (generators)

<u>Radcliff</u>

Public Safety: Radcliff would like to upgrade its emergency outdoor warning sirens with the purchase of 4 new sirens. The City would also like to construct a community safe room and purchase an emergency backup generator for the police dispatch center. Estimated

cost = \$74,600 (outdoor warning sirens), \$1.5 million (safe room), \$70,00 (backup emergency generator)

Vine Grove

Public Safety: Vine Grove would like to purchase 4 emergency, backup generators for use at its police dept., sewer plant, public works dept., and fire dept. for provision of critical services during a power outage. The City would also like to install transfer switches for 8 lift stations throughout the City. Estimated cost = \$200,000 (generators), \$11,000 (switches for lift stations)

<u>West Point</u>

Flood Mitigation: West Point has two flood mitigation projects they wish to complete. The City would like to complete a storm water management project in the Riverview Dr. neighborhood to eliminate flooding issues there. The City would also like to address storm water infiltration issues with its sewer system that causes flooding. Estimated cost = \$100,00 (Riverview Dr. project), \$500,000 (sewer infiltration project)

LaRue County

<u>Hodgenville</u>

Flood Mitigation: Hodgenville would like to complete a storm water mitigation project on Main St., with the installation of a box culvert drainage system. Estimated cost = \$200,000

Marion County

<u>Bradfordsville</u>

Flood Mitigation: Bradfordsville needs to overhaul its storm water management system to eliminate flooding in homes, businesses and civic facilities and to eliminate the need to close roads due to standing water. Estimated cost = \$350,000

Meade County

Brandenburg

Flood Mitigation: Brandenburg would like to complete a project to stabilize the bank of the Ohio River to eliminate flooding issues that affects critical water wells and localized flooding. Estimated cost = \$1.2 million



Bradfordsville Street Flooding, April 2015. Source: Mayor David Edelen.

<u>Ekron</u>

Public Safety: Ekron would like to construct a centrally located safe room that would provide all area residents with a safe shelter from any kind of severe weather event. The facility would be within a 5-minute walk for the entire community. Estimated cost = \$1 million

<u>Muldraugh</u>

Public Safety: Muldraugh would like to purchase a 200kw natural gas emergency generator to power city hall and the police dept., in the event of a power outage. The City would also like to upgrade its outdoor weather siren system. Estimated cost = \$150,000 (generator), \$6,800 (sirens)

Flood Mitigation: The City would like to construct 5,200 feet of a storm water management culvert. Estimated cost = \$500,000

Nelson County

<u>Bardstown</u>

Public Safety: Bardstown would like to purchase and install an emergency backup generator for the City's sewer pump station. They would also like to construct an emergency road that would allow access to the Town Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant in the event of flooding. Estimated cost = \$94,000 (generator), \$20,000 (road)

Flood Mitigation: The City wants to construct a watershed detention pond to prevent downstream flooding. Estimated cost = \$200,000

<u>Bloomfield</u>

Public Safety: Bloomfield would like to purchase 2 emergency generators to provide backup power to the water dept. and city hall in the event of a power outage. Estimated cost = \$60,000

Flood Mitigation: The City would like to raise the Perry Street Bridge and install larger culverts to mitigate flooding that closes the road. Estimated cost = \$250,000

<u>Fairfield</u>

Public Safety: Fairfield would like to purchase two emergency backup generators for use at the sites designated by the city to serve as emergency shelters. Estimated cost = \$3,500

Washington County

<u>County</u>

Flood Mitigation: Washington County would like to install box culverts along Grundy Home Road to mitigate flooding. In addition, the County needs to replace two bridges; the Ann Osborne Bride and the Mayfield Lane Bridge. Estimated cost = \$48,000 (culvert boxes), \$140,000 (Mayfield Lane Bridge), \$200,000 (Ann Osborne Bridge)

Bank Stabilization: The County needs to stabilize the banks along Cardwell-Tablow Road and on Hardesty Road. Estimated cost = \$200,000 each

<u>Springfield</u>

Flood Mitigation: Springfield would like to install approximately 1,800 linear feet of a storm water management system to eliminate flooding issues in the Rizer Ave. neighborhood. Estimated cost = \$118,000

The City would also like to complete a 400-foot storm water management project in the Maplewood Ave. neighborhood to address flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$8,000

The Lebanon Hill neighborhood needs a storm water management system to address flooding issues. Estimated cost = \$90,000

Springfield would also like to completely replace the downtown Main St. storm water management system to improve capacity and eliminate flooding. Estimated cost = \$120,000

The Grundy Ave. neighborhood experiences flooding that could be eliminated by a proper storm water management system. Estimated cost = \$175,000

Springfield plans to complete a large flood mitigation project within a Zone A flood area in the City. Part of the project would be the construction of a large storm water management system and part of the plan would be the acquisition and demolition of residences that have suffered repetitive flood losses. Estimated cost = \$250,000 (Storm water system), \$315,000 (acquisition activities)

The City needs to reconstruct a low water bridge that isolates the public works garage due to flooding. Estimated cost = \$190,000

Public Safety: Springfield has a plan to purchase a backup generator system that would provide emergency power to its storm shelter, city hall and public works garage. Estimated cost = \$75,000

The City would install a "One Call System" as an enhancement of its current 911 program. Estimated cost = \$20,000

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3.4 Mitigation Strategy

A plan to bury utility lines in the downtown business district would diminish the propensity for power outages and enable local businesses to continue to serve the community during severe weather events. Estimated cost = \$750,000

3.4.2 - Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Goals

The goals and objectives of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan evolved as a result of serious discussion, planning and careful thought among the eight counties and twenty-seven cities in the region. Local units of government, planning organizations, emergency management teams, concerned residents and first response agencies collected and analyzed hazard data relevant to the region and closely examined local levels of resiliency and preparedness. The planning process included training, review of past efforts, data analysis, and careful consideration of the region's vulnerability. Local funding was utilized to enable each county to improve its level of resiliency and preparedness through criteria established in the National Weather Service "StormReady" program. Projects completed included establishment of county emergency operations centers, "Code Red" early warning systems and the purchase of emergency power generators for emergency management. Funding was also used to improve citizen preparedness; weather radios, designed for use by people with hearing or sight impairments, were distributed to vulnerable populations throughout the eight county region. The following goals and objectives have been part of the region's plan since the plan was first written, but have been edited and expanded to reflect increased awareness of potential hazards.

Representatives from the region developed the mitigation goals and objectives based on the review and evaluation of research data and local vulnerability. While mitigation goals throughout the state and nation closely parallel those of this region, the goals, objectives and action plans in this regional plan are specific to the Lincoln Trail ADD geographic location and population demographics.

Six mitigation strategies have been addressed previously, however, evaluation of those strategies led to consolidation of strategies into four, with emergency services and structural projects incorporated into four main strategies, which were used to develop goals and objectives. The four basic components of the mitigation plan goals are:

1. Prevention: Local governmental, regulatory or planning actions and/or processes that regulate land use, development standards, the integrity of built structures, and allocation of local funds. This strategy controls public and private activity that can reduce or eliminate losses due to regional hazards. Examples include, but are not limited to:

- Planning and Zoning
- Building Codes
- Land Use Controls
- Medium and Long Range Planning
- Capital Improvement Programs

- Preservation/Protection of Open Spaces, Fragile Ecosystems and Local Geographic Features
- Storm Water Management
- Routine Inspection, Maintenance, and Repair of Infrastructure

2. Protection of Life and Property: Local governmental and agency actions that plan for, fund and execute activities that protect human life and prevent the loss of property. Examples include:

- Acquisition
- Elevation
- Relocation
- Structural Retrofits
- Construction of Mitigation Projects
- Safe Rooms
- Inspection, Repair and Maintenance of All Infrastructure
- Flood Control Projects
- Emergency Power
- Continuity of Operations
- Public Warning Systems
- Emergency Response Services and Facilities
- Evacuation Plans

3. Public Education and Awareness: Local units of government can prepare and plan for hazard mitigation, but, ultimately, personal responsibility plays a key role in hazard mitigation and community resiliency. Educating the public, from a very early age, about disaster preparedness is a key component of this regional plan and an integral part of community resiliency. Information must be disseminated to all citizens on hazard mitigation and include:

- Real Estate Disclosure Statements
- Land Use Information
- Personal Preparedness and Responsibility
- Education on Hazards
- Child and Adult Education Programs and Classes
- Community Outreach Efforts
- National Flood Insurance Program

4. Protection of Natural Resources and Geographic Features: Actions taken to preserve natural resources, systems and geographic features ultimately serve to also mitigate hazards. These actions include:

- Sediment and Erosion control
- Stream and Corridor Restoration
- Protection of Sink Holes and Karst Topography
- Watershed Management
- Forest and Vegetation Management

- Conservation Efforts
- Wetlands Preservation
- Conservation of Riparian Forest Buffers

Regional Problem Statements, Goals and Objectives

In order to identify regional goals and effective action plans in the form of objectives, regional representatives identified problem statements. The problem statements illustrate regional challenges that face all of the local jurisdictions. Without exception, the problems, goals and objectives are generally applicable to the entire Lincoln Trail Region, and could easily address some aspect of all hazards experienced in the area. Potential funding for each action is fluid and includes HMPG, CDBG, Homeland Security, ADF, AFG, and local funds and fees. The people responsible for implementing each action come from each unit of government and its first response agencies, as well as, the Lincoln Trail Area Development District. The Lincoln Trail ADD Board of Directors and Hazard Mitigation Committee is made up of the eight County Judge Executives and Mayors from the counties' respective cities.

Problem Statements and corresponding goals and objectives were identified during the planning, review and research stages of the Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. The goals are basically unchanged, but rapidly improving technology has made data research and gathering more comprehensive.

Problem Statement 1: During the research and review process of the plan update, comprehensive and exact data for the specific Lincoln Trail region was difficult to find. Regional representatives did not believe that the level of hazard data available and the corresponding estimates of damage and losses were accurate.

Goal 1: The Region's first goal and corresponding objectives and action items remain in the plan with some minor modifications. The goal and objectives are generally applicable across the entire region. County specific projects follow the original plan.

Review of Original Plan

Since approval of the original Regional Plan in 2005, advancing technology and local awareness has resulted in additional and more accurate data collection. Mesonet stations have been completed throughout the region and this update includes that information. PDM (Pre-disaster Mitigation) funding allowed LTADD staff to map all structures in floodplains and all critical facilities throughout the region. Losses in floodplains can now be more accurately forecast. All eight counties have now completed the map modernization program and each has had all critical facilities mapped. Additional sources of data have been accessed and Emergency Management Agencies throughout the eight counties have tracked local data and mitigation projects and achievements. All eight counties have received information and funding to become "StormReady", a program

sponsored by the National Weather Service. Each county is aware of weather gauge technology that would enable each county to track localized weather information.

Table 3.4.2.1 - Regional Goal #1					
Goal 1: Gather and Maintain a	Category of	Estimated	Potential		
Comprehensive and Accurate	Action	Cost to	Responsible		
database of jurisdictional specific		Implement	Entity		
natural hazard and parcel data.		Action			
Objective 1.1: Establish methodology					
for gathering natural hazard data					
Action 1.1a: Align criteria and					
procedures for gathering and reporting					
natural hazard event data with the	Prevention	NA	LTADD		
National Environmental Information					
Center.					
Action 1.1b: Identify county contact for	Prevention	NA	LTHMC,		
collecting natural hazard data.			Emergency		
			Manager		
Objective 1.2: Collect, store and analyze					
natural hazard event data					
Action 1.2a: Incorporate GIS into					
mapping and tracking natural hazard	Prevention	\$10,000	LTADD,		
event data			Emergency		
			Manager		
Action 1.2b: Encourage updating flood	Prevention,	NA	LTHMC, Local		
plain and area maps.	Awareness		Government,		
		Emergency			
			Manager		
Action 1.2c: Maintain and update maps	Prevention,	\$3-5,000	LTADD,		
of critical facilities	Awareness	per county	Emergency		
			Manager,		
			Planning/Zoning		

Problem Statement 2: Since the last Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan update in 2010, all professionals across the 8-county area have come to better understand the capabilities and resources each brings to the region in response potential. Still, more work is needed to inform each jurisdiction of the power and potential for an effective first response, when mutual aid agreements are in place, professional knowledge is shared, and resources are pooled. A heightened sense of unity and strength will greatly reduce response time, better assist local residents, and decrease any kind of social or economic impact on local communities in the event of natural disaster.

Goal 2: Goal 2 and the corresponding objectives and action steps remain in the plan with some minor modifications. The regional council agrees that the goal and objectives are

generally applicable across all jurisdictions. County specific projects follow the original plan.

Review of Original Plan

The Lincoln Trail Region has worked to ensure that all first responders and emergency service agencies have improved their coordinated capabilities. The Region has been participating in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) since its inception in 2005, and supports the NIMS goal for "all response and support agencies to work seamlessly to prevent, protect against, respond to, recover from, and mitigate the effects of incidents, regardless of cause, size, location, or complexity, in order to reduce the loss of life and property and harm to the environment."

Although there is little regional support for Citizen Emergency Response Teams (CERT), the region has a Medical Reserve Corps (MRC) through the local district health department. Volunteers trained in medical and non-medical areas make up the MRC and can be called up in the event of a disaster. The region also has an organization made up of ham radio operators that assists in disaster response, damage assessment and recovery.

Regional emergency response equipment and infrastructure has been acquired and installed throughout the area with funding through Homeland Security, ADF, local funds and other grants. Updated communication equipment, generators, community warning systems and weather tracking technology have been purchased and installed in all 8 counties.

Table 3.4.2.2 - Regional Goal 2			
Goal 2: Improve emergency	Category of	Estimated	Potential
response time, constituent service	Action	Cost to	Responsible
and education, and regional		Implement	Entity
organizational efficiencies.		Action	
Objective 2.1: Organize regional first			
responders. Educate the public about			
personal responsibility, services and			
available resources, in the event of a			
natural disaster.			
Action 2.1a: Create a regional	Prevention	NA	Emergency
inventory of services available to	Awareness		Management
respond to a natural hazard.			LTADD
Action 2.1b: Disseminate information	Prevention	\$200-\$500	Local
on family preparedness to the public	Awareness	per county	Governments
			LTADD
Objective 2.2: Organize volunteers to			
enhance emergency response			
capabilities.			

Action 2.2a: Organize and train volunteers from agencies across the region	Prevention	NA	Emergency Managers Local Agencies
Objective 2.3: Maintain an inventory of local first response resources and facilities.			
Action 2.3a: Encourage the use of mutual aid agreements that allow the use of first response equipment regionally, when & where needed.	Prevention	NA	Emergency Managers Local units of government
Action 2.3b: Purchase emergency response equipment needed	Prevention	Project Specific	Local Government

Problem Statements 3 & 4: Several natural hazards are prevalent in the nation, but do not affect the Lincoln Trail Region. Analysis of hazard data, identifies the following hazards as most prevalent:

- Floods
- Tornados
- Winter Storms
- Severe Thunderstorms (some with imbedded hail)

Thunderstorms are most frequent, followed by floods and flash flooding. Tornados do not occur as frequently, but have caused more loss of life over the past 50 years, than the other hazards combined. Hailstorms have resulted in the greatest property damage regionally. As of 7-31-2015, the region has received over \$8,316,959 in FEMA assistance for flood losses since 01-01-1978.

The following goals and objectives were developed in response to the following criteria:

- 1. Several jurisdictions have facilities that accommodate vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and disabled persons.
- 2. Regionally, there are an estimated 20 critical facilities and numerous residential structures located in floodplains.
- 3. Funding opportunities to address natural hazard issues are underutilized.

Eliminating and/or diminishing the physical and economic impact of natural disasters are goals of this plan. The LTHMC recognizes that this must be a regional endeavor that involves all stakeholders from individual citizens to local units of government. Responsible action requires this plan to provide an in-depth knowledge of the scope of natural disasters and the appropriate mitigation actions to protect citizens and property from hazards.

Goals 3 & 4: The following goals and modified objectives and action steps are generally applicable to jurisdictions throughout the region.

Review of Original Plan:

The Lincoln Trail Region has sponsored numerous efforts to promote individual and community preparedness. Efforts, to disseminate educational material to citizens on the importance of individual preparedness to mitigate the effects of hazards on personal safety and property, have increased.

LTADD advises all jurisdictions on the availability of mitigation funding and local applications for assistance have greatly increased over the last five years. Several projects have been completed including flood mitigation projects to address low water fords and bridges. Three applications for safe rooms were approved, and safe rooms have been completed in the Cities of Bradfordsville and Muldraugh. A safe room is currently under construction in Vine Grove. The City of Bardstown completed a project to bury power lines to prevent power outages in areas of residential and commercial development. Regionally, ADF, Homeland Security, Local, and Hazard Mitigation funds have been utilized for the purchase of communication equipment, generators, weather radios, weather gauges, and public alert/warning systems.

Table 3.4.2.3 - Regional Goal 3							
Goal 3: Educate all regional	Category of	Estimated	Potential				
stakeholders about natural	Action	Cost to	Responsible				
hazards and the shared		Implement	Entity				
responsibility to mitigate impacts		Action					
on public health, welfare and							
safety.							
Objective 3.1: Educate entire region							
on prevalence of natural hazards in							
this area.							
Action 3.1a: Disseminate	Prevention	\$500-\$1000	LTADD				
information regionally, concerning	Awareness	per county	Emergency				
emergency response and mitigation		annually	Management				
measures							
Action 3.1b: Advertise and promote	Prevention	\$500-\$1000	Flood Plain				
the availability and benefits of the	Awareness	per county	Managers				
National Flood Insurance Program.		annually	Insurance				
			Agencies				
Action 3.1c: Distribute information	Prevention	\$200-\$400	LTADD				
on personal preparedness and safety	Awareness	per county	Local				
		annually	Government				
Action 3.1d: Provide potential	Prevention	\$1000-	P&Z				
property buyers with hazard data	Awareness	\$2000 per	Insurance				
and maps		county	Agencies				

Action 3.1e: Develop, maintain and	Prevention	\$3000-	Emergency
publicize evacuation routes	Awareness	\$5000 per	Management
		county	Local
			Government
Action 3.1f: Support the "FAST"	Prevention		LTADD Aging
program of the Area Agency on Aging	Protection		Dept.
to facilitate response to the elderly in		NA	Regional
coordination with the regional MRC,			Medical
in the event of a disaster.			Reserve Corps
Action 3.1g: Provide local units of	Prevention	NA	LTADD
government with Continuity of	Protection		Regional
Operations Planning (COOP).			Health Dept.
			State
Objective 3.2: Inform the Public			
about the damage that results from			
wind borne debris as a result of a			
tornado or severe storm.			
Action 3.2a: Educate the public	Prevention	\$2000-	Local
about the advantages of proper tree	Protection	\$3000 per	Government
maintenance.		county	Utility
			Companies
Objective 3.3: Maximize citizen			
preparedness for sever weather			
Action 3.3a Educate the public			
netion bibli. Educate the public	Prevention	\$500-\$2000	Media
about public warning systems,	Prevention Protection	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures.	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures.	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency Management
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures. Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency Management
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures. Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard Mitigation Program Grants and their	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency Management
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures. Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard Mitigation Program Grants and their potential benefits	Prevention Protection Awareness	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency Management
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures. Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard Mitigation Program Grants and their potential benefits Action 3.4a: Post notice of available	Prevention Protection Awareness Public	\$500-\$2000 per county	Media Local Government Emergency Management LTADD
about public warning systems, shelter locations, and individual preparedness measures. Objective 3.4: Publicize Hazard Mitigation Program Grants and their potential benefits Action 3.4a: Post notice of available funding opportunities on the LTADD	Prevention Protection Awareness Public Education &	\$500-\$2000 per county NA	Media Local Government Emergency Management LTADD

Table 3.4.2.4 - Regional Goal 4	
Goal 4: Develop and implement cost	
effective policies and measures that	
aid in mitigating the effects of	
natural hazards to human safety,	
infrastructure and natural resources	
throughout the region.	
Objective 4.1: Decrease losses	
associated with flooding, landslides, and	
karst topography/sinkholes.	

Action 4.1a: Train local officials and	Prevention	NA	LTADD
planning & zoning commissions on the	Education		FEMA
importance of incorporating hazard	Protection		
mitigation into land use planning.			
Action 4.1b: Use acquisition programs	Prevention	Specific to	Local
to increase green space and recreational		Project	Government
opportunities in flood prone areas.		,	
Action 4.1c: Protect natural resources	Prevention	NA	Local
and environmentally sensitive areas			Government
with regulations and zoning that			Planning &
precludes development in areas such as			Zoning
floodplains, wetlands, steep slopes, and			Commissions
karst topography/sinkholes.			
Objective 4.2: Reduce the vulnerability			L
of critical facilities from effects of all			
natural hazards			
Action 4.2a: Prioritize mitigation	Prevention	Project	Local
projects that reduce the vulnerability of		Specific	Government
critical facilities from natural hazards.		•	
Action 4.2b: Partner with all	Prevention	Project	Local
stakeholders to accomplish proper		Specific	Government
maintenance on utility easements.		•	Utilities
Objective 4.3: Develop and implement			L
regulations, ordinances, building codes,			
or zoning that improve community			
resiliency.			
Action 4.3a: Require safe rooms in	Prevention	NA	Local
residential developments of			Government
manufactured housing or mobile			Planning &
homes			Zoning
Action 43b: Reduce storm water	Prevention	Project	Local
flooding with systems funded with	1 i c v chición	Specific	Government
storm water utility fees Require such		opeenie	Planning &
systems in new developments with			Zoning a
subdivision regulations			Zomig.
Objective 44 : Create jurisdiction			
specific hazard mitigation policies that			
1. Increase CRS rating in NFIP			
communities			
2. Decrease disruptions to services			
and transportation			
3. Minimize damage to public			
infrastructure			
init abti actui ci			

Action 4.4a: Develop a flood plain management ordinance that does not permit the base flood elevation (BFE) to be raised.	Prevention	NA	Local Government Planning & Zoning				
Action 4.4b: Incorporate cumulative substantial damage data or improvement requirements into flood prevention ordinance.	Prevention	NA	Local Government Planning & Zoning				
Action 4.4c: Develop maintenance policy to routinely clean debris from waterways.	Prevention	Jurisdiction Specific	Local Government				
Action 4.4d: Prioritize cleanup and repair of roads after a natural hazard event.	Prevention	Jurisdiction Specific	Local Government				
Action 4.4e: Bury utility lines in areas with repetitive power outages due to natural hazards.	Prevention	Jurisdiction Specific	Local Government Utility Companies				
Objective 4.5: Improve severe weather warning systems to serve the population of the region.							
Action 4.5a: Encourage the purchase of weather radios for homes, businesses, and all care giving and educational facilities.	Prevention	\$50 per unit	Individuals Emergency Management				
Action 4.5b: Track population growth to determine the need for additional outdoor warning systems.	Prevention	Jurisdiction Specific	LTADD Local Government Emergency Management				

3.4.3 Implementation of Mitigation Measures

Approximately 60 elected officials and representatives from throughout the 8-county region, along with LTADD staff, prioritized the actions developed from the Regional Plan's goals and objectives. The table below shows the scoring criteria used in the prioritization process and reflects circumstances within each county. It should be noted that any kind of severe weather event could impact the scoring criteria for each jurisdiction. However, the goals and objectives contained in this plan are generally applicable throughout the region.

Implementation of these actions is dependent upon local resources, imminent need, and the availability of grant funds. However, a timetable has been assigned to each of the three ratings. The table lists actions and each county's corresponding score. Review of the scoring criteria helps to illustrate the similarities among the eight counties and underscores the potential for multi-jurisdictional projects and grant applications. As funding opportunities arise, jurisdictions can reference these tables to choose projects.

Timetable and corresponding score.

- High = 1 3 years to implement
- Medium = 4 6 years to implement
- Low = 7 10 years to implement

Table 3.4.3.1 Prioritization Table	able 3.4.3.1 - Prioritization Table						
Parameter	Scoring Criteria						
Appropriateness of Action	1 – High: Reduces vulnerability						
	2 – Medium: Needed, but not linked to vulnerabilities						
3 – Low: Inconsistent with identified needs							
Consistent with existing plan and	1 – High: Consistent with plan and priorities						
capabilities assessment	2 – Medium: Somewhat consistent						
1	3 – Low: Conflicts with plans and priorities						
Potential to save lives, reduce	1 – High: Major impact						
property damage and loss of	2 – Medium: Some impact						
services	3 – Low: Minimal impact						
Cost benefit analysis (refer to	1 – High: Extremely beneficial						
estimated cost column with actions)	2 – Medium: Beneficial						
, ,	3 – Low: Minimum benefit						

Table 3.4.3.2 - 2015 Ratings of Actions for Lincoln Trail Region by County								
Goal 1: Gather and Maintain a Comprehensive and Accurate Database of jurisdiction specific natural hazard and parcel data	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 1.1a: Align criteria and procedures for gathering and reporting natural hazard event data with the National Environmental Information Center.	Н	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.1b: Identify county contact for collecting natural hazard event data	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.2a: Incorporate GIS into mapping and tracking natural hazard event data.	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 1.2b: Encourage updating flood plain and area maps.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н

Goal 2: Improve emergency response time, constituent service and education, and regional organizational efficiencies.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 2.1a: Organize regional first responders. Educate the public about personal responsibility, services and available resources, in the event of a natural disaster.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action2.1b:Disseminateinformationonfamilypreparednessto the public	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.2a: Organize and train volunteers from agencies across the region	М	М	М	Н	М	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.3a: Encourage the use of mutual aid agreements that allow the use of first response equipment when & where needed.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 2.3b: Purchase emergency response equipment needed.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Goal 3: Educate all regional stakeholders about natural hazards and the shared responsibility to mitigate impacts on public health, welfare and safety.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 3.1a: Disseminate information regionally, concerning emergency response and mitigation measures.	М	Н	М	М	М	Н	М	Н
Action 3.1b: Advertise and promote the availability and benefits of the National Flood Insurance Program.	М	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	М
Action 3.1c: Distribute information on personal preparedness and safety.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.1d: Provide potential property buyers with hazard data and maps.	М	М	L	L	Н	Н	М	М
Action 3.1e: Develop, maintain and publicize evacuation routes.	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	М	Н

Action 3.1f: Support the "FAST" program of the Area Agency on Aging to facilitate response to the elderly in coordination with the regional MRC, in the event of a disaster.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.1g: Provide local government with Coop training.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.2a: Educate the public about the advantages of proper tree maintenance.	М	М	L	М	М	Н	Н	М
Action 3.3a: Educate the public about public warning systems and shelter locations.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 3.4a: Post notice of available funding opportunities on the LTADD website.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Goal 4: Develop and implement cost effective policies and measures that aid in mitigating the effects of natural hazards to human safety, infrastructure, and natural resources throughout the region.	Breckinridge	Grayson	Hardin	LaRue	Marion	Meade	Nelson	Washington
Action 4.1a: train local officials and planning & zoning people on the importance of incorporating hazard mitigation into land use planning.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.1b: Use acquisition programs to increase green space and recreational opportunities in flood prone areas.	М	М	L	М	М	М	Н	М
Action 4.1c: Protect natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas with regulations and zoning that precludes development in areas such as floodplains, wetlands, steep slopes, and karst topography/sinkholes.	М	Н	М	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.2a: Prioritize mitigation projects that reduce the vulnerability of critical facilities from natural hazards.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.2b: Partner with all stakeholders to accomplish proper maintenance on utility easements.	М	Н	L	М	Н	Н	Н	М

Action 4.3a: Require safe rooms in residential development of manufactured housing & mobile homes.	М	Н	М	Н	Н	М	М	М
Action 4.3b: Reduce storm water flooding with systems funded with storm water utility fees. Require such systems in new developments with subdivision regulations		Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4a: Develop a flood plain ordinance that does not permit the BFE to be raised.	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4b: Incorporate cumulative substantial damage data or improvement requirements into flood prevention ordinances.	М	Н	М	М	Η	Н	Η	М
Action 4.4c: Develop maintenance policy to routinely clean debris from waterways	М	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4d: Prioritize cleanup and repair of roads after a natural hazard event.	М	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.4e: Bury utility lines in areas with repetitive power outages due to natural hazards	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.5a: Encourage the purchase of weather radios for homes, businesses, and all care giving and educational facilities.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Action 4.5b: Track population growth to determine the need for additional outdoor warning systems.	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н

3.4.4 - Multi-Jurisdictional Strategy

The preceding actions are applicable to all jurisdictions throughout the region and are based on risk assessment (section 3.3). The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan has been adopted by each jurisdiction by resolution. Each local government understands that the implementation of these actions is dependent on several factors, such as available funding and cooperative agreements.

The information contained within the Regional Plan has assisted the eight Lincoln Trail Counties to increase mitigation efforts and complete projects, since the last update in 2010. The vulnerabilities in the plan have guided these efforts and been helpful in completing grant applications. An inventory of projects completed and underway was outlined in this section.

Through review of past successful projects and existing vulnerabilities, each county and city developed a list of future projects that pertain to the specific populations and conditions in each jurisdiction. During October and November of 2015, the elected officials of the Region, had the opportunity to review and discuss this list and add and/or change projects and prioritizations. The prioritization process comes from the original plan and is based on project cost, number of constituents served, and the potential impact on the region if no action is taken. When funds become available, this project list will be referenced to determine what project(s) should be undertaken.

Local partnerships among adjoining cities and counties will remain a priority when projects are chosen. This cooperative effort will be a vital component in the success of this regional plan.

Priority Ranking Reference

1 = High – 1-3 years to implement

- 2 = Medium 4-6 years to implement
- 3 = Low 7 10 years to implement

Table 3.4.4.1 - Futur	e Mitigation P	rojects: Bre	ckinridge County	7	
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
B1: Raise Bridge on	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$400,000	1
Tar Springs Road	6	Protection			
	Households				
B2: Raise Roadbed	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$150,000	1
of Stonehill-Ford Rd.	20,059*	Protection			
	population				
B3: Purchase One	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$8,400	1
Generator for	20,059*	Protection	Weather		
Repeater in Harned	population		Hazards		
B4: Purchase One	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$30,000	2
Generator for Public	25	Protection	Weather		
Housing Site	Households		Hazards		
B5: Install Outdoor	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$30,000	2
Siren System in	45	Protection	& Tornados		
Garfield	Households				
B6: Bank	County	Prevention	Landslides	\$175,000	2
Stabilization on Tar	20,059*				
Springs Road	population				

B7: Construct Safe	Hardinsburg	Protection	Thunderstorms	\$2 Million	1
Room in	2,343*		& Tornados		
Hardinsburg	population				
B8: Install three	Hardinsburg	Protection	Thunderstorms	\$90,000	1
new outdoor sirens	2,343*		& Tornados		
	population				
B9: Install New	Hardinsburg	Prevention	All Identified	\$200,000	2
Generator at	Serves Co. of	Protection	Weather		
Water/Sewer Plant	20,059*		Hazards		
B10: Install Storm	Hardinsburg	Prevention	Flooding	\$40,000	2
Water Culverts	2,343*	Protection		Each	
	population				
*All population data is	from the 2010	Census			

These Breckinridge County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.2 - Futu	ire Mitigation	n Projects: G	rayson County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
G1: Construct Two	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$2,000,000	1
Safe Rooms in	25,746*	Protection	& Tornados		
County	population				
G2: Install	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$90,000	1
Outdoor	25,746*	Protection	& Tornados		
Siren System	population				
G3: Purchase Five	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$180,500	2
Generators to	25,746*	Protection	Weather		
Power Critical	population		Hazards		
Facilities					
G4: Construct New	Caneyville	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,200,000	1
Community Safe	608*	Protection	& Tornados		
Room	population				
G5: Purchase Four	Caneyville	Prevention	All Identified	\$166,500	1
Generators	608*	Protection	Weather		
	population		Hazards		
G6: Dredge Creek	Caneyville	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1
	608*	Protection			
	population				
G7: Generator for	Leitchfield	Prevention	All Identified	\$40,000	1
City Hall	6,699*	Protection	Weather		
	population		Hazards		

G8: Construct New	Leitchfield	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,200,000	2		
Community Safe	6,699*	Protection	& Tornados				
Room	population						
G9: Purchase and	Leitchfield	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$60,000	1		
Install Two	6,699*	Protection	& Tornados				
Outdoor Sirens population							
*All population data is from the 2010 Census							

These Grayson County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.3 - Fu	iture Mitigation	Projects: Ha	ardin County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
H1: Construct	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$95,000	1
Two New	105,543*	Protection			
Bridges and	population				
Culverts					
H2: Construct	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$2,000,000	1
Two New Safe	105,543*	Protection	& Tornados		
Rooms	population				
H3: Install Four	County	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$80,000	1
New Outdoor	105,543*	Protection	& Tornados		
Sirens	population				
H4: Purchase	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$65,000	1
Ten Generators	105,543*	Protection	Weather		
	population		Hazards		
H5: Mobile EOC	County	Prevention	All Identified	\$15,000	1
Communication	105,543*	Protection	Weather		
Upgrades	population		Hazards		
H6: Make	Elizabethtown	Prevention	All Identified	\$500,000	2
Upgrades to	28,531*	Protection	Weather		
Community	population		Hazards		
Shelter					
H7: Upgrade	Elizabethtown	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$360,000	1
City's Outdoor	28,531*	Protection	& Tornados		
Warning System	population				
H8: Purchase 6	Elizabethtown	Prevention	All Identified	\$180,000	1
Emergency	28,531*	Protection	Weather		
Generators	population		Hazards		

H9: Upgrade	Radcliff	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$74,600	1
City's Outdoor	21,688*	Protection	& Tornados		
Warning System	population				
H10: Construct	Radcliff	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,500,000	2
New Community	21,688*	Protection	& Tornados		
Safe Room	population				
H11: Purchase	Radcliff	Prevention	All Identified	\$70,000	2
An Emergency	21,688*	Protection	Weather		
Generator for	population		Hazards		
Police					
H12:Purchase	Vine Grove	Prevention	All Identified	\$200,000	1
Four Emergency	4,520*	Protection	Weather		
Generators	population		Hazards		
H13: Install	Vine Grove	Prevention	All Identified	\$11,000	2
Transfer	4,520*	Protection	Weather		
Switches for 8	population		Hazards		
Lift Stations					
H14: Install	West Point	Prevention	Flooding	\$100,000	1
Storm Water	797*	Protection			
System in	population				
Riverview Area					
H15: Address I	West Point	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1
& I Issues with	797*	Protection			
Sewer Plant	population				
*All population da	ta is from the 202	10 Census			

These Hardin, LaRue and Marion County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA and hazard mitigation funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.4 - Future Mitigation Projects: LaRue County									
Project	Location/ Population	Accation/CategoryHazard(s)EstimatedPriorityPopulationofAddressedCostRanking							
	Benefit	Action							
L1: Complete Storm	Hodgenville	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1				
Water Mitigation	3,206*	Protection							
Project Along Main	population								
Street									
*All population data is fi	*All population data is from the 2010 Census								

Table 3.4.4.5 - Future Mitigation Projects: Marion County									
Project	Location/CategoryHazard(s)EstimatedPrioriPopulationofAddresseCostRankiBenefitActiond								
M1: Storm Water	Bradfordsville	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1				
System Overhaul for	294*	Protection							
Entire Community population									
*All population data is	s from the 2010 (Census							

Table 3.4.4.6 - Future Mitigation Projects: Meade County							
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority		
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking		
	Benefit	Action					
Md1: Bank	Brandenburg	Prevention	Flooding	\$1,200,000	1		
Stabilization on	2,643*	Protection					
Ohio River	population						
Downtown							
Brandenburg							
Md2: Construct	Ekron	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$1,000,000	1		
New Community	135*	Protection	& Tornados				
Safe Room	population						
Md3: Purchase	Muldraugh	Prevention	All Identified	\$150,000	1		
One Emergency	947*	Protection	Weather				
Generator to	population		Hazards				
Power City Hall &							
Police							
Department							
Md4: Upgrade	Muldraugh	Prevention	Thunderstorms	\$6,800	2		
City's Outdoor	947*	Protection	& Tornados				
Warning System	population						
Md5: Construct	Muldraugh	Prevention	Flooding	\$500,000	1		
5,200' of a Storm	947*	Protection					
Water System	population						
*All population data	a is from the 20	10 Census					

The Meade and Nelson County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA, and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Table 3.4.4.7 - Future	Mitigation Pr	ojects: Nelso	on County		
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking
	Benefit	Action			
N1: Purchase Backup	Bardstown	Prevention	All	\$94,000	1
Emergency Generator	11,700*	Protection	Identified		
for City Sewer Pump	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
N2: Construct	Bardstown	Prevention	Flooding	\$20,000	2
Emergency Road to	11,700*	Protection			
Waste Water	population				
Treatment Plant					
N3: Construct	Bardstown	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	1
Watershed Detention	11,700*	Protection			
Pond	population				
N4: Purchase Two	Bloomfield	Prevention	All		2
Emergency Generators	838*	Protection	Identified		
	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
N5: Raise Perry St.	Bloomfield	Prevention	Flooding		1
Bridge & Install Larger	838*	Protection			
Culverts	population				
N6: Purchase Two	Fairfield	Prevention	All	\$3,500	1
Emergency Generators	113*	Protection	Identified		
	population		Weather		
			Hazards		
*All population data is fi	om the 2010 0	Census			

Table 3.4.4.8 - Future Mitigation Projects: Washington County							
Project	Location/	Category	Hazard(s)	Estimated	Priority		
	Population	of	Addressed	Cost	Ranking		
	Benefit	Action					
W1: Install box	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$48,000	2		
Culverts on Grundy	11,717*	Protection					
Home Road	population						
W2: Replace the Ann	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$200,000	2		
Osborne Bridge	11,717*	Protection					
	population						
W3: Replace the	County	Prevention	Flooding	\$140,000	2		
Mayfield Lane Bridge	11,717*	Protection					
	population						
W4: Stabilize Banks of	County	Prevention	Landslides	\$200,000	1		
Cardwell-Tablow Rd.	11,717*	Protection		Each			
& Hardesty Road	population						

Storm Water Mgmt.	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$118,000	1
W6: Install 400' Storm Water Mgmt. System on Maplewood Ave.	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$8,000	1
W7: Install Storm Water Mgmt. System in Lebanon Hill Neighborhood	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$90,000	1
W8: Replace Main St. Storm Water Mgmt. System	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$120,000	1
W9: Install Storm Water Mgmt. System on Grundy Ave.	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$175,000	1
W10: Install Storm Water Mgmt. System in Zone A Flood Area	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$250,000	1
W11: Relocate 7 Homes on Ballard St. & Eddleman Ct. from Zone A Flood Area	Springfield 7 Households	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$315,000**	1
W12: Reconstruct Low Water Bridge	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	Flooding	\$190,000	3
W13: Purchase Emergency Backup Generator	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$75,000	2
W14: Install "One Call System" to Enhance 911 Program	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards	\$20,000	2
W15: Bury Utility Lines in Business District	Springfield 2,519* population	Prevention Protection	All Identified Weather Hazards red on Repetit	\$750,000	3 Pronerties

These Washington County projects will be funded with local money, homeland security, FEMA, and hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available. All decisions will be analyzed using cost/benefit analysis to determine that funds are used wisely to benefit the greatest number of people.

Appendix A: Forms

Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Evaluation Worksheet Public Opinion Survey

LINCOLN TRAIL REGION PLAN UPDATE EVALUATION WORKSHEET

HAZARD MITIGATION

Jurisdiction: _____ Date of Evaluation: ____/ /20____

PLAN SECTION	CONSIDERATIONS	Y/N/NA	COMMENT
	Should new jurisdictions and/or districts be invited to participate in future plan updates?		
g Process	Have any internal or external agencies been invaluable to the mitigation strategy?		
	Can any procedures (e.g., meeting announcements, plan updates) be done differently or more ef!ciently?		
Planni	Has the Planning Team undertaken any public outreach activities?		
	How can public participation be improved?		
	Have there been any changes in public support and/or decision- maker priorities related to hazard mitigation?		
nt	Have jurisdictions adopted new policies, plans, regulations, or reports that could be incorporated into this plan?		
Capability Assessme	Are there different or additional administrative, human, technical, and !nancial resources available for mitigation planning?		
	Are there different or new education and outreach programs and resources available for mitigation activities?		
	Has NFIP participation changed in the participating jurisdictions?		

	Has a natural and/or technical or human-caused disaster occurred?	
đ	Chould the list of becaude addressed	
	in the plan be modiled?	
	Are there new data sources and/or additional maps and studies available?	
ssessmer	If so, what are they and what have they revealed? Should the information be incorporated into future plan updates?	
Risk As	Do any new critical facilities or infrastructure need to be added to the asset lists?	
	Have any changes in development trends occurred that could create additional risks?	
	Are there repetitive losses and/or severe repetitive losses to document?	
Mitigation Strategy	Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated? Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate?	
	Should new mitigation actions be added to the Action Plan? Should existing mitigation actions be revised or eliminated from the plan?	
	Are there new obstacles that were not anticipated in the plan that will need to be considered in the next plan update?	
	Are there new funding sources to consider?	
	Have elements of the plan been incorporated into other planning mechanisms?	
an nance dures	Was the plan monitored and evaluated as anticipated?	
Pl _k Mainte Proce	What are needed improvements to the procedures?	

Mitigation Public Opinion Survey

For 2015 Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update



Your household has been randomly selected to participate in this survey about public perceptions and opinions regarding natural hazards in your county. In addition, we would like information regarding the methods and techniques you prefer for reducing the risks and losses associated with these hazards. The questionnaire should be completed by an adult, preferably the head of household. The information you provide will be used to help improve public/private coordination, mitigation, and risk reduction efforts in your county. The survey should take less than 30 minutes to complete.

This is a public opinion survey, the results of which will inform local natural hazard mitigation planning in Kentucky. Your returned, completed survey indicates your willingness to take part in the study. Participation in this study is voluntary. The survey is not intended to contribute to "generalizable knowledge" and <u>none of the</u> <u>information you provide will be attributed to you directly</u>. If you have questions regarding your rights as a research participant, please contact the Lincoln Trail Area Development District, PO Box 604, Elizabethtown KY, 42702, Phone 270-769-2393, website www.ltadd.org

NATURAL HAZARD INFORMATION

First we would like to know about your experiences involving natural hazards and your exposure to preparedness information.

- During the past five years in the county you currently reside in, have you or someone in your household directly experienced a natural disaster such as an earthquake, severe windstorm, flood, wildfire, or other type of natural disaster?
 - 🗆 Yes
 - □ No (IF NO Skip to Question 2)

If "YES", which of these natural disasters have you or someone in your household experienced in the past five years?

(Please check all that apply)

- Drought
- Dust Storm
- Earthquake
- □ Flood
- □ Landslide / Debris Flow
- □ Wildfire

- \Box Windstorm
- Volcanic Eruption
- Severe Winter Storm
- \Box Other (specify):
- 2. How concerned are you about the following natural disasters affecting your county? (Check the corresponding box for each hazard)

Natural Disaster	Very Concerned	Somewhat Concerned	Neutral	Not Very Concerned	Not Concerned
Drought					
Dust Storm					
Earthquake					
Flood					
Landslide / Debris Flow					
Wildfire					
Volcanic Eruption					
Wind Storm					
Severe Winter Storm					
Other:					

1

- 3. Have you ever received information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?
 - □ Yes
 - □ No (*IF NO Skip to Question 5*)
 - If "YES", how recently?
 - □ Within the last 6 months
 - □ Between 6 and 12 months
 - □ Between 1 and 2 years
 - □ Between 2 and 5 years
 - □ 5 years or more
- 4. From whom did you last receive information about how to make members of your household and your home safer from natural disasters?
 - (Please check only one)
 - News media
 - □ Government agency
 - □ Insurance agent or company
 - □ Utility company
 - □ University or research institution
 - □ Neighbor / friend / family member
- Elected official
- American Red Cross
- □ Other non-profit organization
- Social media (e.g. Facebook)
- □ Not sure
- 5. Whom would you most trust to provide you with information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

(Please check up to three)

- □ News media
- □ Government agency
- □ Insurance agent or company
- □ Utility company
- □ University or research institution
- □ Neighbor / friend / family member
- Elected official
- □ American Red Cross
- □ Other non-profit organization
- □ Social media (e.g. Facebook)
- □ Not sure
- □ Other:
- 6. What is the most effective way for you to receive information about how to make your household and home safer from natural disasters?

(Please check up to three)

Newspapers:

- □ Newspaper stories
- □ Newspaper ads

Television:

- □ Television news
- □ Television ads

Radio:

- □ Radio news
- □ Radio ads

Internet:

- □ Email newsletters
- □ Online news outlets
- □ Social media (e.g. Facebook)

Other methods:

- □ Schools
- Outdoor advertisements (billboards, etc.)
- Books
- Mail
- Fire Department/Rescue
- Fact sheet/brochure
- Chamber of Commerce
- Public workshops/meetings
- □ Magazine

Other:

- University or research institution
- 7. Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware of your county's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan (NHMP)? □ Yes
 - □ No
- 8. Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware that the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) requires your county to update the NHMP every five years in order for your county to be eligible for federal pre- and post-disaster hazard mitigation funds?
 - □ Yes
 - □ No

□ Other:_

COMMUNITY VULNERABILITIES AND HAZARD MITIGATION STRATEGIES

In order to assess community risk, we need to understand which community assets may be vulnerable to natural hazards in the region. Vulnerable assets are those community features, characteristics, or resources that may be impacted by natural hazards (e.g. populations with functional needs, economic components, environmental resources, etc.). The next set of questions will focus on vulnerable assets in your community and your preferred strategies to mitigate risk to those assets.

9. Community assets are features, characteristics, or resources that either make a community unique or allow the community to function. In your opinion, which of the following *categories* are most susceptible to the impacts caused by natural hazards in your county?

(Please rank the community assets in order of vulnerability, 1 being most vulnerable and	1 6
being least vulnerable)	

Community Assets	Potential Natural Hazard Impact	Order of Vulnerability	
Human	Loss of life and/or injuries		
Economic	Business closures and/or job losses		
Infrastructure	Damage or loss of bridges, utilities, schools, etc.		
Cultural/Historic	Damage or loss of libraries, museums, fairgrounds, etc.		
Environmental	Damage or loss of forests, rangeland, waterways, etc.		
Governance	Ability to maintain order and/or provide public amenities and services		

10. Next we would like to know what specific types of community assets are most important to you. (Check the corresponding box for each asset)

Community Assets	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Neutral	Not Very Important	Not Important
Elder-care facilities					
Schools (K-12)					
Hospitals					
Major bridges					
Fire/Police Stations					
Museums/Historic buildings					
Major employers					
Small businesses					
College / University					
City Hall / Courthouse					
Parks					
Other:					
11. A number of activities can reduce your community's risk from natural hazards. These activities can be both regulatory and non-regulatory. Please check the box that best represents your opinion of the following strategies to reduce the risk and loss associated with natural disasters.

Community-wide Strategies	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Sure
I support a regulatory approach to reducing risk						
I support a non-regulatory approach to reducing risk						
I support a mix of both regulatory and non- regulatory approaches to reducing risk						
I support policies to prohibit development in areas subject to natural hazards						
I support the use of tax dollars (federal and/or local) to compensate land owners for not developing in areas subject to natural hazards						
I support the use of local tax dollars to reduce risks and losses from natural disasters						
I support protecting historical and cultural structures						
I would be willing to make my home more disaster-resistant						
I support steps to safeguard the local economy following a disaster event						
I support improving the disaster preparedness of local schools						
I support a local inventory of at-risk buildings and infrastructure						
I support the disclosure of natural hazard risks during real estate transactions						

12. Natural hazards can have a significant impact on a community, but planning for these events can help lessen the impacts. The following statements will help determine citizen priorities regarding planning for natural hazards in your county. **Please tell us how important each one is to you.**

Statements	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Neutral	Not Very Important	Not Important
Protecting private property					
Protecting critical facilities (e.g. transportation networks, hospitals, fire stations)					
Preventing development in hazard areas					
Enhancing the function of natural features (e.g. streams, wetlands)					
Protecting historical and cultural landmarks					
Protecting and reducing damage to utilities					
Strengthening emergency services (e.g police, fire, ambulance)					
Disclosing natural hazard risks during real estate transactions					
Promoting cooperation among public agencies, citizens, non-profit organizations, and businesses					

4

MITIGATION AND PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD

Households can mitigate and prepare for natural hazards in order to prevent damage to property, injuries, and loss of life. The precautions you take and training you receive can make a big difference in your ability to recover from a natural disaster or emergency. Access to basic services, such as electricity, gas, water, telephones and emergency care may be cut off temporarily, or you may have to evacuate at a moment's notice. The following questions focus on your household's preparedness for disaster events.

(Please check one answer for each preparedness activity)

In your household, have you or someone in your household:	Have Done	Plan To Do	Not Done	Unable To Do
Attended meetings or received written information on natural disasters or emergency preparedness?				
Talked with members in your household about what to do in case of a natural disaster or emergency?				
Developed a "Household/Family Emergency Plan" in order to decide what everyone would do in the event of a disaster?				
Prepared a "Disaster Supply Kit" (stored extra food, water, batteries, or other emergency supplies)?				
In the last year, has anyone in your household been trained in First Aid or Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation (CPR)?				
Prepared your home by having smoke detectors on each level of the house				
Discussed or created a utility shutoff procedure in the event of a natural disaster?				

GENERAL HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION

Finally, we would appreciate any information you are willing to share with us about you and your household. This information will remain confidential and is for survey comparison purposes only.

- 14. Please indicate your age:
- 15. Gender: □ Male □ Female
- 16. Please indicate your level of education:
 - □ High school graduate/GED
 - □ Some college/trade school
 - □ College degree

17. What is your total household income?

- □ Less than \$10,000
- □ \$10,000 \$19,999
- □ \$20,000 \$29,999
- □ \$30,000 \$39,999
- \$40,000 \$49,999
- □ \$50,000 \$59,999

18. Zip code (optional):

- □ Postgraduate degree
- □ Other (please specify):
- □ \$60.000 \$69.999
- □ \$70,000 \$79,999
- □ \$80,000 \$89,999
- □ \$90,000 \$99,999
- □ \$100,000 \$149,999
- □ More than \$150,000

^{13.} In the following list, please check those activities that you <u>have done</u> in your household, <u>plan to do</u> in the near future, <u>have not done</u>, or are <u>unable to do</u>.

19. (County:			
	🗆 Br	reckinridge County		Marion County
	🗆 Gr	rayson County		Meade County
	🗌 Ha	ardin County		Nelson County
	🗌 La	aRue County		Washington County
20.	Please specify	your race:		
	🗆 Ar	merican Indian or Alaska Native		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
	🗆 As	sian		White
	🗆 BI	lack or African American		
21.	Please specify	your ethnicity:	_	
	L Hi	ispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino
00				
22.	How long nave	you lived in Kentucky?	_	40.40
	L Le	ess than one year		10-19 years
	□ 1-	-5 years		20 years or more
	□ 5-	-9 years		
00			_	
23.	Do you own or	rent your nome? 🛛 Own		Rent
24		nt o:		
24.		indo family homo		Condominium / townhouso
				Manufactured home
		upiex		Othor:
		partment (5-4 units in structure)		
		partment (5 or more units in		
	st	iruciure)		

Please feel free to provide any additional comments in the space provided:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR PROVIDING THIS INFORMATION

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District prepared this survey. Implementation of this survey has been made possible by funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency and Lincoln Trail ADD. For more information, please contact the Lincoln Trail ADD at: PO Box 604, Elizabethtown, KY 42702 or phone at 270-769-2393 or visit www.ltadd.org/hazardmitigation

Appendix B: Bibliography

Cutter, Susan L.: "*Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards*" from *Progress in Human Geography*,20, 4 pp. 529-539, Dept. of Geography, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, Arnold, 1996.

Cutter, Susan L., Mitchell, Jerry T., and Scott, Michael S.: *Handbook for Conduction a GIS-Based Hazards Assessment at the County Level*, Hazards Research Lab, Dept. of Geography, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 1997.

Odeh, David J.: "*Natural Hazards Vulnerability Assessment for Statewide Mitigation Planning in Rhode Island*" from *Natural Hazards Review*, Vol. 3, Num. 4, pp177-187, American Society of Civil Engineers, 2002.

FEMA: *Local Mitigation Planning Handbook*, Federal Emergency Management Agency, March 2013.

Access to information from the internet was critical to the completion of this plan. The following sites were primary sources of data used in this effort.

Government

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) www.fema.gov

Focus: hazard types, mitigation strategies and hazard planning.

United States Census Bureau www.census.gov

Focus: demographic data on populations, TIGER GIS data.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA) www.noaa.gov

Focus: historic climatic data, events, costs.

National Center for Environmental Information(NCEI), NOAA & National Weather Service http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html

Focus: Weather Event data, 1950-Sept. 2015.

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – Appendix B

United States Geologic Survey (USGS) http://usgs.gov/

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/activity/past.html

Focus: National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) Databases, "USGS/NEIC 1973-2015" & "Eastern, Central and Mountain States of U.S., 1534 - 1986".

Disaster Help www.disasterhelp.gov

Focus: Public information about disasters and response issues.

USDA Risk Management Agency http://www.rma.usda.gov/other/

Focus: Agricultural loss statistics.

Educational

University of South Carolina, Hazard Research Lab http://www.cas.sc.edu/geog/hrl/index.htm

Focus: SHELDUS Data Base, July 2003.

University of Nebraska – Lincoln, National Drought Mitigation Center http://www.drought.unl.edu/index.htm

Focus: Drought issues and statistics.

University of Colorado, Natural Hazards Center http://www.colorado.edu/hazards/

Focus: Numerous links and data about natural hazards.

Stanford University, National Performance of Dams Program

http://npdp-devserv.stanford.edu/front.html

Focus: Information about dams and failure issues.

Cornell University, USDA Economics, Statistics and Market Information System http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/

2015 Lincoln Trail Region Hazard Mitigation Plan – Appendix B

Focus: Agricultural Census data and miscellaneous economic factors.

Private

Natural Hazards.org http://www.naturalhazards.org/

Focus: Numerous links and data about natural hazards.

National Crop Insurance Services http://www.ag-risk.org/

Focus: Crop disaster issues and data.

Appendix C: Notices, Resolutions

County Participation Resolutions Sample shown - Breckinridge County

Public Hearing Notice for comment Jan 7th, 2016

County Adoption Resolution Sample shown – Hardin County

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0309 Resolution to Participate in the Planning Process for The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation 2015 Plan Update

Breckinridge County, Kentucky

WHEREAS, the County recognized the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within Breckinridge County; and

WHEREAS, the County has prepared a multi-hazard and flood mitigation plan, hereby known as the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS, the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in Breckinridge County from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS, participation in the planning process by the County demonstrates their commitment to the hazard and flood mitigation plan and updating data, goals and actions in the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan Update.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY BRECKINRIDGE COUNTY, KENTUCKY, THAT, the local governing body will participate in planning for the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan Update.

Done this 9th Day of March 2015.

Maurice Lucas County Judge-Executive

2015 Date

ATTEST

Kathina Bell Breckinridge County Fiscal Court Clerk

Date

Public Hearing Notice

To all interested citizens of the Kentucky Counties of Breckinridge, Grayson, Hardin, LaRue, Marion, Meade, Nelson and Washington:

The *Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan* has been rewritten and updated and is available for review and comments. A regional public hearing will be held Thursday, January 7, 2016 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. EST, with an alternate date of January 12, 2016 in the event of inclement weather. The purpose of this hearing is to obtain views on the plan, review proposed activities, goals and proposed mitigation projects, and solicit public comment. The meeting will be held at the office of Lincoln Trail Area Development District, 613 College St. Rd., Elizabethtown, KY. 42701.

Participation in the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Plan, by the 35 jurisdictions it represents, is a federal requirement by the Federal Emergency Management Agency:

- A. Per Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201, for FEMA assistance in the event of a disaster.
- B. To participate in the Emergency Management Activities of mitigation, prevention, protection, preparedness, response and recovery.
- C. To increase regional resiliency and preparedness.

Comments on The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

A draft copy of the Plan is available for citizens' review and comment at the Lincoln Trail ADD website (Itadd.org). Comments on the proposed application may be submitted to the attention of Janice Rawson at the above address, until the close of business on Tuesday, January 12, 2016.

Discrimination Clause

Lincoln Trail ADD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion or disability, and provides, upon request, reasonable accommodation, including auxiliary aids and services, to afford an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to participate in all services, programs and activities. Any persons requiring special needs assistance should contact Janice Rawson at 877.255.8233 at least five days prior to the meeting. The TDD number for the hearing impaired is 800.648.6057.

NOTE: ADA Contacts

Department for the Blind	800.877.KYBLIND	www.apps.blind.ky.gov
American Printing House	800.223.1839	www.aph.org
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing	800.372.2907	www.kcdhh.org
For Interpreter Director		www.hcdhh.org/access/interpdir.html
State Relay TTY Number	800.648.6057	

Resolution To Adopt The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation 2015 Plan Update Resolution Number _____

Hardin County, Kentucky

WHEREAS the County recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within Hardin County; and

WHEREAS the County has prepared a multi-hazard and flood mitigation plan, hereby known as the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan in accordance with the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation and Flood Mitigation Plan identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in Hardin County from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS adoption by the County demonstrates their commitment to hazard and flood mitigation and achieving goals outlined in the 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY HARDIN COUNTY, KENTUCKY, THAT:

- 1. Hardin County adopts the Lincoln Trail Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan; and
- 2. Vests the Lincoln Trail Area Development District with the responsibility, authority and means to inform all concerned parties of this action; and
- 3. Agrees to review the document on a regular basis according to the Plan Maintenance Procedures; and
- 4. Agrees to carry out plan actions to achieve objectives of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard and Flood Mitigation Plan.

Adopted this ______ day of ______, 2015.

County Judge/Executive

Date

Attest:

Date

Appendix D: Meeting Notification and Attendance

Hazard Mitigation Kick-off Meeting. Jan. 29, 2015

Integrating Hazard Mitigation into Local Planning Meeting. Sept. 17, 2015

Hazard Mitigation Public Hearing. Jan. 12, 2016



613 College St. Rd. P.O. Box 604 Elizabethtown, KY 42702 Phone: 270-769-2393 Fax: 270-769-2993 TDD: 800-247-2510 Equal opportunity employer M/F/D

MEMORANDUM

То:	All County Judge/Executives, City Mayors, County Emergency Managers
From:	Wendell Lawrence MUKP

Date: January 5, 2015

Subject: 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District has received funding from a FEMA Pre-disaster Mitigation grant to accomplish the mandatory update of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan. Every City and County in our region <u>must</u> participate in this planning process in order to be eligible for any FEMA Hazard Mitigation assistance in the event of a declared disaster such as an ice storm or tornado.

To kick off this effort, LTADD will host a training meeting on January 29, 2015 from 10:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. (EST). The training will be held at the Muldraugh Safe Room in Muldraugh. Several state and local officials will provide training and information on the elements of community preparedness and resiliency. The agenda is included in this mailing as is a map showing the location of the Muldraugh Safe Room. The Safe Room was a Hazard Mitigation Grant Program project. Many thanks to Mayor Noon for his hospitality.

Lunch will be provided as well as a customized planning packet for each jurisdiction within the Lincoln Trail ADD. Elected officials training hours credit is pending. Please plan to attend, or designate someone from your jurisdiction to attend on your behalf. Please **R.S.V.P**. to Karen Weaver at 270-769-2393 or at Karen@ltadd.org. Do not hesitate to contact us if you have questions.

AGENDA

HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2015 10:30 to 3:00 p.m.

At Muldraugh Safe Room Main Street Muldraugh, KY



Sponsored by Lincoln Trail Area Development District

Workshop Agenda:

COOP Training (Continuity of Operations Planning) Presented by Ms. Eva Wilson, NFG NG KYARNC

Citizen Preparedness Presented by Ron Dodson & Angel Gates, Meade Co. Emergency Management

Community Resiliency Presented by Joe Sullivan, Warning Coordination Meteorologist, National Weather Service And Doug Finlay, Hardin Co. Emergency Management

> Mitigation Actions for Land Use Planning Presented by Lincoln Trail ADD Planners

> > **Question/Discussion Period**

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	FMA-2013-0014	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
1.	Ron Dodsa	Director	Meade GEMA	Bart Koffele	meadecma ebstel.co
2.	Joseph E Noom	mayon	mudroyh 127	All	muldraugh@bbtencom
3.	Olivia Berry	EM Courdinator	Hardin Co EM	Openz	encoordinator a houdin-co
4.	Dory Finlay	Director	Hardin Co EM	Vou Finlag	endirector@hardin.c.
5.	Intel Robinson	G15 Supersolest	LTADD	much Kohn	mrobinson @ Itald.org
6.	Angel Gates	Deputt Director	Mesde Co. EMA	Angel Dates	angel-gates & Yohoo.c
7.	Holly Fouler	City Cleek	bardivisione	the foule	clerka hardinstug
8.	Michele WALters	GIS Jech	LTADD	Maklukavers	
9.	Janice Rauson	PAS	LTADD	Jamice Raws	
10 -	Ashley Willoughby	Comm Devel. Spec.	LTADD	Oshen Halloughy	
11.	JOE SULLUAN	WARNING COORD M.	T NWS <	Abbell	Joe. Sullivan@noaa-go
12.	Sheile Pinckett	Pw. Director	City of level hi le	Abil Pulut	sheila. puebetta leitertiiki.
13.	Tim Bartley	P.W. Director	city of New Haven	Tim Kontog	Cartley 89740 gmulicon

Page / of 5: FMA-2013-0014, Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional Flood Hazard Mitigation Plan

Projects: FMA-2013	3-0014		Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015	
Facilitator: Lincoln Tr Robinson,	ail Area Development District Wendell Lawrence	t (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe F	loom, Muldraugh, KY
PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signatu	re	E-Mail
Rebecca Hayse	Treasurer	Grayson Co. Fiscal Ct.	Alecca	Haype	gatreasurer &
fori Buton	City Cork/Ireasure	City of Hodgemalle	tonic puton	0	hodgenville clerke windstream.net
Steven Schwan	Polic offic	Hodgem the Palie	Sta John	1 0	Steve 173 @ Wind Stan, ward
Vick GeINGTERD	Planning Grants Manager	UNIVERSITY KY	Not him	tes	nick.grinstende uky.adu
JARKMalone	Admin	Ertour Fore (Leykoal	Lone	work malone ectico
reg Ashuceth	Ris4 Manager	CITY OF Bardstown	A		Bashwarth @ bardstowneghk.
The hison	Planning Coordinater	KYEM S	Pratut	Eli	eva. K.W. Ison. nfg@ma
handra Celas-	Adm. asst.	Co.C. Fisul Ct. (un de		Punknatbytuc
MISSY GOUDWIN	Unital Trasent	CULARENTPOINE	Mell		Missycwestpointly.
MARTY MION	EMA Dene For	Breck Co EMA	margy	inc	MMoore & BB
Anthony Cee	Public Worly Din	City of Muldraugh	AD2		multipublicavorks e qmail
bull Flor	Massa la	CERY RA DEALIN	Q. 13	200	docate

Page 2 of 5: FMA-2013-0014, Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional Flood Hazard Mitigation Plan

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING Projects: Meeting Date: FMA-2013-0014 January 29, 2015 Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Facilitator: Place/Room: Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY Robinson, Wendell Lawrence PRINT Name Title Agency Signature E-Mail 27. grewille Volice Chief 28. nthony ar-K Fire chief 400 anequille Cpdclark 122@ yahos. Com lu City Clerk / Applicant's shle 29. Shles KUSSO 4000 arusso Crade lifforg Agent 30. lackie a Vinequoce. org Citu Clerk/Treasurer inve City 500 a 0 Fire Chref Em Steven New 31. City of Vive Gous VGFD 600 a) Ad. Com 32. 15 Nelson Co NEEMS John @Hol. com Deputy Diverter nealossamyini 33. En Director Jevine Wever Chellsold ... et 34. evin hetell mayor clarkson Ky, Con 35. onnie Henderson NI ayor arka elso 36. erK clerkeclarksonky.com 1010 11000 37. molly@lobtel.com lenbury 38. ikk: Wheatley Cit hblack elebanorky.org baron 39. MUJOLO WESTRATED CHY OT

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	FMA-2013-0014	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
40.	WENDER LAWENCE	EY. DIM	LTADD	hac	wendalle Haddiorg
41.	MIKEBURRESS	DEALTY DOR	LTKOD	Tuk huran	M, KEQLTHOD.URG
42.	MAURICE LURAS	Judge Exec	Breck. Co.	Thampy Jun ,	MAURICE HUCAS @ 66 btel com
43.	Eric Vurtrees	Em. Mgmt.	Breck Co	cue sutures	
44.	Phonda Hagan	Mayor	Cityof Bloom Reld	Padato	rhagan@bardstorncable.
45.	Janet Graves			fant me	
46.	Jm Chisson	Council	CITY OF MAR	o Salessi	\
47.	Sue Case	Council	Bloomfield	Sue Cese	
48.	LISA BAllman	CityClerk	Invington	Lise Ballman	lisa@irvingtonky.org
49.	Vvonne Kennedy	Mayor	Tryington	Arran Bernely	mayor @irvingtonky. ora
50.	Scott Thompson J	Maint. tech	cty 7 Bloomfield	Stat.	Sthompson Cnentd. org
51.	Deedee Whitely	Em. Mgnt Applic.	Brayson G. EM	Alledy alletel	deedeenhitelyagnest.com
52.	ErniePerkins	Grayson Co. E.M. Dire dor	Grayson Co EM	5-72	ernieperkins 37500 yahou.

Page 4 of 5: FMA-2013-0014, Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional Flood Hazard Mitigation Plan

Projects: FMA-2	2013-0014		Meeting Date: January	29, 2015
acilitator: Lincol Robin	n Trail Area Development Dis son, Wendell Lawrence	trict (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mi	ke Place/Room: Muldrau	gh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY
PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
JAMIE ALNOLASON	Fiel Colice	RADCULH F.EL	Jull	ihenderson @ radelit.o
DENNIS WELLS	DIRECTOR	Useus to be	Jen le	& wens @ comeco
lathaviel Hall	all Director Deputy EM	Lake Co. EM	the fit	what le larve courty, or
Joe Prewlift	Dirator Em	Nelson Co	Jose Track	NEEMS JOC @ Adlice
Ames BARtley	WAter & Sewer	city of New Haven	James Barthy	
laroline Clin	e City Clark Tre	as Muldraugh	Caroline J. Cline	muldraugh ebbte
Murray Warm	er Planning Office	el City of Robert	March	mwanneradelle
Marlie Ashbaugh	Police (Thied	Muldraugh	Cert &	charlie, ashbaughoy
Justina Cook	Registal Schor planer	BGADD	the	Scool @ Gyedd. ory
learn Lacy	comm. per. Sea	14	/ Cann Laur	lacye byaddar

Page 5 of 5: FMA-2013-0014, Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional Flood Hazard Mitigation Plan

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) **HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING**

Projects:	PDM-2013-0005			
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Pla		

eting Date: January 29, 2015 ace/Room:

Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

Name PRINT Title Agency Signature E-Mail 1. 54 Middraugh muldraughebbtel. Cu boon mayor 2. Hardin Co EM 19920 emccordinatora EM Cardinator livia R. Bern 3. Hardin Co EM Director Orig Finla endirectorla burdia.co MILCEMA Direta 4. 5. LTADD mrobinson @ LTADOS, 6. EMA rales Meade Co. EA; angel gales A Puloo. com 7. levk 8. 9. Comm. Dev. TADD 10. Shley 4/illoughky TIADD awillonglby @ (talk og City of 11. kil Tuchet Lautchild uckett@ Vertilit WARNING COXP. 12. runt NW ELEOROLABIST Wan@udaa.gov 13. JAMES BARtley Ver HALKO ame

Page / of 5: PDM-2013-0005, Lincoln Trail Area Develop District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	PDM-2013-0005	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
14.	Chandra Clenn	Admin. asst.	Coragon Co. File	a. Cur Se	Punkin at by take in
15.	Rebecca Hayse	Treasurer	Grayson & Fisich	ct Releasen Hause	actreasurer abatelco.
16.	Joiei Burton	Clerk/Jrecsurer	Cità B Hoelgenville	Loni Durton	Chockenville clerk com
17.	Steven Johnson	Polia offici	Hedgewille Pelio	At John	Stere 173 2 wind Stherm wet
18.	Evaluisan	Planning Coordinator	KYEM	Fra Kattli	ero, k.w.lson n 60 mail.mil
19.	Nick Genistros	PLANNE GANS 3 MANKERE	UNIVESSING OF KY	nothint	nick go instanda
20.	Greg Ashworth	Risk Managur	CITY OF Bardstown	R	gashworth @
21.	marghin	EMA Dencion	Brech Co KAA	may mar	MMILLE & BR. Ful. Con
22.	Melissa Goudwin	applient/neasing	City of west point	Ma	Missy Questpoint Ky, or eg
23.	Anthory Cee	Public Works Dia	City of multiaugh	Atta	muldpubly applese and an
24.	David Edelen	mayor	City of Bradton	dostle Dail Och	de de lou Elhotma / a
25.	David Daugherty	contr Traje	medow county Julye	Sand Daylink	mission to Jude Our Stramment
26.	James P. Embry	Mayor	City of Caneville	Jam P. Al	i ser to see to
	/	/	/ _ /	1	

Page 2 of 5: PDM-2013-0005, Lincoln Trail Area Develop District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	PDM-2013-0005	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
27.	Anthony Clark	Police Chief Fire Chief	CityoFCanequille	e anthy Clark	cpd clark 122 Dyahoo com
28.	Aspley Russo	City Clerk / Ferna Agent	City of Rade Iff	Cichley Russ	arusso Crader Ff. org
29.	ackie bhoson	Cityclerk/Treasurer	City of Vine Grove	Jacken Johnson	Ackie avinegrove org
30.	Steven P New	Fire Clue F E/M	City of World row	lt RN-	VEFDLOOD Ad. Com
31.	Keuin Devine	ER Direct-	bushing ton Co Spring talk	the n	Willen @ Sellsouflinet
32.	John Htras Hendriks	Em Director	Nelsons Co.	Johan Jufit	NCEMSJohn @ Aol. com
33.	Todd C. Neal	Grants Mgr	KYEM	Jodd CHa	toldineal Cusarmy,
34.	Bonnie Henderson	Mayor	City of Clarksa	Donnie A. Henderson	mayor Clarkson Ky. Con
35.	alicia Haves	City Clerk	City of Clarkson	alicia Hayes	der K@ clarksonky.com
36.	Molly Janes	City Clerk	City of Brancenber	a Male Ques	molly@ bbtel.com
37.	Nikk Wheatley	Cityclerk	City of Lebano	Gigen Wheater	ablackelebaroaky.org
38.	William Ash	mayon	C.F. oFWEJPout	ASACHA	MAYOR OWEST PORTEL. ONS
39.	WENDER LAWRENCE	Ex. DIM.	LTADD	Jol	wendell@ +all.com

Page 3 of 5: PDM-2013-0005, Lincoln Trail Area Develop District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	PDM-2013-0005	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
40.	Mike Bureas	DEPUTY DOR.	LTHORS	Tuk Runa	MILLEOLTHODORY
41.	MAURICE LUCAS	Judge-Exec	Beeck Co	Shaungfund m.	AURICELUCAS OBBALICE
42.	Eric Vertras	Em. Mgmt.	Breck Co.	Cir Lection	
43.	Phondattagan	Mayor	Cuty of Bloomfield	theaft	rhagan @bardsternced
44.	Jamet Grould		Celigo Bloomfe	and fund to	ne
45.	JIM BL 1350	COUDER	te le d	Jun Llison	INDRISS ON @ ROZ, OW
46.	Sue CASE	Council	City of Blomilies	I Sue Case	
47.	Kisa Ballman	City Clerk	Jurneton	Lise Ballman	lisa@Irvinatonky.org
48.	Yvonne Kennedy	Mayor	Irvington	Anne Ternedy	mayor@irvingtonky.or
49.	Scott Thompson	mai-t. tech	city & Bloomfield	Stat	Sthompson Crent do 03
50.	Deedee Whitely	Eppers. Migut Applic. fst.	Grayson G. EM	Aleder apitel	deedeenhitdye mail.a
51.	Ernie Perkins	E.M. Director	Grayson Cott	E	ernieperkins 3750 gah
52.	JAMIE HENDLESON	FIRE CHIEF	RADCUTT FIRE	Jull	Thendersompradelittory

Page 4 of 5: PDM-2013-0005, Lincoln Trail Area Develop District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET: LINCOLN TRAIL AREA DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (LTADD) HAZARD MITIGATION TRAINING

Projects:	PDM-2013-0005	Meeting Date:	January 29, 2015
Facilitator:	Lincoln Trail Area Development District (LTADD): Janice Rawson, Mike Robinson, Wendell Lawrence	Place/Room:	Muldraugh Safe Room, Muldraugh, KY

	PRINT	Name	Title	Agency	Signature	E-Mail
53.	DENNE	s Wars	DIRECTORFM	LARVE O. En	Dely	d wous a course we
54.	Notherie	1 Hall	911 Director Deputy EM	Lakie Co. EM	1 Al ful	nhall@larueconvty.org
55.	Joe P.	rewitt	Arector EM	Delson Co.	for hut	NOEMS Soc @ Ao/. com
56.	Time	Bartley	P.W. Director	City of New Havan	Tim Bottos	burtley 8974@gmail.com
57.	Caroli	ine Cline	City Clerk/Treas.	Muldraugh	Caroline D. Cline	muldraughe bbtel.com
58.	MARK	Malone	Adron. Chief	Elizabethter	Malk Nalone	elizabethteunky. 9
59.	Murr	ay Wanner	Planningoffab	Cityof Raddula	+ thall	mwanner@raddiff.or
60.	Charlie	Ashbaugh	Police Chief	Muldmuch	CepAQ	Charlie ashbaugh Dyahor
61.	Joshu	a Coole	Regional Planner	BEADR	ton	Scool co bgedd.org
62.	leanr	1 lacy	comm. Der. Spec.	NI.	Dean Lawy	Macye bogadd.org
63.						
64.						
65.						

Page 5 of 5: PDM-2013-0005, Lincoln Trail Area Develop District (LTADD): Multi-Jurisdictional, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Update



613 College St. Rd. P.O. Box 604 Elizabethtown, KY 42702 Phone: 270-769-2393 Fax: 270-769-2993 TDD: 800-247-2510 Equal opportunity employer M/F/D

MEMORANDUM

	To:	All County Judge/Executives, Mayors, Planning and Zoning Commissions, Boards of Adjustment, and Interested Parties.
02	From:	Janice Rawson, Public Administration Specialist
	Date:	August 20, 2015
	Subject:	HB 55 Training for all persons concerned with and/or interested in Planning & Zoning (<u>REGISTRATION</u> REQUIRED)

The Lincoln Trail Area Development District will host a HB 55 training workshop for locally elected officials, planning and zoning officials and members of any Boards of Adjustment. The session will be held at the Lincoln Trail Area Development District Office in Elizabethtown at 5 p.m. (EDT), Thursday, September 17, 2015. Registration will begin at 4:30 p.m. The cost is \$5.00 per person and includes the cost of training materials, a training certificate and a boxed dinner. This session will count as three (3) hours of training and should be over by 8:00 p.m.

The Lincoln Trail Community and Economic Development Department will present *Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning*. This session will give local planners and decision makers an opportunity to review strategies. There will be ample opportunity for questions and discussion.

Because we must pay for food in advance, all who register will be charged if they do not cancel by 4:30 p.m., September 11, 2015. Please RSVP with Karen Weaver at 270-769-2393 by close of business, September 11, 2015. If you would rather email your registration, you may contact Karen at <u>Karen@ltadd.org</u>. Please note that the spelling of names on your registration will appear on the training certificate.

Note: Local units of government with planning and zoning commissions are responsible for monitoring the meeting and training requirements of KRS 100.

If you have questions or need more information, do not hesitate to call.

AGENDA

HB 55 Training – Integrating Hazard Mitigation Into Local Planning THURSDAY, September 17, 2015 5:00 to 8p.m.

At Lincoln Trail Area Development District office 613 College Street Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701



Sponsored by Lincoln Trail Area Development District

Workshop Agenda: Introductions Mapping Transportation Water/Wastewater Infrastructure General Planning

Presented by:

LTADD Community and Economic Development DepartmentMike BurressDeputy DirectorMike RobinsonGIS SpecialistMike SkaggsMPO PlannerAaron HawkinsTransportation PlannerAshley WilloughbyWater CoordinatorJanice RawsonPublic Administration Specialist

HB55 TRAINING

2

September 17, 2015

b

14

Name (PLEASE PRINT)	Representing	Phone No.	Email Address	SIGNATURE Jocke
Kenny Devore	City of Hodgenville	antorice	hodbenvilleclerk@undstream.net	see mary pelow
Mitchell Key	4		¥	mithe a Ble
Donald Costello	¥		¥	
Toni Burton	¥	pd w/ VI	tor 7 attendees +	Jone Benton
Bonnie Clark -	¥	Y 0.55	¥	Bri Gall
Sharon Hornback	¥		¥	X Staron Hould
Larry Davis	Hodgenville Council	Toni	¥	Jarris Onix
Roger Ledridge	City of Hardinsburg	concelle	holly@hardinsburg.org	Concelled
Brenda Edge	¥		¥	Dranda Eda
David Hayes	¥		*	+ Surt.
Buddy Parker	Washington Co. Planning Administr	65936-5415	Bparker28@yahoo.com	Rullerach
Charlie Allgeier	Meade Co Planning & Zoning	Timmi	accountspayable@meadeky.gov	X Cherlie algein
Chuck Hansbrough	¥		¥	Alin the heart
Rob Pack	¥		¥	Jung. J

HB55 TRAINING

September 17, 2015

.

Name (PLEASE PRINT)	County	Phone No.	Email Address	SIGNATURE
√ David Masterson	Ŧ		Ť	SIGNATORE
Randall Hardesty	¥		¥	Roma all Hay destu
Jeff Embrey	City of Brandenburg		molly@bbtel.com	× John Entra
Sheila Puckett	Leitchfield-Public Works & Zoning		Sheila.puckett@leitchfield.org	× Shirt Purperto
\checkmark Charles McCreary	City of West Point P&Z		Gaye Moore asstclerk@westpointky.org	Q. M.C.
√Donna McCreary	¥		4	+ Conalla gom
✓ Chris Mayhew	Vine Grove 1 P&Z Admin		chris@vinegrove.org	see flomers
✓ Sandra White	Planning Comm 2-		¥	Belling-
√Gary Ford	Planning Comm 3		¥	- Alaki Lad
>/Ivy Mitchell	Bd of Adjustments ⁴		¥	1 Pmit D.M
- Sam Pearson	↓ 5		¥	V POP
Robert Thompson	↓ 6		¥	De Sterry
Phyllis Mitchell	¥ 7		¥	The elimento
√Dagmar Rennaker	↓ 8		¥	XII N. G
Thomas Billing	9			blor Byly

-

-

HB55 TRAINING

	1.1.2.5		1	
Name (PLEASE PRINT)	County	Phone No.	Email Address	SIGNATURE
/Kathy Sisco	Vine Grove City Council	10	¥	Aller Sim
/ Steve Wardrip	Meade County P&Z	Timmi	accountspayable@meadeky.gov	x Stine Way
/ Tom Goddard	¥	-	¥	x S Groballo
Eli Dix	¥	Cancel	led 4	10-10
Gary Chapman	¥	Cancer	led +	ρ
Gerry Lynn	Ψ		¥	Van La
Dodie Maier	Racliff Planning Commission	Denise	dhaynes@radcliff.org	x Watin Main
Archie A. Mack	Radel: ff BOA	270-357-6159	AF-YEBHAM DEWC. COM	Achor A Mach S.
andy Newberry	LaRue			XRA MA
1 /	BOA			
ta williams	LaRue BOA			x Rita Thiel
BILL BETSON	VINE GRAVE Prz	(270) 319.3430	betsonwr@me.cono	Willeam Drad
EDHEN BUAKE DUDE	TE LOQUE		black duratto	Spar

September 17, 2015

e •

. . .

Public Hearing Notice

To all interested citizens of the Kentucky Counties of Breckinridge, Grayson, Hardin, LaRue, Marion, Meade, Nelson and Washington:

The *Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan* has been rewritten and updated and is available for review and comments. A regional public hearing will be held Thursday, January 7, 2016 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. EST, with an alternate date of January 12, 2016 in the event of inclement weather. The purpose of this hearing is to obtain views on the plan, review proposed activities, goals and proposed mitigation projects, and solicit public comment. The meeting will be held at the office of Lincoln Trail Area Development District, 613 College St. Rd., Elizabethtown, KY. 42701.

Participation in the Lincoln Trail Hazard Mitigation Plan, by the 35 jurisdictions it represents, is a federal requirement by the Federal Emergency Management Agency:

- A. Per Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 201, for FEMA assistance in the event of a disaster.
- B. To participate in the Emergency Management Activities of mitigation, prevention, protection, preparedness, response and recovery.
- C. To increase regional resiliency and preparedness.

Comments on The Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan

A draft copy of the Plan is available for citizens' review and comment at the Lincoln Trail ADD website (Itadd.org). Comments on the proposed application may be submitted to the attention of Janice Rawson at the above address, until the close of business on Tuesday, January 12, 2016.

Discrimination Clause

Lincoln Trail ADD does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion or disability, and provides, upon request, reasonable accommodation, including auxiliary aids and services, to afford an individual with a disability an equal opportunity to participate in all services, programs and activities. Any persons requiring special needs assistance should contact Janice Rawson at 877.255.8233 at least five days prior to the meeting. The TDD number for the hearing impaired is 800.648.6057.

NOTE: ADA Contacts

Department for the Blind	800.877.KYBLIND	www.apps.blind.ky.gov	
American Printing House	800.223.1839	www.aph.org	
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing	800.372.2907	www.kcdhh.org	
State Relay TTY Number	800.648.6057	www.hcdhh.org/access/interpdir.html	



MEMORANDUM

613 College St. Rd. P.O. Box 604 Elizabethtown, KY 42702 Phone: 270-769-2393 Fax: 270-769-2993 TDD: 800-247-2510 Equal opportunity employer M/F/D

To:	All County Judge/Executives, City Mayors, County Emergency
	Managers
From:	Wendell Lawrence

Date:

Subject: 2015 Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Executive Director

December 22, 2015

As you know, the Lincoln Trail Area Development District received funding from a FEMA Pre-disaster Mitigation grant to accomplish the mandatory update of the Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan. Every City and County in our region <u>was required</u> to participate in this planning process in order to be eligible for any FEMA Hazard Mitigation assistance in the event of a declared disaster such as an ice storm or tornado. That requirement extends to the adoption of the plan by every jurisdiction. An adoption resolution will be provided to you once the plan is approved by FEMA.

To date, the plan has been rewritten and sent to the FEMA offices on the State and Federal level for approval. The rewritten Lincoln Trail Regional Hazard Mitigation plan is now available for your review on our website, ltadd.org. Please review the plan. LTADD will host a public meeting on January 7, 2016 from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. (EST). The meeting will be held at LTADD office in Elizabethtown. A public hearing notice was placed in the newspaper of greatest circulation in each county. Please plan to attend or to have representatives from your jurisdiction attend. All comments, revisions and/or corrections should be forwarded to us as soon as possible. This meeting will be in an "open house" format, and you may attend at any point during that 3hour period.

Lincoln Trail Regional Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan Review Meeting Review Public Hearing

January 7, 2016 - 4:00 p.m. (EST)

NAME

Organization

Contact Information

the Mustera Jules thorn Trench ackie ohnson Shley Kusso Ash William both E Poon, SZEG AShworth Alm Soublers ENNIS WELLS Nothan Hall Eric Vertres Jarley Boson LOUK TUREUS meterredy ise & all mon nuch 11/2h

Nelson County Burk Larne Co. Cityo & Vine Grove ity of Kaderiff City of WEST POINT GTLP & Muldwalph City of Bardstown City of Leitchfield LORUE CO. EM LaRue Co, F-911/Deputy E.M. Breckinridge Co. EMA Meade Co. Harden Co. EM ity of shurne ton LTADD

Jackie Vinegrove org. arusso @raditiff.org mojon & West Pointly.ong

gestwort @bardrowneedle.net Kim, Sowders @leitchfield.org UNEUS @LORNE COUNTY.026

cricvertousobbtel.com

emdirector@hardin.co ncinor irvingtonk lisa@irvingtonky.org mrobinson @ Itadiors

and Stalloughy Acron Hankins

LTADD

LTADD

awilloughby @ Undd.org Maron eltild.org